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ABSTRACT

Hospitals and providers participating in Meaningful Use are required to show 5% enrollment rate in their patient portals in order to receive incentive payments. The challenge with this is determining how to effectively market the portal in order to educate patients that the portal exists that also results in patients enrolling in the portal. A survey of patients was conducted at Hunt Regional Medical Center in Greenville Texas to identify how they are hearing about the patient portal and if they are signing-up as a result. While the response rate was low, the survey results did indicate what form of education is working for HRMC and what is not. It also provided insight into the demographics of patients, in terms of gender and age, that are signing up for the portal. This information will be valuable to HRMC by helping them develop further education materials in order to increase enrollment rate to meet meaningful use requirements.
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CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION

Statement of the Problem

Introduction. Engaging patients to be involved in their own health has been a challenge as well as a focus in the health care industry. Patient engagement goes back to encouraging patients to keep track of their records by creating a personal health record (PHR) via paper records that they would request from their providers. As technology has progressed, secure websites have been created to track and maintain this information in an electronic format. With Meaningful Use (MU), part of the electronic health record (EHR) incentive program, the industry has taken patient engagement a step further with the use of patient portals.

A patient portal is essentially a personal health record that is housed within a providers’ EHR. Once patients have enrolled in the portal they can access it as long as they have internet just as they would a secure website. For those eligible professionals (EPs) and eligible hospitals (EHs) participating in MU, being able to provide patients access to a portal is a requirement that must be met in order to receive any incentive payments.

Hunt Regional Medical Center (HRMC), a rural hospital in Greenville, Texas is participating in the EHR incentive program. They have been successful in meeting the first stage of MU which partly required that they provide health information electronically for at least fifty percent of patients discharged as an inpatient or from the emergency department. Having met attestation for this stage, they can proceed to attest for MU stage two. Stage two requires that patients enroll in and use the patient portal within HRMC's EHR. Efforts have been underway to encourage patients to enroll in the
portal, but the success rate has been minimal. To be successful in stage two, they must maintain a five percent (5%) enrollment rate starting October 1, 2015 through September 30, 2016. It is vital that they prepare now in order to meet this requirement.

*Background of the problem.* As incentive programs become available to providers, they are being pushed to encourage their patients to get involved in their care through the use of technology. The problem with this is that trying to educate patients can be difficult when providers only have a limited time with them. Not only this, but patients can be inundated with information that it becomes overwhelming to try and remember one more thing. For the older population technology is not a priority. All they want to know is what they need to do. They expect their provider to explain everything they need to know and that be the end of it.

For HRMC the majority of their inpatient population are Medicare patients. This poses a challenge on how to best educate patients on the existence of the patient portal, how to use it, and the benefits patients can gain from having it available. If HRMC plans to successfully meet MU stage two, they will have to determine the best way to educate their patients about the portal in order to increase their enrollment rate to at least five percent (5%).

*Purpose of the study.* The purpose of this study is to identify the best way to market a patient portal in order to educate patients that one is available for patients to use. As patients come to the hospital they are given so much paper work that information can be missed by the patient, which could include information about a portal. Is a paper instruction form enough, or do more measures need to be taken to ensure that patients are aware of an existing portal in order to encourage them to enroll in and use the portal? If
this question can be answered, the idea is that there will be more people enrolling in and using portals. This can also help answer other questions such as the type of people using the portal and reasons why patients are not using the portal.

Significance of the study. In reviewing literature, there have been many studies conducted on how patient portals can help patients manage chronic illnesses when they are part of a disease group. There are also many studies that explain both the benefits and barriers that patients face with portals. Some of the outcomes of these studies have shown the different demographics of those that are and are not enrolling in patient portals. However, there are very few studies that show a best practice for educating patients about portals that result in more participation from patients. Having more knowledge of what works best can help EPs and EHs across the country be successful in not only meeting requirements for MU, but more importantly, getting patients involved in their own care.

Research Question. The main research question for this study is what is the best format to educate patients that a patient portal is available? Once this question can be answered, the ideal outcome would be an increase in patients enrolling in portals. Another question to consider, specifically for HRMC, is what is the current process for educating patients and is it working? Additional data can be gathered such as barriers preventing patients enrolling in portals and if demographics (such as gender and age) plays a role in enrolling. Recommendations to implement additional education materials in order to continue to enroll patients in the portal can also be a result of this study.
Definition of Terms.

- Eligible physician (EP): physicians, or professionals, eligible to participate in the Meaningful Use incentive program.
- Eligible hospital (EH): hospitals eligible to participate in the Meaningful Use incentive program.
- HITECH - Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act that includes the EHR incentive program.
- Meaningful Use (MU) - EHR incentive program established by HITECH.
- Provider: a physician or hospital providing healthcare services to patients.
- Patient portal: a personal health record that is accessible to patients via the internet, but is housed within their provider’s electronic health record.
CHAPTER 2 - REVIEW OF LITERATURE

This chapter provides information regarding current literature that is available about patient portals. There are five sections to this review which include an introduction to the subject, the purpose of the review, databases that were used which help to explain how the literature was identified, results that the databases generated, and an analysis of the results.

Introduction

In order to engage patients to use patient portals (portals), there are many points that should be presented to help them make informed decisions. It is important to make known the benefits that a portal can provide to help them play a role in managing their care. They should also be made aware of enrollment rates in portals to see that although this is a growing concept, others are participating in this movement. From this they can learn about both satisfaction and barriers other patients have found when using portals.

The Purpose of the Review

The purpose of this review was to find literature related to patient portals. In searching for literature it was important to find information that would help in understanding the benefits for patients, what type of people are enrolling (healthy people vs. people with chronic conditions; what types of demographics), barriers to enrollment, and if those who are enrolled are satisfied. Another purpose was to determine how people are being encouraged to use portals. What type of education is provided to patients about a hospital or physician’s portal? Is there a best practice? The intention in finding such literature was to find potential answers to these questions.
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Databases Utilized

The main database used to search for the above stated information included the PubMed database as well as the American Health Information Management Association (AHIMA) body of knowledge. The key words included "patient portal" and "patient portal education". When attempting to expand the search by using other key words such as “patient engagement” and “patient involvement” there were very few results. Attempts were made to use other databases, such as Scopus and CINHAL EBSCOhost; however, these did not generate near the results that PubMed and AHIMA did. CINHAL actually generated some of the same studies found on PubMed.

Findings

There were many hits using PubMed. Attention was turned to studies that were directed towards enrollment in patient portals. The studies chosen not only discussed enrollment, but also the benefits of portals and potential barriers. Information was sought regarding how satisfied patients were with a portal, but this was not found in studies that were chosen for review.

All of the studies reviewed discussed the benefits that portals can have. Some of these benefits include better communication with a patient’s provider, the ability to manage medications, participate in disease management programs, make appointments, and overall access to one's own health information. Of course, these benefits are dependent upon the hospital or physician’s portal functionalities. Not all portals offer the same thing.

With all of these types of potential benefits, one would think patients would want to enroll and be involved in their healthcare. Per the studies, little is actually known
about overall enrollment rate. In their study, Saul N. Weingard, MD, PhD, David Rind, MD, and Daniel Z. Sands, MD, MPH (2006) explained that early adopters from Kaiser Permanente had 8.2 million enrollees in their portal and the Veterans Affairs had 4.2 million. They also pointed out that the Veterans Affair had 70 million potentially eligible patients (Weingard, Rind, & Sands, 2006). Even with these numbers there is no known national average for patient enrollment in portals. Each study conducted had its own sample size that offered some insight into enrollment. One insight included the demographics that were more likely to enroll in portals. Many of the studies showed that the white population was more likely to enroll, as well as females, and younger adults. Most enrollees were also healthy.

Understanding the types of demographics that are not enrolling might provide information to the types of barriers people face that prevent enrollment. Barriers that were found in the study by Goel et al. (2011) included lack of information or motivation, negative attitudes toward the portal, computer obstacles, or limited access to the internet. Some other barriers included confidentiality and not being able to understand test results if those were included in the portal (Bergman, Brown, & Wilson, 2008).

**Analysis of the Results**

Many of the resources reviewed were specific to focused groups and how these groups used a portal. Even with the focused groups it was obvious that there are many similarities between them in regards to benefits and the types of people enrolling in portals. The one gap that was missing was how patients were educated about portals and enrollment. The use of portals is part of Meaningful Use (MU). Since this is a requirement for hospitals and physicians participating in MU, portals will become more
available to patients. In order for hospitals and physicians to meet MU and show that their portals are being used, patients need to be well educated about the portal. They first need to know that the hospital or physician has a portal. They will want to know what functions the portal is capable of and how using the portal will benefit them. When the patient understands the purpose, they can make an informed decision about enrolling to use the portal. If patients decide to enroll in the portal, it will be important for the hospital or physician to provide resources to help patients properly sign-up and begin using the portal.

In the resources reviewed, how hospitals and physicians educated their patients about the portal was not found as far as what was the most effective in increasing enrollment rates. The one study that was most relevant to this was “Patient portal doldrums: Does an exam room promotional video during an office visit increase patient portal registrations and portal use?” by North et al. (2011). In their study, they tested three different ways of promoting use of the portal and how registration followed. A patient watched a video, received an instruction sheet, or had no additional promotion item (North et al., 2011). The results of this study showed that more patients signed-up for the portal after watching the video verses receiving paper instructions or no additional materials.

Since there is limited literature and no best practice guidelines on informing patients about portals and how this education relates to portal enrollment, this will be the focus of this study. If this study can determine what types of marketing strategies are most effective when educating patients about portals and getting them to enroll, maybe
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this will help other facilities get more patients involved in their care in order to successfully meet MU requirements.
CHAPTER 3 - METHODOLOGY

Research Design

There were two parts to this project. The first involved the creation and implementation of education materials with help from the marketing department at HRMC. Meetings were held with this department to plan how to reach out to and educate patients about the portal. Prior to this project the only form of education of the portal took place at discharge with a brief explanation from the nurses about the portal and an instruction sheet on how to sign up and log in. After meeting with marketing, the following are the education materials that were planned for implementation:

1. Facebook advertisement (Figures 1 and 2)
2. Hospital website banner (Figure 3)
3. Direct mail
4. Email blast

Unfortunately, due to time constraints and the lack of information needed from other departments, the direct mail and email blast approaches were not carried out.

The second part of this project involved an electronic survey (Figure 4). This was developed to examine the effectiveness of the above patient portal education efforts as well as determine from the patients what improvements can be made. With input from the patient portal committee, the final survey included the following questions:

1. Identification of gender
2. Identification of age
3. Have you heard about MyHuntHealth, our patient portal?
4. Did you sign up for the portal upon hearing about it? If so, indicate how.
5. If you signed up for the portal, did you have any initial problems logging in? If so, please describe the problem.

6. If you did not sign up for the portal, please indicate why you chose not to.

7. Is there a feature / function you would like to see in the portal such as scheduling, integrated bills, physician messaging, etc? If so, please describe.

8. Do you have any suggestions on how we can better communicate with our patients the existence and benefits of our patient portal?

Questions and rationale. The following includes more information regarding the survey questions such as answer choices and the reason for the question.

Gender and age. Survey choices for gender were: Male or Female. Age was left open for the patients to fill in their age. Rationale: The gender and age were captured to determine the demographics of patients that have heard about the portal. This can later provide insight into additional ways to market the portal to a particular group of people.

Have you heard about MyHuntHealth, our patient portal? Survey choices were: Yes or No. Rationale: This answers the question of whether the patient heard about the portal or not.

Did you sign up for the portal upon hearing about it? If so, how? If the patient answered yes, the survey choices were: a) Facebook advertisement; b) From the nurses at the time of discharge; c) Hospital website banner; d) MyHuntHealth instruction sheet in the discharge packet (Figure 5); e) Word of mouth; f) Other. Rationale: This answers the question of how the patient heard about the portal. The options provided were current education efforts that were in place prior to the project as well as those additional materials that were created.
If you signed up for the portal, did you have any initial problems logging in?

If so, please explain the issue. Survey choices were: Yes or No. Rationale: The patient portal committee was interested in responses to this question in order to make any necessary improvements during the log in process. They do not want patients to deter from signing up for the portal based on technical difficulties.

If you did not sign up for the portal, please indicate why you chose not to.
Survey choices were: a) Disinterest; b) I rely on my doctor for this information; c) It is easier to request my medical records and have them printed; d) Limited us of, or access to, a computer; e) Portal content is not useful; f) Unaware of the existence of the portal; g) Other. Rationale: This information was captured to determine what barriers are causing patients to not sign up for the portal.

Is there a feature / function you would like to see in the portal such as scheduling, integrated bills, physician messaging, etc? If so, please describe. Survey choice: this was an open ended question. Rationale: The patient portal committee wanted feedback from patients to see what else could be added to the portal that they would find beneficial.

Do you have any suggestions on how we can better communicate with our patients the existence and benefits of our patient portal? Survey choice: this was an open ended question. Rationale: The patient portal committee wanted to learn from patients if there were additional ways they could provide education about the portal to potentially try in the future in order to enroll more patients.

Approval of the project came from the compliance committee at HRMC. Those that represented this committee included the Assistant Administrator, Director of
Decision Support, and the HIPAA Privacy Officer. They determined that the nature of the project was operational with marketing as the means for completing the project. They were sent the survey questions for review and approval. There were no concerns from a HIPAA perspective as far as a need for special consent.

**Population and Sample Size**

When HRMC attested to MU stage one, which required them to electronically provide at least fifty percent of health information for inpatient and observation discharges or emergency department visits, they only attested with information from inpatient and observation discharges. In order to attest for MU stage two, which includes patient portal enrollment, they can only account for inpatient and observation discharges. As a result, the sample size for this project was based on the following criteria:

1. The patient must have been discharged as an inpatient or observation during the month of February. *Rationale:* The new education materials went out throughout the month of February.

2. The patient must be nineteen (19) years or older. *Rationale:* Patients eighteen (18) years and younger are not able to sign up for the portal.

3. The patient must have an email address. *Rationale:* The idea was that patients who have an email address were more likely to sign up for the portal if they heard about it.

Based on the above, 201 patients met the criteria. The goal was to survey 100% of these patients in order to get a good sample with the anticipation that patients would not respond to the survey. After analyzing the 201 patients discharged in February that met the criteria, fifteen (15) were repeat patients. Once the survey was sent out via email,
thirteen (13) were rejected meaning the email address the patient had listed was invalid. The final sample size was 173 patients.

*Data Collection Instrument*

The database used to complete this project was the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) database. REDCap is a secure web application designed for building and managing online surveys and databases created by Vanderbilt University and made available for use through the University of Tennessee Health Science Center (UTHSC). The survey was developed and administered through REDCap. The survey itself was set up using branching logic so patients were only required to answer questions based on their answer choices. As patients responded to the survey, the REDCap database captured the responses which made for easy data analysis.

*Data Collection Procedures*

For patients that met the criteria to receive the survey, a cover letter (Figure 6) was sent as an email message which included a link to the survey. The email / survey was sent on Monday, March 9, 2015 with a reminder email sent Wednesday, March 18, 2015. The deadline to complete the survey was Friday, March 20, 2015.

*Data Analysis*

Results from the survey were exported from REDCap into Microsoft Excel for data analysis. In addition to Excel, the report builder in REDCap was used for cross tabulation of different variables. All tables were created in Excel.
CHAPTER 4 - RESULTS

Response rate of Sample / Population

Out of 173 sent surveys, a total of twenty-five (25) responses were received via REDCap for a response rate of 14%. The first request yielded eighteen (18) responses. Seven (7) additional responses were yielded after the reminder email was sent. All responses were complete.

Survey Question Responses

Summaries of the counts and percentages of the survey questions are listed in Tables 1 through 7. Responses that were excluded from these tables include those with an "other" choice that required an explanation. Also excluded were the final two questions on the survey. These questions were optional, open ended questions. They asked the respondents to provide feedback on other functions they would like to see in the portal, and suggestions on how else HRMC could communicate the existence of the portal to their patients. Tables 8 through 13 represent cross tabulations of different variables.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Total Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 7

Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Total Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19-24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

Have you heard about MyHuntHealth, our patient portal?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heard of portal</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Total Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>25</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4

Did you sign-up for the portal upon hearing about it?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did you sign-up</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Total Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>16</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5

**Please indicate how you heard about the portal.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How did you hear about the portal?</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Total Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From the nurses at discharge</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital website banner</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MyHuntHealth instruction sheet</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word of mouth</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6

**If you signed-up for the portal, did you have any initial problems logging in?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Log-in problems</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Total Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>91.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7

*If you did not sign-up for the portal, please indicate why you chose not to.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for not signing-up</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Total Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disinterest</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I rely on my doctor for this information</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is easier to request my medical records and have them printed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited use of, or access to, a computer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portal content is not useful</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaware of the existence of the portal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8

Cross tabulation of respondents that signed-up for the portal and their age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of Respondents that Signed-up</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Total Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19-24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9

Cross tabulation of respondents that signed-up for the portal and their gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender of Respondents that Signed-up</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Total Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10

*Cross tabulation of the age of the respondents that signed-up for the portal and how they heard about the portal.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>Facebook</th>
<th>From the nurses at discharge</th>
<th>Hospital website banner</th>
<th>Instruction sheet</th>
<th>Word of mouth</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19-24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 11

*Cross tabulation of respondents that did not sign-up for the portal and their age.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of Respondents that Did Not Sign-up</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Total Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19-24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12

*Cross tabulation of respondents that did not sign-up for the portal and their gender.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender of Respondents that Did Not Sign-up</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Total Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 13

*Cross tabulation of the age of the respondents that did not sign-up for the portal and the reason why.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>Disinterest</th>
<th>I rely on my doctor for this information</th>
<th>It is easier to request my medical records and have them printed</th>
<th>Limited use of, or access to, a computer</th>
<th>Portal content is not useful</th>
<th>Unaware of the existence of the portal</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19-24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 5 - ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

Summary of Findings

Tables 1 and 2 essentially show the demographics, in terms of gender and age, that HRMC serves. Ten (10) of the respondents were male, while fifteen (15) were female. The age range with the highest respondents came from those that were sixty-five (65) years and older, with a total of seven (7) respondents. There were zero respondents in the nineteen (19) to twenty-four (24) age range. The rest of the results show that there were five (5) respondents between the ages of twenty-five (25) and thirty-four (34). Only two (2) respondents fell in the thirty-five (35) to forty-four (44) age range. Six (6) respondents represented those in the age range between forty-five (45) and fifty-four (54). Finally, five (5) respondents, were in the age range between fifty-five (55) and sixty-four (64).

Table 3 shows that of the twenty-five (25) respondents, sixteen (16) of them had heard of the portal at HRMC. This left nine (9) respondents that had not heard of the portal.

Of the sixteen (16) respondents that did hear about the portal, only twelve (12) signed-up for the portal, leaving four (4) that did not sign-up for the portal (Table 4).

Table 5 explains how the respondents heard about the portal. Two Facebook advertisements were created and posted throughout the month of February (Figures x & x). One was targeted towards the general population, male and female, in the twenty-five (25) to sixty-four (64) age range. The other was targeted towards the maternity population in the age range of eighteen (18) to forty-four (44). These advertisements generated 431 unique clicks, meaning people who clicked on the advertisement on
Facebook were directed to the patient portal page on HRMC's hospital website. Despite the numerous clicks from the Facebook advertisement to the portal page on the hospital website, none of the respondents from this survey heard about the portal via the Facebook advertisements. Two (2) respondents heard about the portal from the nurses at discharge, while three (3) found the information from the MyHuntHealth instruction sheet in the discharge packet. The hospital website banner with the portal information is where two (2) of the respondents heard about the portal. One (1) respondent found out about the portal via word of mouth. Finally, four (4) respondents heard about the portal through other means. The "other" options listed by the four (4) respondents included email, employee, and emergency room check-in.

For the twelve (12) respondents that signed-up for the portal, only one (1) expressed having issues with the log-in process. The respondent described that there was no other resolution than contacting the information systems department to resolve the issue (Table 6).

Table 7 explains the reason why the four (4) respondents did not sign-up for the portal. Two (2) said that they rely on their doctor for the information that is contained in the portal. One (1) said they have limited use or, or access to, a computer. The final respondent in this category chose "other" as their reason which was stated as giving up due to difficulties with the log-in process.

Table 8 is the first of six cross tabulation tables. This table shows the age range of the twelve (12) respondents that signed-up for the portal. There were no respondents to sign-up for the portal in the nineteen (19) to twenty-four (24) age range. Three (3) respondents were in the twenty-five (25) to thirty-four (34) age range. Only one (1)
respondent was in the age range of thirty-five (35) to forty-four (44). The age range with the most respondents was forty-five (45) to fifty-four (54). This equaled four (4) respondents. There were two (2) respondents in both the fifty-five (55) to sixty-four (64) age range and sixty-five (65) and older range.

Table 9 identified the male verses female respondents that signed-up for the portal. Of the twelve (12) respondents, four (4) were male and eight (8) were female.

Table 10 shows how each age range heard about the portal. There were two (2) in the twenty-five (25) to thirty-four (34) range that heard about it via the hospital website banner. In this same range, one (1) respondent heard about it through other means. In the thirty-five (35) to forty-four (44) range, one (1) respondent heard of the portal from the instruction sheet. For respondents in the forty-five (45) to fifty-four (54) range, one (1) heard about the portal from the nurses at discharge, one (1) heard from the instruction sheet, and one (1) heard about it through other means. One (1) respondent heard about the portal from the instruction sheet, and one (1) from other means in the fifty-five (55) to sixty-four (64) range. Finally, in the sixty-five (65) plus range, one (1) respondent heard about the portal from the nurses at discharge, and one (1) by word of mouth.

Table 11 shows the age range of the four (4) respondents that did not sign-up for the portal. There was one (1) respondent in both the twenty-five (25) to thirty-four (34) and fifty-five (55) to sixty-four (64) ranges. There were two (2) respondents in the sixty-five (65) plus range.

Table 12 identified the male verses female respondents that did not sign-up for the portal. Of the four (4) respondents, there was a fifty / fifty split of males and females.
Table 13 states the reasons, by age range, of those respondents that did not sign-up for the portal. The one (1) respondent in the twenty-five (25) to thirty-four (34) range chose that they rely on their doctor for the information contained in the portal as their reason for not signing-up. Limited use of, or access to, a computer was the reason for the one (1) respondent in the fifty-five (55) to sixty-four (64) range. There were two (2) respondents in the sixty-five (65) plus range that did not sign-up. The reasons were listed as relying on their doctor and other. The respondent that chose other described the reason as having difficulty with the log-in process so they gave up on attempting to sign-up.

Limitations

The section below outlines limitations of the study that should be considered.

- The study is specific to HRMC, so generalizing the information may be difficult. However, the concepts behind the study could apply to any EP or EH that are trying to determine a best practice to educate patients about their portal.
- Despite efforts to encourage participation in the survey (iPad Mini give away) the response rate was low at only fourteen percent (14%). This did not allow for adequate data analysis to determine what form of communication is working the best for HRMC.
- Not only was the response rate low, but an error occurred in setting up the branching logic within the survey. Of the twenty-five (25) respondents, sixteen (16) acknowledged that they heard about the portal. This should have yielded sixteen (16) responses to the question "Please indicate how you heard about the portal." However, only respondents that answered "Yes" to the question "Did you sign-up for the portal upon hearing about it?" were directed to answer how they
heard about the portal. Due to this error, there were four (4) less responses to how patients heard about the portal.

- There was no way to identify if the respondents that did sign-up for the portal signed-up during the month of February when the additional education efforts were implemented.

- Two (2) respondents described "Email" as the "Other" option for how they heard about the portal. Per the marketing director and consensus from the patient portal committee, no emails have been sent out from HRMC regarding the MyHuntHealth portal. These respondents did not provide an accurate representation of how they actually heard about the portal.

- Due to time constraints and limited resources, not all of the planned education materials were implemented. Specifically, the direct mail and email blast materials were not sent. These materials would have provided more ways for patients to hear about the portal.

- When searching for relevant articles that discuss effective education measures for portal enrollment, there were limited returns. This does not allow for a comparison between the results of this studies and others like it.
CHAPTER 6 - CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

As mentioned earlier, the research question for this study is:

- What is the best format to educate patients that a patient portal exists?

This study allowed various ways for patients to hear about the portal through means of social media, information on the hospital website, verbal discussions with hospital staff, and an instruction form. However, the lack of survey responses did not provide enough data to truly evaluate what form of education is working the best as far as causing an increase in patients enrolling in the portal. From the data that is available, the results indicated that patients heard about the portal mostly from the instruction form and subsequently signed-up. This is surprising as this form has been in place since 2014 yet the enrollment rate is not where HRMC would like it to be. From the literature review results, there was one article with a similar study. The study consisted of three different education tactics. One was through a video shown in the patient's room as they waited to be seen, the other was via an instruction form, and the final means of education was no additional promotion of the portal. The instruction form increased enrollment rate by 7.1%. What can be gathered from this article and the results of the study is that instruction forms can be effective.

Other conclusions that can be drawn from the study that are not related to the initial research question include the following:

The results of the study first show that more females have not only heard about the portal, but have also signed-up for the portal. Prior to these results, the patient portal committee at HRMC discussed that it would be likely for more females to sign-up than
males. There was no reason behind why, but the results do validate this. Literature that incorporated demographic information also showed that females signed-up for portals more than males.

An assumption was made that there would be limited participation in the portal from the older population that HRMC serves. The results show that four (4) of the respondents that signed-up for the portal fell in the forty-five (45) to fifty-four (54) age range. This was the most out of all the age ranges. Although this is the middle age range, there were still participants fifty-five (55) and older that have signed-up. What was unexpected was the limited participation from the younger age ranges. Literature revealed that young adults were more likely to sign-up for portals. There were no survey respondents in the nineteen (19) to twenty-four (24) range. Also, only one (1) respondent that signed-up for the portal represented the thirty-five (35) to forty-four (44) range. From these results, it appears that it may be more difficult to reach out to the younger population rather than the older population.

There was concern from the patient portal committee that patients would not want to enroll in the portal due to limited functionality as well as disinterest in the portal. Per the results, these were not a factor in why respondents did not sign-up for the portal. The main reason for not signing-up was that respondents felt that their doctors should communicate with them information about their health.

**Implications of Study**

For HRMC, this study validates that their instruction form is working in terms of patients enrolling as a result of having the form. It also showed what is not working so that HRMC does not continue putting resources into the wrong areas - more specifically,
the Facebook advertisements. As a result of the cross tabulations of the data, the study provides insight into age ranges of people that are hearing about the portal and how they are hearing about it as well as some of the barriers. This can allow marketing to develop materials that target specific age groups to help increase the enrollment rate.

Recommendations

Even with few survey responses, this study was able to identify what current education materials were effective. There is room to continue to refine methods of education, such as implementing the suggestions expressed by the respondents as a result of the survey. The suggestions included 1) notifying patients at admission; 2) direct mail; 3) email; 4) a video on the hospital channel; and 5) training classes. What this study could not do was provide a value to quantify these results with the actual enrollment rate due to limitations of HRMC's electronic health record. There are future upgrades to the system in progress that include additional functions to the portal. If these upgrades can track and trend enrollment activity, and additional education materials are implemented, a follow-up survey may be beneficial to revisit HRMC's enrollment progress in relation to how they are communicating the portal to their patients.
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APPENDIX

Figure 1

Facebook advertisement for the general population on the Hunt Regional Healthcare Facebook Page.

**Parameters:**
Ages 25-64  
Gender: Women and Men  
Locations: Caddo Mills (+25 mi), Commerce (+25 mi), Greenville (+25 mi), Quinlan (+25 mi), Sulphur Springs (+25 mi), Wolfe City (+25 mi) Texas

Estimated daily reach of 1500-4000 people
Figure 2

Facebook advertisement for the general population on the Hunt Regional Healthcare Facebook Page.

**Parameters:**
- Ages: 19-35
- Gender: Women
- Locations: Caddo Mills (+25 mi), Commerce (+25 mi), Greenville (+25 mi), Quinlan (+25 mi), Sulphur Springs (+25 mi), Wolfe City (+25 mi) Texas
- Interests: Infant, Childbirth, Pregnancy or Breastfeeding

Estimated daily reach of 820 - 2,200 people
Figure 3

Hunt Regional website banner - when this is clicked on, it redirects the page to information about the patient portal.
EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CURRENT TYPES OF PATIENT PORTAL EDUCATION EFFORTS

Figure 4
Survey from REDCap
Confidential

MyHuntHealth Survey

Please take this quick 5 minute survey about MyHuntHealth, the patient portal at Hunt Regional Medical Center. It will need to be completed in one sitting and you will have one opportunity to respond. The survey will close on Friday, March 20 at 11:59 p.m.

Upon responding, you will be entered to win an iPad mini! We will draw for the iPad mini on Friday, April 3, 2015.

(This survey was prepared in March 2015)

Gender

□ Male
□ Female

Age

19 [ ] 100 [ ]

□ Have you heard about MyHuntHealth, our patient portal?
□ Yes
□ No

□ Did you sign up for the portal upon hearing about it?
□ Yes
□ No

Please indicate how you heard about the portal.

□ Facebook advertisement
□ From the nurses at the time of discharge
□ Hospital website banner
□ MyHuntHealth instruction sheet in the discharge packet
□ Word of mouth
□ Other

If you chose "other" please describe.

□ If you signed up for the portal, did you have any initial problems logging in?
□ Yes
□ No

If you did have problems logging in, please explain the issue.

□ If you did not sign up for the portal, please indicate why you chose not to.

□ If you chose "other" please describe.

□ Is there a feature/function you would like to see in the portal such as scheduling, integrated bills, physician messaging, etc? If so, please describe.

□ Do you have any suggestions on how we can better communicate with our patients the existence and benefits of our patient portal?

□ Other
Figure 5

MyHuntHealth Instruction Form - what patients receive in their discharge packet which provides information about the portal and how to log in.
Hunt Regional Healthcare wants you to take an active role in your health record management. To help you manage your care, we have created the MyHuntHealth patient portal. MyHuntHealth will provide you with easy, secure access to your health information online. All of the information in your MyHuntHealth profile comes from your Hunt Regional Healthcare electronic medical record.

Within MyHuntHealth you will be able to:

- View laboratory and radiology results
- Find a list of current medications and allergies
- Update your personal information

To get started with MyHuntHealth, you must have an email address on file with us. You will also need your medical record number. To obtain your medical record number, please refer to your discharge files. If you need assistance in locating your medical record number, please call our patient portal representative at 903-408-5886.

**Directions for Self-Enrollment:**

1. Open your web browser to www.myhunthealth.org
2. Select Enroll
3. Enter the following information as prompted:
   - Last name
   - First name
   - Date of Birth
   - Medical Record Number
   - Email Address
4. Select “Submit Enrollment Request.” You will receive a message that enrollment was successful.
6. Check your email. You should have an email from the MyHuntHealth portal with a temporary ID and password.
7. Click on the MyHuntHealth link provided in the email and select “Login,” using the case sensitive temporary ID and password provided. At this time, you will be prompted to agree to the terms and conditions, establish a new password and set-up a security question.
Use the space below to record your user ID, password and security question. Store this information in a safe place so your personal health information is not compromised.

Security Question & Answer

__________________________________________________________

User ID

__________________________________________________________

Password

__________________________________________________________

For additional assistance, please call 903-408-5886.
Figure 6

Cover Letter (email invitation message):

My name is Jennifer Cooper. I am currently employed at Hunt Regional Medical Center (HRMC) and I am also a master's student at the University of Tennessee Health Science Center (UTHSC). I am working on a research project to complete my program and need your assistance.

My research topic is about MyHuntHealth, our patient portal at HRMC. I want to learn from you how you heard about the portal and whether or not you signed up for the portal. Below is a link to a quick survey that should take you no longer than 5 minutes to complete. The survey is confidential, presents no risks to you, and is completely voluntary. Your responses to this survey will help us determine how you are learning about the portal and will provide insights on what improvements we can make.

If you choose to participate, you will be entered to win an iPad Mini! The survey will close on Friday, March 20, 2015 at 11:59 p.m. and the drawing for the iPad Mini will be Friday, April 3, 2015. If you have any questions regarding the survey, please feel free to email me at jcooper@huntregional.org.

Thank you for your time,
Jennifer L. Cooper, RHIA,CDIP,CCS
Figure 7

Scatter diagram of the age of respondents