

*Big Idea for a Big Challenge:*  
Influencing Reproducibility on an  
Institutional Scale

M. L. Rethlefsen, T. Mentnech, D. Baluchi, H. Greenberg, S. Zhao, M. Lackey

AUG  
30  
2005



*Open access, freely available online*

## Essay

# Why Most Published Research Findings Are False

John P. A. Ioannidis

## Summary

There is increasing concern that most current published research findings are false. The probability that a research claim is true may depend on study power and bias, the number of other studies on the same question, and, importantly, the ratio of true to no relationships among the relationships probed in each scientific field. In this framework, a research finding is less likely to be true when the studies conducted in a field are smaller; when effect sizes are smaller; when there is a greater number and lesser preselection of tested relationships; where there is greater flexibility in designs, definitions, outcomes, and analytical modes; when there is greater financial and other

factors that influence this problem and some corollaries thereof.

## Modeling the Framework for False Positive Findings

Several methodologists have pointed out [9–11] that the high rate of nonreplication (lack of confirmation) of research discoveries is a consequence of the convenient, yet ill-founded strategy of claiming conclusive research findings solely on the basis of a single study assessed by formal statistical significance, typically for a  $p$ -value less than 0.05. Research is not most appropriately represented and summarized by  $p$ -values, but, unfortunately, there is a widespread notion that medical research articles

is characteristic of the field and can vary a lot depending on whether the field targets highly likely relationships or searches for only one or a few true relationships among thousands and millions of hypotheses that may be postulated. Let us also consider, for computational simplicity, circumscribed fields where either there is only one true relationship (among many that can be hypothesized) or the power is similar to find any of the several existing true relationships. The pre-study probability of a relationship being true is  $R/(R + 1)$ . The probability of a study finding a true relationship reflects the power  $1 - \beta$  (one minus the Type II error rate). The probability of claiming a relationship when none

# Lies, Damned Lies, and Medical Science

Much of what medical researchers conclude in their studies is misleading, exaggerated, or flat-out wrong. So why are doctors—to a striking extent—still drawing upon misinformation in their everyday practice? Dr. John Ioannidis has spent his career challenging his peers by exposing their bad science.

## Is Science Broken?

Or is it self-correcting?

Problems with scientific research

### How science goes wrong

*Scientific research has changed the world. Now it needs to change itself*

## THE TRUTH WEARS OFF

*Is there something wrong with the scientific method?*

Biomedical researchers lax about validating antibodies for experiments

Failure to test common research component could undermine reproducibility of results.

## Dutch Cell Culture Contamination Renders Six-decades Worth of Studies False

Unreliable research

### Trouble at the lab


*Scientists like to think of science as self-correcting. To an alarming degree, it is not*

**Science Isn't Broken**  
*It's just a hell of a lot harder than we give it credit for.*

SCIENCE

### Many Psychology Findings Not as Strong as Claimed, Study Says

By BENEDICT CAREY AUG. 27, 2015



RELATED COVERAGE



Science, Now Under Scrutiny Itself  
JUNE 15, 2015

## A Credibility Crisis in Food Science

The fall of a prominent behavioral scientist tells of a system where research is judged not on merit, but on the attention it gets.

MAR

16

2015



# THE CHRONICLE OF HIGHER EDUCATION

[NEWS](#) [OPINION](#) [DATA](#) [ADVICE](#) [JOBS](#)

[SECTIONS](#)

FEATURED: [10 Teaching Innovators](#) [How Can We Save Our Students From Themselves?](#) [The Daily Briefing](#) [How to Be a Dean](#)

RESEARCH



## Amid a Sea of False Findings, the NIH Tries Reform




*When in doubt, educate*

# Step 1:

Be completely surprised that your grant application for a conference was funded.

# Step 2:

Figure out how to spend the funds.



**RESEARCH REPRODUCIBILITY**

#MakeResearchTrue

#UTAHRR **18**




Opening Remarks: Melissa Rethlefsen, Andrew Weyrich




Keynote #1: **Victoria Stodden**: Reproducibility in Computational and Data-enabled Science




Panel #1: **What Universities Do (and Don't Do) to Influence (or not) Research Reproducibility**  
Moderator: Victoria Stodden; Tom Parks, Randolph Hall, Brian Avery



Panel #2: **Research Integrity and Journal Publishing**  
Moderator: Mollie Cummins; Justin Cherny, Eric Eide, John Ryan



Panel #3: **How to Call Out Non-Reproducible Research**  
Moderator: Scott Aberegg; Ed Dudek, Hilda Bastian, Ivan Oransky




Keynote #2: **Ivan Oransky**: Retractions, Post-Publication Peer Review, and Fraud: Scientific Publishing's Wild West







SPENCER S. ECCLES HEALTH SCIENCES LIBRARY



**RESEARCH REPRODUCIBILITY**  
#UTAHRR 18

# SUBMIT A POSTER PROPOSAL

The Research Reproducibility Conference poster session will showcase cutting-edge research and works-in-progress in pursuit of making research reproducible.

Presenting a poster is a great opportunity, especially for students and new researchers, to obtain interesting and valuable feedback on ongoing research from conference attendees.

**More info at:** [campusguides.lib.utah.edu/UtahRR18/proposal](https://campusguides.lib.utah.edu/UtahRR18/proposal)



Accreditation: The University of Utah School of Medicine is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians.  
AMA CREDIT: The University of Utah School of Medicine designates this live activity for a maximum of 1.0 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s)™. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.  
Disclosure: None of the speakers or planners or anyone in control of content for this continuing medical education activity have any relevant financial relationships since the content does not relate to any healthcare goods or services of an ACCME-defined commercial interest; therefore, there are no relevant financial relationships to disclose.

**COURSE**  
June 11-15, 2018

**CONFERENCE**  
June 15, 2018






**John Ryan**  
@JRyanMD

Following

Mediterranean Diet retracted and republished- coincides with Research Reproducibility being held tomorrow with @victoriastodden @ivanoransky @MRCutah, me & others. @EHSLibrary #makeresearchtrue #utahRR18 campusguides.lib.utah.edu/UtahRR18 nejm.org/doi/full/10.10 ...



9:02 AM - 14 Jun 2018

2 Retweets 5 Likes



**Julie Kiefer**  
@JulieCKiefer

Following

What are some ways that @EHSLibrary is approaching the research reproducibility problem? Listen to find out on @TheScopeRadio @UofUHealth

Science is Unreliable. What Can We Do About It? #UtahRR18 #MakeResearchTrue healthcare.utah.edu/the-scope/show ...



**Eccles Health Sciences Library**

@EHSLibrary

Following

To ask any questions from the live stream use [slido.com](https://www.slido.com) with the code #N673 #UtahRR18 #MakeResearchTrue #Reproducibility #medlibs #datalibs



#### Slido - Audience Interaction Made Easy

Slido is an audience interaction tool for meetings, events and conferences. It offers interactive Q&A, live polls and insights about your audience.

[slido.com](https://www.slido.com)

9:46 AM - 15 Jun 2018

2 Retweets



1

2




# #UtahRR18



**CCTS Utah**  
@CCTSUtah

Following

Tackling how we present methodology in scientific journals will contribute to improved research reproducibility #UtahRR18 Listen to the livestream of the afternoon panel, Research Integrity and Journal Publishing [youtube.com/watch?v=OVeUcL...](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OVeUcL...) #UtahRR18



#### 2018 Research Reproducibility Conference: Building Res...

The conference will be held June 15, 2018 at The University of Utah S. J. Quinney College of Law, with internationally-known guest speakers, panelists, a pos...

[youtube.com](https://www.youtube.com)

1:59 PM - 15 Jun 2018

2 Retweets 1 Like



2



1



# #MakeResearchTrue

130

3

17



UNIVERSITY OF UTAH S.J. QUINNEY COLLEGE OF LAW

2018 Research Reproducibility Conference: Building Research Integrity Through Reproducibility

424 views

👍 6    💬 0    ➦ SHARE    ≡+ SAVE    ...

Top chat replay ▾





## Event summary report

# 2018 Research Reproducibility Conference: Building Research Integrity Through Reproducibility



Active users  
**51**

Engagement score **160**

Engagement per user **3.1**



Questions  
**109**

Likes / dislikes **203 / 0**

Anonymous rate **78%**



Poll votes  
**0**

Polls created **0**

Votes per poll **0**

### Popular questions



Ed Dudek  
15 Jun, 4:49pm

0 12

Comment on: The elephant in the room is that reproducibility and rigor receive little or no consideration (compared to innovation and "high profile") by recruitment and tenure committees and grant review panels.



Anonymous  
15 Jun, 8:44pm

0 8

How can undergrad/grad lab members encourage reproducible practices in labs with less than willing PIs?




Anonymous  
15 Jun, 3:21pm

0 8

Do you see the culture found in many scientific fields to publish original,


### Topics






● Excellent ● Good ● Not Observed

Overall Quality




● Much more than expected ● More than expected ● Less than expected ● As expected

Amount Learned




● Excellent ● Good ● Not Observed

Victoria Stodden




● Excellent ● Good ● Not Observed ● Satisfactory

Panel #1




● Excellent ● Good ● Not Observed ● Satisfactory ● Unsatisfactory

Panel #2




● Excellent ● Good ● Not Observed ● Satisfactory

Panel #3



● Excellent ● Good ● Not Observed ● Satisfactory

Ivan Oransky




● Excellent ● Good ● Not Observed ● Satisfactory

Poster Session






It was great! I look forward to the next one! I'd love to see this annually rather than every 2 years!










I loved [Dr. Stodden]'s talk. It made me think about challenging questions I hadn't thought of before and redefined the question of reproducibility for me in a really eye-opening way.





It is actually a tough problem. It can't be solved unilaterally by U of U without adverse consequences to the status of U of U (i.e. through the reduction of overall publication volume). So, tracking what other universities are doing and keeping pace will probably produce a practical outcome.





I thought the Research Integrity and Journal Publishing panel was the best panel, in part because of the personalities of the panelists, but also the way the audience questions were answered, and how well the panel covered the questions many of us have regarding publishing manuscripts.







Panel 3 focused on too much of the "big hitter" items and they were not prepared to have a discussion on the small issue of reproducibility that affect most people. The vast majority of scientists are not blatantly falsifying or plagiarizing, but they are making small tweaks to data or arguments that make it "mostly" ok. Also the issue of superiority and power- struggle in science affects the ability to stand up for integrity of research was touched on but **no one has any answers for this and it makes me frustrated that that conversation is too hard to even have in a panel like this one**



|      |      |       |      |
|------|------|-------|------|
| 2014 | 802  | 1.6MM | .544 |
| 2016 | 1359 | 1.8MM | .068 |

retractiondatabase.org

|      |      |
|------|------|
| 2008 | 360  |
| 2010 | 4822 |
| 2014 | 802  |
| 2016 | 1359 |

Thank you for making this discussion happen. It is important and uplifting to know that people out there care about scientific integrity when PIs are under so much pressure to publish or perish. It is hard as a student to see that there are people in the academic world trying to do the right thing and ask the hard questions about what we need to do going forward as a field. I just wish more people cared.



The poster session seemed to have several people that had to catch flights before it finished. Having the session at the beginning of the conference might be better.



*Did we achieve  
institutional change?*

Not yet. But it's a start.



# Funders & Support

- We'd like to thank our funders for #UtahRR18:
  - Office of Research Integrity: Department of Health and Human Services (ORIIR170034)
  - Vice President for Research Office, University of Utah
  - Center for Clinical and Translational Science, University of Utah (UL1TR001067)
  - Spencer S. Eccles Health Sciences Library, University of Utah
  - Department of Philosophy, University of Utah
  - MidContinental Region of the National Network of Libraries of Medicine (UG4LM012344 Subaward)



# More Resources

- Rethlefsen ML, Lackey MJ, Zhao S. Building capacity to encourage research reproducibility and #MakeResearchTrue. **Journal of the Medical Library Association**, 106(1):113–9, 2018. doi:<https://doi.org/10.5195/jmla.2018.273>.
- Research Reproducibility 2018: Building Research Integrity Through Reproducibility: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OVeUcLRWaq4>
- Research Reproducibility 2018 LibGuide: <http://campusguides.lib.utah.edu/UtahRR18/Conference>
- Rethlefsen ML, Ayala P, Cherney J. Librarians Improve Science: Impacting Research Quality through Transparency and Reproducibility: <http://www.choice360.org/librarianship/webinars/librarians-improve-science>
- Rethlefsen ML. Research reproducibility and open science: [https://video.dartmouth-hitchcock.org/media/Research+Reproducibility+and+Open+Science/1\\_8p0d4rnf](https://video.dartmouth-hitchcock.org/media/Research+Reproducibility+and+Open+Science/1_8p0d4rnf)

# Thank you! Questions?

@tishamentnech

@DBaluchi

@mlrethlefsen

@mellanye

@HeidiGreenberg

@zhao\_shirley

#MakeResearchTrue

