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ABSTRACT

The greatest threat for an influenza pandemic at this time is posed by the highly pathogenic H5N1 avian influenza virus. To date, 63% of the 436 known human cases of H5N1 infection have proven fatal. Animals infected by H5N1 viruses have demonstrated acute neurological signs ranging from mild encephalitis to motor disturbances and coma. However, no studies have examined the longer-term neurologic consequences of H5N1 infection. We show that this virus travels from the peripheral nervous system into the central nervous system (CNS) to higher levels of the neuroaxis, using C57BL/6J mice that are infected by the A/VN/1203/04 H5N1 virus (without adaptation). In regions infected by H5N1 virus, we observe activation of microglia and alpha-synuclein phosphorylation and aggregation that persists long after resolution of the infection.

To determine if the pathology worsened with age, we examined: 1) substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc) tyrosine hydroxylase positive dopaminergic neuron number and striatal dopamine and its metabolites contents through 90 days post infection (dpi), 2) examined the inflammatory effect of infection by quantitatively measuring the total number of resting and activated microglia in the SNpc and then examined the production of cytokines in regions of the brain infected by H5N1. We found that infection with H5N1 induces a reversible reduction of both the number of dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc and the amount of dopamine and its metabolites in the striatum. Examination of other indolamines demonstrated a significant and sustained reduction of serotonin in regions of the brain infected with H5N1. We also observed that areas of the brain infected with H5N1 expressed altered levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and growth factors.

We examined if H5N1 priming potentiates paraquat (PQ) induced neurotoxicity in the basal ganglia. We found that H5N1 priming did not increase the sensitivity of C57BL/6J mice to intraperitoneal (ip) administration of paraquat. Rather, H5N1 priming protects the dopaminergic neurons from PQ-induced neurodegeneration and diminishes immune response produced by PQ in the CNS.
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD</td>
<td>superoxide dismutase</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF</td>
<td>tumor necrosis factor</td>
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1.1 Parkinson’s Disease (PD)

Parkinson’s disease (PD) was first described in 1817 by Dr. James Parkinson in a paper entitled “An Essay on the Shaking Palsy” (1). PD is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder that is characterized by both motor and autonomic symptoms. The most common motor symptoms include bradykinesia, hypokinesia/akinesia, rigidity, tremor and postural imbalance. The incidence of PD is approximately 1-2% of the adult population older than 50 years of age. Current estimates from the Parkinson's Disease Foundation put the number of people suffering from this disease at 4.1 million worldwide. It is predicted to rise to 8.7 million based on a projected increase in lifespan. In fact, there are more individuals diagnosed with PD than with multiple sclerosis, muscular dystrophy and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Lou Gehrig’s Disease) combined (2).

1.2 Functional Neuroanatomy Underlying PD

1.2.1 Basal ganglia

The basal ganglion is made up of a group of nuclei located at the base of the forebrain and midbrain that sends projections to motor cortex, thalamus and mesencephalic structures. In humans, the basal ganglia is comprised of the striatum, the pallidum that includes the internal and external segment of globus pallidus, the substantia nigra, and the subthalamic nucleus (3).

1.2.2 The circuitry of basal ganglia

The basal ganglia receive external information from most of the cerebral cortex, thalamic nuclei, and limbic structures. The striatum, which is made of two distinct parts, the caudate and the putamen, is the main input structure of the basal ganglia. It sends its output signal to other nuclei of the basal ganglia, including the pallidum and the substantia nigra pars reticulata. Within the striatum, the majority of synapses occur on GABA (γ-aminobutyric acid)ergic, medium spiny neurons (MSN), that make up about 95~97% of the total population of striatal neurons (4). The internal segment of the globus pallidus (GPi) and the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNpr) provide the main output from the basal ganglia.

The GPi receives input signals from the striatum directly or indirectly via the subthalamic nucleus (STN) followed by the external segment of the globus pallidus (GPe). The GPi sends projections to the thalamic nuclei that innervate the motor-related areas of the cortex. The pallidal neurons are tonically active in the absence of an input signal and stop firing when they receive inhibitory input from the striatum. The pallidal neurons are inhibitory GABAergic neurons, as a result, the input from the striatum removes the tonic inhibition from the target of the pallidal neurons (4). The input and the output components form two closed circuits that begin and end at the cerebral cortex (Fig 1.1A). In the direct pathway, signal is relayed in the following order, cerebral cortex → striatum (inhibitory) → GPi (inhibitory) → thalamus → cerebral cortex, so that the net effect on the cortex is excitatory. In the direct pathway, dopaminergic neurons of the SN synapse on D1 receptors in the striatum, providing a dopaminergic stimulatory response to the striatal projecting neurons. In the indirect pathway, the components communicate in the following order, cerebral cortex → striatum (inhibitory) → GPe (inhibitory) → STN (excitatory) → GPi (inhibitory) → thalamus → cerebral cortex, so that the net effect on the cortex is inhibitory. In contrast to the direct pathway, dopamine acts on the striatal projection neurons through the dopamine D2 receptor which is inhibitory in response to dopamine stimulation (4).

1.2.3 Basal ganglia and PD

The major motor symptoms of PD appear when approximately 60% of the dopaminergic neurons have degenerated. The subsequent reduction in striatal dopamine affects the two pathways differentially. Low DA input to the stimulatory D1 receptors results in less firing of inhibitory striatal neurons in the direct pathway. As a result, neurons in GPi become more active and inhibit the thalamic neurons. The reduction of dopamine in the indirect pathway provides less activation of D2 receptors and consequently, less inhibition of the striatal neurons. Consequently, inhibitory striatal neurons become more active and increase the inhibition of neurons in the GPe. Sequentially, excitatory STN neurons increase the excitatory input to the neurons in the GPi, enhancing the firing of GPi, and further suppressing the activity of thalamic neurons. Overall, low DA input gives rise to a stronger inhibitory tone of basal ganglia output to their targets through both the direct and indirect pathways. This is the cause of the bradykinesia and the hypokinesia symptoms observed in PD (Fig 1.1B) (3).

1.3 Epidemiology of PD

The estimated prevalence of PD in industrialized countries is 0.3% of the total population and 1% in people over 60 years of age. Regionally, North America, South America, and Europe record the highest prevalence of PD, while Asia and Africa record the lowest prevalence. Because the prevalence rates are affected by the difference in the survival of the patients, the incidence rates are a more reliable indicator of PD risk. Recently reported annual incidence rates, having been adjusted for age and/or gender, are 13.4 per 100,000 people per year in industrialized countries (2).
Figure 1.1 The Functional Neuroanatomy of the Basal Ganglia

These diagrams demonstrate the circuitry of the basal ganglia in normal (A) and PD brain (B). The output of the basal ganglia is regulated by the fine balance between the direct and indirect pathways that both promote and suppress body movements, respectively. In the normal state, the afferent dopaminergic signal from the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc) enhances the direct pathway and suppresses the indirect pathway, producing a positive net effect on the stimulation of cortical motor areas. In PD, the depletion of dopamine in the striatum gives rise to an imbalance and suppression of the thalamocortical activity. Blue lines represent the excitatory pathways and red lines display the inhibitory pathway. GP, globus pallidus; GPe, external segment of GP; GPi, internal segment of GP; STN, subthalamus nucleus; D1, D1 dopamine receptors; D2, D2 dopamine receptors.

Age is the most potent risk factor that contributes to the incidence of developing Parkinson’s disease. The incidence rates increase rapidly over 60 years of age, with only 4% of PD patients developing the disease when they are under the age of 50 years (2). Gender is also a factor that contributes to PD incidence rates. For men, the incidence rate is 19 per 100,000, which are 91% higher than that of women, whose incidence is 9.9 per 100,000. Based on race/ethnicity, the highest incidence rates are recorded for Hispanic populations (16.6), followed by non-Hispanic white (13.6), Asian (11.3), and Black (10.2 per 100,000) populations, suggesting that race/ethnicity also affects the incidence of PD(2).

1.4 Etiology of PD

The underlying cause(s) for the vast majority of PD cases are unknown. Controversy still exists as to how much of the disease results from strict genetic causation, a purely environmental factor, or the combination of the two risk factors (7, 8). Empirical evidence suggests that less than 10% of all diagnosed parkinsonism has a strict familial etiology. At this time, there have been 13 identified parkinsonian loci, although mutations in just five of these genes, alpha-synuclein (PARK 1), parkin (PARK2), PINK1 (PARK6), DJ-1 (PARK7), and LRRK2 (PARK8) make up the bulk of these cases (9). In addition to genetic mutations leading to the onset of Parkinson’s disease, there are also clear environmental factors that lead to parkinsonism. These cases of parkinsonism are often referred to as secondary parkinsonism and can result from a myriad of causes including infectious agents (10), drugs (11), toxins (12), vascular insults (13), trauma (14), and although rare, other pathological problems such as tumors (15, 16).

1.4.1 Genetic factors

At this time, there have been 13 identified parkinsonian loci, although there are mutations in just eight of these genes, alpha-synuclein (PARK 1), parkin (PARK2), UCH-L1 (PARK5), PINK1 (PARK6), DJ-1 (PARK7), LRRK2 (PARK8), ATP13A2 (PARK9), and Omi/HtrA2 (PARK13) (Table 1.1). However, these cases of familial PD account for less than 10% of the total number of PD cases (9).

1.4.2 Environmental toxin factors

The role of environmental toxins has been a focus of PD research in recent years in response to the “Case of the Frozen Addicts”. People attempting to synthesize a designer narcotic drug accidentally produced 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) that when injected, inflicted the user with a syndrome almost identical to idiopathic PD. Later, MPTP was shown to be a neurotoxin which selectively kills dopaminergic cells in the SNpc in both humans and non-human primates (17). Once administered, MPTP crosses the blood-brain barrier and is converted to its toxic form,
Table 1.1 Locations and Types of Mutations of the Familial PD-Related Genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Chromosomal location</th>
<th>Type of mutation</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PARK1</td>
<td>SNCA</td>
<td>4q</td>
<td>PM, Dupl, Tripl</td>
<td>AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK2</td>
<td>Parkin</td>
<td>6q</td>
<td>PM, Dupl, Tripl, Ins, Del</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK5</td>
<td>UCH-L1</td>
<td>4p</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK6</td>
<td>PINK1</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>PM, Ins, Del</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK7</td>
<td>DJ-1</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>PM, Del</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK8</td>
<td>LRRK2</td>
<td>12p-q</td>
<td>PM, Del</td>
<td>AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK9</td>
<td>ATP13A2</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>PM, Del</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK13</td>
<td>Omi/HtrA2</td>
<td>2p</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>AD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SNCA, alpha-synuclein; UCH-L1, Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase L1; PINK-1, PTEN induced putative kinase 1; LRRK2, leucine-rich repeat kinase 2; PM, point mutation; Ins, insertion; Del, deletion; Dupl, duplication; Tripl, triplication; AR, autosomal recessive; AD, autosomal dominant.

1-methyl-4-phenyl-pyridinium (MPP+) by monoamine oxidase B (MAO-B) in glial cells (19). MPP+ is taken up by dopaminergic neurons through the dopamine transporter (DAT). In neurons, MPP+ inhibits complex I of mitochondria and induces oxidative stress (12).

Paraquat is a widely used herbicide throughout the world. Investigators have become interested in paraquat, because in addition to sharing structural similarities to MPP+, which is a toxic form of MPTP, it also showed an etiological link to PD in epidemiological studies. Paraquat is reduced by several reductases, including mitochondrial complex I, to become a PQ monocation free radical (PQ+). Subsequently, the PQ free radical is rapidly oxidized by oxygen and produces superoxide radicals and reactive oxygen species that can be toxic to the cell (20).

Rotenone was first isolated from the roots and stems of several plants and has been used as a pesticide and fish poison (21, 22). Highly lipophilic, rotenone easily penetrates the blood-brain barrier and accumulates in the mitochondria, inhibiting complex I of the electron transport chain.

Epidemiological studies have demonstrated that the residents of rural areas where herbicides and pesticides were used extensively, have higher incidences of PD than the residents of urban areas (23). However, further research is needed to implicate any specific toxin as a cause of sporadic PD.

1.4.3 Viral factors

A number of viruses, including influenza, coxsackie, Japanese encephalitis B, western equine encephalitis, herpes, and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), have been associated with both acute and chronic parkinsonism (Table 1.2).

The hypothesis that viruses or other contaminating agents may be a factor initiating primary or secondary parkinsonism often relates to coincidental cases of parkinsonism that lie outside of the expected population (5). One of the most famous and still controversial examples is the parkinsonism that occurred subsequent to a viral encephalopathy that developed following the 1918 influenza pandemic (24). Another example that suggests viral agents can act as an initiator of parkinsonism is the appearance of parkinsonian clusters. These are groups of individuals who share common environments and develop parkinsonism at greater than normal statistical rates without the typical risk factors. In fact, the risk of developing Parkinson’s disease is approximately 2 times greater for people sharing close quarters, including doctors and nurses, teachers, and religion-related jobs. Several of these parkinsonian clusters have been described, including those living in Israeli kibbutz’s, a group of college teachers, garment workers in a manufacturing factory, a group of actors and producers and technical staff working on a television series in Canada (25, 26).
Table 1.2 Association of Virus and Parkinsonism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus Family</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNA Herpesviridae</td>
<td>Herpes simplex virus</td>
<td>(27-30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epstein-Barr virus</td>
<td>(31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cytomegalovirus (CMV)</td>
<td>(28, 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Varicella zoster virus (VZV)</td>
<td>(32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bornaviridae</td>
<td>Borna disease virus</td>
<td>(33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthomyxoviridae</td>
<td>Influenza virus Type A</td>
<td>(34-43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paramyxoviridae</td>
<td>Measles</td>
<td>(44, 45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coxsackie virus</td>
<td>(46-49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picornaviridae</td>
<td>Echo virus</td>
<td>(50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polio virus</td>
<td>(51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retroviridae</td>
<td>Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)</td>
<td>(52-57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West Nile virus</td>
<td>(58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaviviridae</td>
<td>Japanese encephalitis B virus</td>
<td>(34, 59-66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Louis virus</td>
<td>(67-69)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.4.4 Combination of multiple factors

It is hypothesized that PD is caused not by a single event but by a combination of multiple hit throughout the life of the individual (70-73). If PD results from a combination of various insults (including genetic defects, environmental toxin exposure, viral infection, natural loss of DA neurons, and/or normal aging), the event that initiates disease progression may occur far earlier than the onset of symptoms. Indeed, McCormack et al., showed that the exposure to an environmental toxin such as PQ during the early postnatal period made the SN more vulnerable to the neurotoxin in adult mice (74). In addition, rodents that have been exposed to the bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) during prenatal stages show decreased resistance to 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) during their adult period (75). These results suggest that these insults in early life increase susceptibility to the effects of neurotoxins later in life.

1.5 Pathology of PD

The main neuropathological defect observed in PD is the selective loss of the pigmented cells located in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc). Cell loss has also been described in the locus coeruleus (76), the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve (77) and throughout the autonomic nervous system (78). The pigmented cells in the SNpc are dopamine (DA) producing neurons, and consequently the loss of these cells results in a reduction in the number of both afferent fibers and the amount of DA to its main target, the striatum (79). When patients lose approximately 70~80% of their striatal DA and 50~60% of the dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc), the typical constellation of parkinsonian symptoms become evident (80). Because the progression of cell loss is thought to occur over a protracted period of time in a defined spatiotemporal manner, the onset of Parkinson's disease symptoms are often insidious (81, 82).

In addition to neuronal loss, primary PD is also defined by the presence of intracytoplasmic aggregates, Lewy bodies (LB) and intraprocess aggregates, Lewy neurites (LN). The presence of LB is not limited to the SNpc, but distributed in the olfactory bulb, hypothalamus, locus ceruleus, cerebral cortex, vagus nerve, and myenteric plexus of the autonomic nervous system (83). LB were first described and linked to PD by Frederic Lewy (84) and subsequent studies using electron microscopy revealed that these inclusions are made of an unknown filament (85).

1.5.1 The role of Lewy bodies (LB)

It is debated if the abnormal protein aggregation observed in various neurodegenerative diseases is protective or deleterious to the cell. The role of LB in PD is also unclear. It has been suggested that LB containing-dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc are less vulnerable to cell death than LB negative dopaminergic neurons (86). In this view, LB can be thought of as resulting from a cellular defensive mechanism like the
formation of an aggresome (87). In the cell, the appearance of misfolded or abnormal proteins are managed by several cellular protective mechanisms such as the molecular chaperones, ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) and autophagy lysosome pathway (ALP) (87). When the amount of abnormal or aggregated protein exceed the capacity of the UPS and/or ALP, cells can sequester them at a single cytoplasmic site near the centriole and generate a large inclusion body called an aggresome(88). The aggresome recruits UPS components and may facilitate abnormal protein degradation within itself. After formation, the aggresome may persist in an insoluble form or be cleared by an unknown mechanism (89).

Like the aggresome, LB contain many components of the UPS including ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1), ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2), ubiquitin-ligase (E3), proteasome activator, and heat shock protein(90-92). LB also contain specific aggresomal proteins such as γ-tubulin and pericentrin. In a cell culture model, the proteolytic stresses driven by proteasome inhibitors or by the over-expression of protein induce the formation of aggresome-like inclusions in dopaminergic cells. These inclusions have a dense core and peripheral halo, mimicking the shape of LB (93). These evidences suggest that LB may be formed by the cellular protective mechanism.

1.5.2 The structure and function of α-synuclein

In 1997, Spillantini et al, were first to describe α-synuclein protein as the main component of LB and LN in idiopathic PD (94). At a similar time, a missense mutation in the α-synuclein gene was reported in familial PD by another group (95). α-synuclein is a member of the synuclein family that includes β-synuclein and γ-synuclein. α-synuclein consists of 140-amino acid residues and has three distinct domains. The amino-terminal domain (residues 1-70) carries incomplete KTKEGV repeats (96). The hydrophobic domain (residues 71-82), also called the non-amyloid component (NAC), appears to be accountable for the aggregation of α-synuclein (97). The carboxyl-terminal domain (residues 96-140) consists of acidic residues and contains three highly conserved tyrosine residues. This region appears to be involved in the solubilization of high molecular weight proteins and may regulate the aggregation of full length α-synuclein (98). Also, the deletion of this domain results in the loss of chaperone activity of α-synuclein (99).

The function of α-synuclein still requires clarification. It is known that α-synuclein binds to the lipid membrane and selectively inhibits phospholipase D2, which may be involved in cytoskeletal regulation and endocytosis. Synphilin-1 is also known to bind to α-synuclein where it may act as an adaptor molecule, since it contains ankyrin-like repeats, a coiled-coil domain and an ATP/GTP binding domain. In vitro, synphilin is co-localized with α-synuclein in neurons and facilitates the formation of cytosolic phase-dense inclusions (100). In vivo, synphilin-1 is detected in the Lewy bodies of idiopathic PD (101). These observations suggest that Synphilin-1 may modulate the aggregation of α-synuclein and the formation of Lewy bodies.
α-synuclein knock-out mice show morphologically intact brain architecture and have no structural deficit in dopaminergic cell bodies, processes and synapses. In addition, these mice display normal long term potentiation (LTP) in the hippocampus (102) and no deficit in spatial learning (103). However, they show enhanced DA release with coupled stimuli by using Ca\(^{2+}\), and decreased striatal DA and DA dependent locomotion with amphetamine administration. Based on these observation, Abeliiovich et al., suggest that α-synuclein may act as an “activity-dependent negative regulator of DA neurotransmission” in the presynaptic terminal (102).

1.5.3 Association of α-synuclein with the formation of LB in PD

In mammals, α-synuclein is predominately expressed in the presynaptic nerve terminals of the olfactory bulb, amygdala, hippocampus, and cerebral cortex (104). The post-translational modifications of α-synuclein are not fully understood. However, in α-synuclein transfected cells, it is frequently phosphorylated at serine 129 (105). In addition, it has been discovered that phosphorylation at serine 129 produces dominant pathological changes in familial and idiopathic PD (106).

The mechanism by which soluble monomeric α-synuclein leaves its assumed binding site in presynaptic terminals, combines with other LB components such as ubiquitin, and forms insoluble LB and LN (107) is largely unknown. Emerging evidence demonstrates that multiple factors may be involved in the aggregation of α-synuclein and the formation of LB in PD (108).

Mutations of the α-synuclein gene, including Ala53Thr, Ala30Pro, and Glu46Lys have been identified to be dominantly or recessively inherited in familial PD (95), indicating that the mutated α-synuclein gene is directly involved in PD. Furthermore, Ala53Thr and Glu46Lys mutated α-synuclein proteins display increased self-assembly and fibril formation in vitro (109-111). Environmental toxins, such as rotenone and paraquat, are also known to induce a conformational change in α-synuclein and facilitate the formation of α-synuclein fibrils in vitro (112).

A change in cellular protein degradation may also be involved in the α-synuclein aggregation and LB formation. It has been demonstrated that α-synuclein is a substrate for the UPS (113, 114) whereas the mutated α-synuclein protein inhibits proteasome-mediated degradation (114). In addition, mutations in the genes encoding two UPS enzymes, parkin (a component of a multiprotein E3 ubiquitin ligase complex) and ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase L1 (UCH-L1), are also associated with some cases of familial PD (115, 116), supporting the theory that defects in the UPS may result in proteinopathies in PD.

The autophagy lysosome pathway (ALP), a catabolic process in which a cell's own components can be degraded by lysosomal machinery, also may have a role in synucleinopathies in PD. Cuervo et al., found that the wild type α-synuclein is a substrate for lysosomal degradation and is selectively translocated into lysosomes by the
chaperone-mediated autophagy pathway (CMA). Interestingly, the A53T and A30P α-synuclein mutants, showing a high affinity to lysosomal membrane receptors, are targeted to lysosomes, but fail to be cleared. Rather, it inhibits the degradation of both mutant α-synucleins and other CMA substrates (117). Thus, the accumulation of mutant synucleins as well as other CMA substrate proteins alters cellular homoeostasis and results in neurodegeneration (118).

1.5.4 Braak’s pathological observations

Although the exact role of LB in PD is not yet clear, there is a hypothesis that the presence of LB is positively correlated with the progression of PD (119). Braak et al. assessed α-synuclein positive LB and LN by a semi quantitative method in 41 cases of symptomatic PD, 69 subjects who might have been developing PD, but did not show parkinsonian symptoms, and 58 aged matched controls. Interestingly, the distribution of LB and LN, ranging from the brainstem to the cerebral cortex, appeared in a hierarchical manner that is correlated with PD symptomatic progression. For example, in Braak’s stages 1 and 2, both of which are preclinical, the presence of LB and LN is confined to the medulla oblongata and olfactory bulb. In this stage, LB and LN initially appear on the dorsal IX/X motor nucleus (DMN) then spread into the pontine tegmentum. In Braak stages 3 and 4, LB and LN are found in the midbrain, including the SNpc, in addition to the areas affected in stage 1 and 2. In stages 5 and 6, in which PD symptoms have progressed to their most complete, including dementia, LB and LN are newly detected in the neocortex (120, 121).

These patterns of Braak’s LB and LN progression are somewhat correlated with the symptoms that clinicians observe in PD patients. For example, it has been reported that autonomic symptoms, including constipation, olfactory dysfunction, and sleep disorders may precede motor symptoms by years (122, 123). LB and LN seen in the enteric plexuses, dorsal motor nucleus, and olfactory nucleus at Braak’s stage 1 and 2 may account for the induction of these symptoms. These observations support the hypothesis that the formation of LB and LN may be initiated far earlier than when the typical motor symptoms appear, as in sporadic PD.

The question arises, what might trigger such LB pathology in the DMN, a nucleus that integrates fibers that innervate visceral organs, including the lung, heart, gastrointestinal tract as well as olfactory nucleus in the early phase of PD? Some viruses including influenza and Herpes simplex have been proposed to attack the enteric nervous system (ENS) and to be transmitted into the DMN by retrograde transport (124). Interestingly, influenza virus seems to be associated with LB formation. Interferon induced protein MxA, which plays a role in defense against influenza virus, was found in LB and swollen processes in sporadic PD (43). However, no direct evidence that influenza virus induces the formation of LB in idiopathic PD has been presented.
1.5.5 Neuroinflammation

More evidence suggests that neuroinflammation may play an important role in PD progression. Two classes of glial cells, astrocytes, and microglia, are thought to play different roles in the survival of neurons. Astrocytes, the most numerous cell type in the CNS, have a role in maintaining brain homeostasis and the blood brain barrier, responding to brain damage, and producing neurotrophic factors. Microglia, the resident mononuclear phagocytic cells in the CNS, are present in a resting state but become activated following trauma or metabolic insult. They play a key role as the initial line of defense in the CNS, producing proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), interleukin beta (IL-1β), and interferon gamma (IFN-γ) (125).

The increased number of activated microglia and enhanced levels of pro-inflammatory mediators such as IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α, inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) have been detected in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and the brain parenchyma of idiopathic PD patients (126-130). In addition, the postmortem study of MPTP-intoxicated PD patients reveal that gliosis and clustering of microglial cells existed decades after the initial intoxication (131). Epidemiological studies also support this notion, demonstrating that chronic non-steroid-anti-inflammation-drug (NSAID) users have lower PD incidence than non regular users (132-134). However, when and how neuroinflammation occurs in PD is still largely unknown.

1.6 Treatment of PD

1.6.1 Pharmacological treatments

Levodopa, a precursor molecule in DA synthesis, was first introduced for human use in the late 1960’s (135) and has been the main treatment for PD for more than 40 years (136). Unlike dopamine, L-dopa can cross the blood brain barrier freely, be transported into the dopaminergic neuron through amino acid transporter systems, and be converted to dopamine by dopa-decarboxylase (137). A peripheral dopa decarboxylase inhibitor (DDC) and catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) are often co-administered to prolong the peripheral half life of levodopa, and increase it’s availability in the CNS (138). So far, L-dopa, used in conjunction with a peripheral dopa decarboxylase inhibitor, has been shown to be the most effective way to relieve symptoms in the early and mid-stages of PD (139).

About 40-75% of patients who have had 4-6 years of levodopa therapy develop side effects, such as motor fluctuations and dyskinesias (140, 141). Fluctuations in motor performance are thought to be outcomes of the rise and fall of L-dopa levels in plasma following each daily dose (142). The progressive loss of dopaminergic neurons results in a reduction in the storage capacity of dopamine terminals. Consequently, dopamine levels in the synapse readily reflect the levodopa levels in plasma and motor fluctuations become worse (143). Dyskinesias, and choreic or dystonic involuntary movements are
also correlated with prolonged L-dopa treatment. The exact mechanism underlying dyskinesias is not fully understood. However, it is generally accepted that the pulsatile stimulation caused by fluctuating and discontinuing levels of L-dopa in the brain may affect the output of the basal ganglia and induce dyskinesias (144).

The dopamine agonists, including bromocriptine, pergolide, pramipexole, ropinirole, lisuride, and cabergoline have been used singly or in combination with L-dopa. In contrast to L-dopa, dopamine agonists alone less provoke the motor side effects in de novo patients. However, it can cause non-motor side effects such as gastrointestinal disorders, sleep attack, and impulsive control disorder (145).

Selegiline and rasagiline, potent type B monoamine oxidase (MAO-B) inhibitors, have been used to treat PD since the 1970s (146). Although they are less effective than either L-dopa or dopamine agonists, they are well tolerated and are able to delay or reduce dopaminergic treatment by increasing the cerebral level of dopamine (147).

**1.6.2 Surgical treatments and deep brain stimulation**

Pallidotomy and thalamotomy were performed before L-dopa was developed as a drug therapy. In these procedures, small lesions are made in the globus pallidus or the ventrolateral thalamus to relieve PD related tremors or rigidity, respectively. Although such surgical procedures provided substantial improvements in PD symptoms, they often resulted in cognitive impairment and other side effects (148).

Deep brain stimulation (DBS) was introduced as a substitute to thalamic lesioning by Benabid et al. in 1987. An electrode, connected to a programmable pulse generator, is placed in, and permanently stimulates the target areas, either Gpi or STN. DBS is very effective in reducing motor fluctuation and dyskinesias in advanced PD, although it has some potential to provoke neuropsychological dysfunction (149).

**1.6.3 Human mesencephalic tissue transplantation**

The first clinical trial of transplantation of human fetal ventral mesencephalic (VM) tissue into PD patients was performed in the 1980s. VM tissues were derived from 6-9 week old human embryos and placed on either one or both sides of the striatum (150, 151). Patients who had VM tissue implanted showed substantial improvement in the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) and the activities of daily life (ADL) scale, represented by increased fluorodopa uptake on PET scan, as well as normal DA release (152). Furthermore, postmortem pathology studies at 18 months after transplantation revealed that the grafted DA neurons survived well and reinnervated the host striatum (153). Subsequently, there have been two double blind, placebo controlled clinical trials conducted, however, these failed to recapitulate previous results. Compared to the sham control groups, the patient receiving transplants showed little or no improvement in the UPDRS, despite increased fluorodopa uptake seen in PET scanning.
Graft-induced dyskinesias have occurred in approximately 50% of the grafted patients within 6-12 months (154).

Do dopaminergic grafts follow the host PD related pathology? Postmortem studies in four patients who died 11-16 years after surgery showed that the grafted neurons had α-synuclein positive Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites. However, no Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites were found in grafted tissue in the patients who died 4 to 9 years after surgery. These data suggest that at least a decade is needed to develop LB pathology in the grafted tissue (155).

1.7 Animal Models for PD

The key features of PD are progressive neurodegeneration of dopaminergic neurons in the SN and motor symptoms such as tremors and bradykinesia. During the last few decades, many investigators have aimed to generate animal models that recapitulate at least some of the cardinal symptoms of PD. Two approaches, based on either genetic manipulation or neurotoxins, are being used to develop a PD model in animals.

1.7.1 Genetic model

1.7.1.1 α-synuclein

A lot of attention has been focused on the α-synuclein gene, because α-synuclein is a major component of LB, and Ala53Thr, Ala30Pro, and Glu46Lys mutations have been identified as causative in dominantly or recessively inherited familial PD (95). The first transgenic mouse model that over-expressed wild type human α-synuclein showed α-synuclein positive neuronal inclusions in the SN, neocortex, and hippocampus. Despite the degeneration of nerve terminals in the striatum, no obvious loss of DA neurons has been found in the SN of these mice (156).

To determine the effect of α-synuclein defects in dopaminergic neurons, wild type, A53T, and A30P α-synuclein mutant mice were used to target α-synuclein overexpression or mutation in cells expressing tyrosine hydroxylase (TH). Mice with a single gene mutation failed to recapitulate DA cell loss and did not display synuclein pathology. Mice with double mutations (A30P/A53T) in the human form of α-synuclein exhibited a progressive loss of dopaminergic neurons in the SN with decreased motor activity. However, the pathological relevance is controversial since the double mutation of α-synuclein protein has not been found in human familial PD (157).

The first conditional transgenic mouse in which the expression of α-synuclein was regulated by the tetracycline system, has been established by Nuber et al. These mice showed a modest loss of dopaminergic neurons in the SN without any synuclein-positive inclusions. In addition, they showed reduced neurogenesis and neurodegeneration in the hippocampus without fibrillary inclusions. When α-synuclein gene expression is turned
off, the progression of PD-like phenotypes is alleviated, but not reversed, indicating that continuous expression of the α-synuclein gene is necessary for disease progression (158).

Unlike the mouse model, α-synuclein overexpression in Drosophila showed a stronger PD-like phenotype. Over-expression of mutant (A53T and A30P) or wild type α-synuclein in flies resulted in a progressive loss of dopaminergic neurons in the dorsomedial clusters and correlated with a loss of climbing ability. In addition, α-synuclein positive inclusions were observed in dopaminergic neurons (159).

1.7.1.2 Leucine-rich repeat kinase (LRRK) 2

The leucine-rich repeat kinase (LRRK) 2 gene contains 51 exons and encodes a large protein composed of 2527 amino acids. RNA expression occurs predominantly in the basal ganglia and the hippocampus. The protein encoded by the LRRK2 gene includes several independent domains including a leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain, a kinase domain, a Roc GTPase domain and a C-terminal WD40 domain (160).

Mutations in LRRK2 have been recognized in approximately 7% of familial PD cases as well as 1-3% of idiopathic PD cases. So far, at least 20 polymorphic loci in the LRRK2 gene have been identified and linked to familial PD. Among them, the G2019S missense mutation is the most common, accounting for up to 6% of familial cases in Europe (161). Unlike other PD-associated genes, the LRRK2 G2019 mutation gives rise to late-onset PD which has a clinically similar phenotype to idiopathic PD. However, the exact mechanism by which the LRRK2 mutation induces the disease is unknown (162).

In Drosophila models, over expression of either wild type or G2019S mutated LRRK2 causes adult-onset dopaminergic neuron degeneration, accompanied by decreased motor activity that is alleviated by L-DOPA treatment. In contrast to this, transgenic flies that overexpress R144C mutated LRRK showed no difference in the number of DA neurons. Y1699C or I2020T mutant flies, however, display a significant loss of DA neurons in the dorsomedial protocerebral posterior lateral (PPL1) cluster and the dorsolateral protocerebral posterior medial (PPM1/2) clusters at the age of 60 days, but not at 10 days old, suggesting that the neurotoxicity of the LRRK2 mutant requires a certain amount of time to be manifested (163).

1.7.1.3 Parkin

Mutations in parkin, a component of E3 ubiquitin ligase encoded by the PARK2 gene, is identified as the cause of autosomal recessive juvenile parkinsonism (ARJP) in humans (164). It is assumed that the loss of parkin function may impair the ubiquitin-proteasome system and subsequently cause the neurotoxic accumulation of its substrates. Indeed, many substrates of parkin such as CDCrel (septin 5), Cyclin E, and far upstream element-binding protein-1 (FBP-1) were found accumulated in the brain of parkin associated PD patients, even though the DA neuron specific substrates have not been reported (162).
Parkin null mutant mice have been generated by several independent groups. However, none of these transgenic mice exhibited typical PD pathologies. In addition, the accumulation of Parkin substrates was not detected in the brain (162). In the fly model, the parkin null mutation results in a significant and progressive loss of DA neurons in the protocerebral posterior lateral-1 (PPL-1) cluster. Moreover, the neurodegenerative phenotype of parkin mutants is more severe when combined with loss-of-function mutations of glutathione S-transferase S1 (GstS1), an important detoxification enzyme that reduces oxidative stress. A subsequent study also revealed that overexpression of GstS1 suppresses DA neurodegeneration in the parkin mutant flies, suggesting that overexpression of GstS1 has potential therapeutic value in the treatment of PD (165).

1.7.2 Neurotoxin models

Before the advent of genetic models, the majority of experimental PD studies relied on toxin based models. Toxin-based models recapitulate nigrostriatal neurodegeneration, however the degeneration process is often acute compared to idiopathic PD, which requires decades to develop in humans (12).

1.7.2.1 1-Methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP)

1-Methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) as a agent that could induce parkinsonism was discovered by investigation of the “Frozen Addict” cases in 1982. A home drug developer accidentally prepared MPTP as a byproduct during the process of making a heroin derivative, MPPP (1-methyl-4-phenyl-4-propionoxypiperidine), and a group of drug addicts who self-administered MPTP developed severe acute parkinsonism (17). Further studies discovered that MPTP selectively induces nonreversible dopaminergic neuron death in the SN and depletion of DA in the striatum in those patients. MPTP, a lipophilic compound, can readily cross the blood-brain barrier. Once inside the brain, MPTP is converted into the toxic metabolite, MPP+ (1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium) by monoamine oxidase B in glial cells. MPP+ is taken up by the dopamine transporter and accumulates in dopaminergic neurons in the SN. Once in the neuron, MPP+ inhibits complex I of electron transport chain in mitochondria and consequently produces oxidative damage, leading to cell death (12).

In primates, MPTP causes irreversible and severe parkinsonian symptoms which are not distinguishable from those of idiopathic PD. These include the degeneration of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra, LB pathology, and motor symptoms which can be ameliorated with L-dopa or dopamine agonist (17). The main drawback of the primate MPTP model is that the disease progression is more rapid than that of idiopathic PD, which develops over a few decades (166).

Rodents show variable amounts of susceptibility to MPTP toxicity, which is dependent upon the species and strain of the animal. Rats are resistant and some strains of mice are susceptible to MPTP toxicity. The C57BL/6J strain is well known as the most sensitive strain to MPTP and largely used as a MPTP induced PD model (167). However,
little or no motor symptoms or LB pathology is observed after MPTP treatment in this strain (168).

1.7.2.2 6-Hydroxydopamine (OHDA)

6-Hydroxydopamine (OHDA) is the first chemical known to have neurotoxic effects on the catecholaminergic pathway. 6-OHDA can be directly injected either into the SN or striatum. Injection into the SN causes rapid DA cell death, while the striatal injection of 6-OHDA induces a slow retrograde degeneration of neurons in the SN. Once it is delivered, 6-OHDA is selectively accumulated in the SN neurons and presumably kills neurons by inducing free radical damage. Numerous species are sensitive to 6-OHDA, including mice, cats, dogs, monkeys and rats, and a quantifiable motor deficit is present without LB pathology (166).

1.7.2.3 Rotenone

Rotenone was isolated from the roots and stems of several plants and has been used as a pesticide and fish poison. Highly lipophilic, rotenone easily penetrates the blood-brain barrier, accumulates in the mitochondria, and inhibits complex-I of the electron transport chain. Although complex-I in the mitochondria is evenly distributed throughout cells in the brain, only the dopamine neurons in the SN selectively degenerate with exposure to rotenone. This suggests that the dopamine neurons in the SN are particularly sensitive to rotenone (169).

In the rat, in addition to inducing the loss of SN DA neurons, rotenone induces formation of LB, which have not been noted in either the 6-OHDA or MPTP models. Chronic, intravenous administration of rotenone produces PD-like motor symptoms, such as bradykinesia, postural instability, and tremors. These symptoms improve with administration of L-dopa or dopamine agonist treatments (169).

However, the rotenone model does pose several disadvantages. First, PD-like pathology induced by rotenone is variable, depending on the protocol of administration of rotenone. Second, the association between PD-like motor symptoms and the loss of dopaminergic neurons has not been fully confirmed in the rotenone model. These motor changes may also be caused by the abnormalities arising in the cardiac system, stomach, and liver that are seen in the rotenone model (170).

1.7.2.4 Paraquat and maneb

Paraquat is a herbicide widely used throughout the world. Investigators are interested in paraquat, because not only does it share structural similarities with MPP+, the toxic form of MPTP, but also provides an etiological link to PD in epidemiological studies (20). Paraquat is reduced by several reductases, including mitochondrial complex I, and becomes a PQ monovalent cation (PQ+). Subsequently, PQ free radicals are rapidly oxidized by oxygen and produce superoxide radicals and reactive oxygen species that are toxic to the cell (20). Paraquat produces a dose dependent loss of dopaminergic
neurons in the SN and a decrease in tyrosine hydroxylase immunoreactivity in the striatum (171). The up-regulation and aggregation of α-synuclein is also observed in the rodent PQ model (171).

Maneb is an agricultural fungicide. The mechanism of maneb toxicity is not clear, however, it is hypothesized that maneb crosses the blood brain barrier and inhibits mitochondrial complex III (172). There is a report that chronic exposure to maneb causes PD-like symptoms in humans (173). In a mouse model, maneb showed a synergistic effect on nigrostriatal degeneration when it was co-administered with paraquat. The loss of DA fibers and the turnover rate of DA and DOPAC were greater with exposure to both toxins than to either toxin administered alone (174, 175). This study lends support to the theory that a combination of environmental toxins may have synergistic effects on the development of PD (170).

1.8 Viral-Induced Parkinsonism

1.8.1 Influenza virus

Neurological symptoms associated with influenza have been reported as far back as 1385 and intermittent outbreaks with similar symptoms have occurred at other times during influenza outbreaks (176, 177). The Influenza virus has also been implicated as both a direct and an indirect cause of Parkinson’s disease, based on clinical descriptions and epidemiological studies (42, 178-182).

Influenza viruses are negative sense, single-strand RNA viruses. Influenza viruses belong to the family of Orthomyxoviridae which comprises influenza A, B, C, Isavirus and Thogotovirus, based on differences in their nucleoprotein (NP) and matrix protein (M) (183). Influenza A and B viruses are highly contagious pathogens that cause mild to severe infections in humans. Two glycoproteins, the haemagglutinin (H or HA) and neuraminidase (N or NA), determine the antigenicity of influenza A and B. Further, Influenza A virus is clustered into sixteen H (H1-H16) and nine N(N1-N9) subtypes, based on antigenic analysis of the HA and NA genes (184).

Most influenza viruses attack the mucosa of the respiratory tract and are transmitted into the respiratory epithelial cells, as well as other types of cells. Replication is very fast and can occur within 6 hours after infection. Clinical symptoms include acute onset of fever, myalgias, and respiratory symptoms (185, 186). However, in severe cases, influenza infection may result in primary viral pneumonia, secondary bacterial pneumonia, or complications involving the central nervous system (182, 187-189).

1.8.1.1 Viral structure

Influenza viruses have pleomorphic shapes with an average diameter of 120nm. Eight RNA gene segments of influenza A encode three polymerase complexes.
(Polymerase B1, B2 and A protein), two surface glycoproteins (HA, NA), and other associated proteins including nucleoprotein (NP), matrix proteins (M1, M2), and non-structural protein (NS). These gene segments are encapsulated by nucleoprotein (NP) (Fig 1.2) (184).

Haemagglutinin, a 76 kDa glycoprotein, is composed of homotrimers. Each subunit spans and protrudes from the envelope membrane and is presented on the outer surface, serving as the major surface antigen of influenza virus recognized by neutralizing antibodies (190). The precursor polypeptide HA0 is synthesized and cleaved by host originated trypsin-like protease into two subunits, HA1 and HA2 (191). The head of HA1 contains the receptor binding site and binds to either alpha 2-3 or 2-6 linked sialic acid on the host cell surface, depending on the amino acid sequence in the binding pocket. The amino terminus of HA2 is very important for viral-cell membrane fusion. The cleavage of HA0 is a prerequisite for virus infectivity and is dependent upon the presence of host-originated protease. Therefore, the distribution of proteases in the tissue and the ability to cleave viral HA by the proteases are the main determinants of pathogenicity of influenza virus (191, 192).

Neuraminidase is a homotetramer and each monomer contains an enzymatically active globular head domain and a membrane linked stalk domain. NA cleaves the linkage between a terminal sialic acid and a D-galactose or D-galactosamine residue and has an essential role in releasing progeny viral particles from the infected cells (193, 194).

Matrix proteins, M1 and M2 are encoded by the M gene. M1 protein interacts with the cytosolic domain of HA, NA, and M2 protein and forms a layer to separate the ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes from the viral membrane, providing rigidity to the membrane (195). The M1 protein is also reported to regulate viral RNP nuclear transport (196). M2, a splice variant of M mRNA, is an integral membrane protein and displays ion channel activity for the regulation of pH. This function of the M2 protein acidifies the interior of the viral particle and induces dislocation of RNP from M1 proteins and ejects RNP into the cytoplasm (196).

Basic polymerase 2 (PB2), basic polymerase 1 (PB1), and acidic polymerase protein (PA) are encoded by segments 1, 2, and 3, respectively. These polymerase proteins interact with nucleoprotein (NP) and form a polymerase complex for viral transcription and replication (184). PB2 is targeted to the nucleus of the infecting cell by its nuclear localization signal. PB2 binds the 5’ cap structure of viral mRNA and generates cap primers to initiate viral mRNA synthesis. PB1 also contains nuclear localization signals and is transported into the host nucleus. PB1 plays an important role in the assembly of the polymerase complex and the polymerization of RNA (197). Like PB1 and PB2, PA provides a nuclear localization signal and is packed into the nucleus of the infected cell. PA is thought to be involved in viral transcription and replication, but the exact function of PA is not fully understood (184).
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Nucleoprotein (NP), a phosphorylated basic protein, contains an RNA-binding domain in the amino terminus and binds to the backbone of the viral RNA. It has been suggested that NP switches viral RNA polymerase from transcription to replication based on the observation that a temperature sensitive mutant of NP synthesizes only mRNA, but not complementary RNA (cRNA), at the nonpermissive temperature. NP is also thought to be involved in nuclear transportation of viral RNA at an early phase of viral infection (184, 199).

Non-structural protein (NS) 1 is derived from segment 8 of the influenza A virus, while NS2 is encoded by the spliced mRNA of segment 8. NS1 is the only known nonstructural protein at this time. It functions to inhibit splicing and nuclear export of cellular mRNA, and to enhance viral protein expression by binding to cellular RNA and viral RNA (184). Recently it has been reported that NS1 protein of A/HK/483/97(H5N1) makes the virus less susceptible to the antiviral proteins, interferon, and tumor necrosis factor alpha (200). NS2 is incorporated in viral particles. NS2 carries nuclear export signals and has an essential role when the progeny viral RNPs are exported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm of the infected cell (201).

1.8.1.2 Life cycle of the influenza A virus

The life cycle of the influenza A virus is initiated by the binding of HA to the sialic acid receptor on the host cell surface. The sialic acid linkage to galactose by $\alpha_2-3$ or $\alpha_2-6$ is the main factor that determines host specificity (202). The virus enters the host cell by clathrin-coated receptor mediated endocytosis and the vesicle harboring the whole virus then fuses with endosomes. The acidic environment of the endosome triggers a conformational change of HA, allowing the fusion of HA with the vesicular membrane. The proton influx mediated by the M2 ion channel induces dissociation of the M1 from the vRNP, resulting in uncoating of the virus. The viral RNPs are translocated to the host cell nucleus where transcription and replication occur (202).

vRNAs serve as templates for both cRNA and mRNA. PB2 polymerase recognizes and binds to the 5’ end of host mRNA and cleaves it 10-15 nucleotides away from the cap structure. The viral polymerase complex uses the cleaved cap structure as primers for viral mRNA transcription (203). Viral mRNA that is capped and polyadenylated is then exported to the cytoplasm. The mRNAs encoding viral membrane proteins such as HA, NA, and M2 are translated by ribosomes in the rough endoplasmic reticulum. Subsequently, these proteins pass to the secretory pathway at the trans-Golgi for glycosylation, then move to the host cell surface and are incorporated into the host cell membrane (202). The mRNAs encoding the other proteins, PB2, PB1, PA, NP, NS1, and NS2 are translated by free ribosomes in the cytosol. PB2, PB1, PA, and NP are then transported back into the nucleus and initiate complementary RNA (cRNA) transcription using vRNAs as templates. As a full length copy of the vRNA, cRNA serves as a template for the synthesis of progeny virus genomes and newly synthesized progeny vRNA forms vRNP binding proteins. M1 proteins, which contain nuclear localization domains, then bind to vRNP and promote the nuclear export of vRNPs. NS2 protein is thought to be involved in enhancing the function of M1 protein.
vRNPs are transported into the cell membrane where the envelope proteins are located and are composed of viral particles. When newly assembled virus particles bud off from the host cell membrane, NA cleaves the sialic acid receptors on the host cell and allows virus particles to leave the host cell (202) (Fig 1.3).

1.8.2 Previous human influenza pandemics

Influenza A viruses were the etiological agents of a number of pandemics in the last century including the 1918 H1N1 pandemic, the 1957 H2N2 pandemic, and the 1968 H3N2 pandemic. The 1918 flu pandemic, the most catastrophic pandemic, infected 500 million people, which was about 20-40% of the total world population at that time. Twenty to forty million people died with acute influenza symptoms in that single year (204). The impact was so profound that it reduced the average life expectancy in the USA by over 10 years, and of the reduction of the population was also thought to affect the end of the First World War (204).

1.8.3 Von Economo’s disease and postencephalic parkinsonism

There is a large body of evidence that influenza can directly lead to encephalitis (178, 182, 188, 205-212). However, the link with Parkinson’s disease is somewhat controversial. Much of the linkage of parkinsonism with influenza and many other viruses stems from an outbreak of encephalitis lethargica (EL), also known as von Economo’s disease, and the postencephalic parkinsonism that occurred subsequent to the 1918 pandemic influenza outbreak caused by type A H1N1 influenza virus (213). It is thought that at least 500,000 cases of EL occurred from 1917 until 1940 (39).

Von Economo discussed the etiology of what he observed and ruled out external sources such as bad sausages (wurstvergiftung) and due to the timing around WWI, poison gas (gasvergiftung). He also ruled out meningitis and polio due to the fact that the patients he saw had no contact with each other, each of these diseases were independent, and no evidence of epidemics occurred as was usually seen with these two diseases. He did discuss the possibility of this being related to “grippe” which was another name for influenza. Because his cases, as well as a few others (Cruchet described a similar outbreak of “sleeping sickness” in a number of French soldiers) (214), occurred prior to the major outbreak of pandemic influenza, von Economo ultimately concluded that what he saw was a separate disorder from direct influenza infection. However, he was not able to rule out influenza as a prodromal disease. Further studies of this disease have described 28 specific subtypes of von Economo’s encephalitis. Of these subtypes, only three will be relevant should another influenza pandemic occur. These three subtypes are the somnolent-opthalmoplegic type, the parkinsonian type, and the juvenile pseudopsychopathia type (215). The second type is most related to PD. The features of postencephalic parkinsonism (PEP) have both shared and distinct symptomatology to idiopathic Parkinson’s disease. Similarities include many of the classic motor symptoms including bradykinesia, tremors, and some parkinsonian “mask-like” features such as
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ptosis, while differences can include facial twitching, myoclonus, catatonia, mutism, the lack of Lewy bodies, and the presence of neurofibrillary tangles that are common to Alzheimer’s disease (215).

Most PEP cases occurred within 6 months to 1 year following an episode of acute EL while others developed parkinsonian symptoms after the passage of decades (217). At least 50% of PEP patients had a clinical history of acute EL (218). Epidemiological studies of PEP patients showed that PEP had a single etiology related to viral infections around the 1920s. In addition, individuals who were born between 1892 and 1929, a period of Spanish flu pandemic, had a higher incidence of PD later in life (219, 220). These pieces of evidence suggest a possible link between PEP and the 1918 flu pandemic.

A causal link between PEP and the 1918 flu pandemic, however, has never been fully demonstrated and remains controversial. It has also been shown that several type A influenza viruses, travel into the nervous system following systemic infection (neurotropism) (180, 221, 222). Related to the finding of H1N1 neurovirulence, immunofluorescent staining against antigens from two type A influenza strains have been found in the brain of a number of EL patients, suggesting that at some time, a neurovirulent form of influenza was present in the brain (36). However, influenza RNA fragments were not found in the archival brain autopsy samples of EL and PEP patients, suggesting that 1918 influenza virus was either not neurotropic or the direct cause of EL (223). In addition, the levels of anti-influenza A antibody in the serum or CSF of PD patients was not significantly different from that of age matched controls (224).

As suggested by Vilensky’s writings in a report for the Sophie Cameron Trust (207), proving a negative in this case is difficult. The lack of recovery of viral RNA from EL or PEP patients is not surprising. First, and especially in the case of PEP patients, the time from infection to symptoms was many years and the viral infection would have been transient. In addition, it has been shown in many cases of encephalitis as well as toxin induced parkinsonism that the offending agent may cause a long lasting immune response in the brain that persists many years after the insult has resolved, leading to a “hit and run” mechanism where the original insult is no longer present, but the secondary sequelae persists (225).

1.8.4 Other viruses

1.8.4.1 Coxsackie virus

The Coxsackie virus was first isolated from human feces in the town of Coxsackie, New York, in 1948 by G. Dalldorf (226, 227). The Coxsackie virus is a member of the Picornaviridae family of viruses in the genus termed Enterovirus. According to the Center for Disease Prevention and Control, there are 66 serotypes of enterovirus and these include 3 polioviruses. Coxsackie viruses are RNA viruses that primarily affect children and young adults (228). Infection with Coxsakie virus can easily
be passed from person to person and been associated with a number of diseases, including meningitis (229), myocarditis (230), and pericarditis (231).

Acute parkinsonism has been noted after infection with Coxsackie virus (48, 49), although an association with traditional adult-onset idiopathic Parkinson’s disease has never been established. As with the influenza virus, it is possible that early infection with the Coxsackie virus can induce a long lived activation of glial cells that would predispose the subject to succumb to oxidative insult much later in life (230).

1.8.4.2 Japanese encephalitis B, St. Louis and West Nile viruses

Japanese encephalitis B (JEBV), St. Louis, and West Nile virus are single strand DNA viruses that are transmitted by the bite of culicine mosquitoes (232-234). Although infection with these three viruses are often resolved prior to any CNS involvement, on rare occasion, these viruses can lead to encephalitis (235). In fact, JEBV is the most common cause of encephalitis in Asia (236). If infection does involve the CNS, the regions of the brain noted to become involved include the thalamus, basal ganglia, brain stem, cerebellum, hippocampus, and cerebral cortex (237-239). Ogata et al experimentally infected Fisher rats with JEBV and noted a marked gliosis in the SNpc, in a pattern typical of the lesions seen in Parkinson’s disease (64). Behaviorally the rats exhibited bradykinesia that was reversed with administration of L-DOPA and a MAO inhibitor, suggesting that the virus had the ability to directly induce one of the cardinal symptoms of Parkinson’s disease.

Parkinsonism secondary to St. Louis encephalitis has also been described. Like JEBV, this virus primarily affects children and the aged, although numerous cases of infection and secondary parkinsonism have been reported in all age groups. Pranzatelli et al reported a number of cases of secondary parkinsonism in children, one of which appeared to be the result of active St. Louis encephalopathy (68). In this case, the patient had features of moderate parkinsonism (The Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale score of 92 and The Modified Hoehn and Yahr staging of 5) with predominant symptoms of dysphasia and dystonic posture. The parkinsonism symptoms did not progress and resolved after a few months. During the clinical course of the parkinsonism, an MRI revealed a slight enhancement of the basal ganglion. In the adult cases, each patient presented with involvement of the substantia nigra as determined by an MRI (67). The first patient was a 21-year-old male who presented with a 1-week history of fever and headache. Neurologic examination was normal, and an admitting diagnosis of aseptic meningitis was made. The symptoms progressed with new symptoms of fever, ataxia, nystagmus, and tremulousness. MRI imaging revealed a T2-weighted bilateral hyper intensity in the substantia nigra without enhancement. In a second case, a 37-year-old male with a history of paranoid schizophrenia and seizures presented with fever and confusion and was generally unresponsive to commands. Other symptoms included diffuse nuchal rigidity, generalized hypertonicity, and abnormal postures including flexed upper extremities, a bilateral Babinski response, and an absent gag reflex. Like the previous patient, MRI imaging showed an asymmetric T2-weighted non-enhanced hyper-
intensity in the substantia nigra. Similar lesions have been reported in other cases of St. Louis encephalopathies.

1.8.4.3 Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV, originally called HTLV) is a retrovirus that has been shown to be the cause of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) (240). Infection with HIV results in a failure of the immune system, leading to life-threatening opportunistic infections. The underlying cellular lesion in HIV is a progressive loss of CD4+ T cells whose levels inversely correlated with the viral load. In addition to the loss of the peripheral immune system, one of the most commonly associated pathologies from HIV infection involves motor disturbances (241, 242). The involvement of the CNS can occur quickly since HIV has been detected in the brain within two weeks of the initial infection (243). Once in the brain, HIV can infect the three major cell types: neurons, astrocytes, and microglia (244-246). Depending on the size of the study cohorts, it has been estimated that from 5-50% of all AIDS patients suffer from some sort of motor dysregulation including those seen in Parkinson’s disease such as bradykinesia, cogwheel rigidity, and tremors (242). These movement disorders result from both primary HIV infection and secondary opportunistic infections. Primary HIV-associated parkinsonism often appears within several months of the diagnosis of HIV infection (241) and its appearance portends a poor prognosis. Cerebral imaging (CT and MRI) of HIV-induced parkinsonism has shown lesions at various levels of the basal ganglia including calcifications throughout the basal ganglia, hypodense lesions of the striatum (247), putamen hypertrophy (248, 249) as well as intensifying lesions of the basal ganglia (250, 251) and midbrain (252). Functional imaging using [18F] fluorodeoxyglucose PET have shown that early CNS changes in AIDS involve thalamic and basal ganglia hyper metabolism while cortical and subcortical gray matter hypo metabolism was more characteristic of later CNS changes (253).

1.9 Current H5N1 Influenza Panzootic

Currently, the highly pathogenic type A influenza virus (H5N1) is thought to be a pandemic threat. Although transmission from bird to human is rare, the outbreak of H5N1 virus in Hong Kong in 1997 demonstrated that direct transmission from bird to human without genetic reassortment was possible (254). So far, over 436 human cases with a fatality rate of approximately 50% have been reported (255). Because the H5N1 influenza virus has never been associated with influenza outbreak in humans and there is no acquired immunity, and the chance of this becoming pandemic is great if the virus acquire the ability to transmit from human to human. The World Health Organization (WHO) warned that should H5N1 become pandemic in humans (255) there will likely be 2 to 7.4 million deaths globally with 134–233 million outpatient visits and 1.5–5.2 million hospital admissions.
1.9.1 Evidence of neurotropism

The highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus, which currently has pandemic potential, has been shown to be neurotropic (181, 221, 256). Animal populations infected with H5N1 demonstrate clear motor effects that include abnormal postures, difficulty in maintaining an upright posture, and inability to initiate movement (181, 221, 256). The onset of post-influenza encephalopathies are not limited to animals as there is one case report of human exposure to H5N1, a 4 year old boy and his 9 year old sister, both of whom presented with rapid encephalopathy followed by coma and death (207); its rapid course and lack of resources did not allow MRI studies to be performed. In addition to this one published case report, there are other reports of post-H5N1 influenza infection encephalitis, including a 67-year-old woman from Indonesia's West Java province who, in addition to her severe respiratory symptoms, developed encephalitis (257).

In a study examining neurovirulence in mice, Lipatov et al (181) examined 5 influenza viruses isolated in Hong Kong in 2001, of which 4 were neurotropic (Ck/HK/YU822.2/01, Ph/HK/FY155/01, Ck/HK/FY150/01, Ck/HK/NT873.3/01) and 1 was not (Ck/HK/YU562/01). This group did extensive sequence analysis of the viruses and found that there was not a common set of mutations that induced neurotropism, suggesting that there were different ways for these influenza viruses to become neurotropic. They found that multiple basic cleavage sites in the surface hemagglutinin proteins were necessary, but not sufficient, to make these viruses neurovirulent. In addition, they also suggested that specific changes in polymerase proteins PB2 and PA, which are important in transcription and replication of viral RNAs (258), are also implicated in this process. Also, several studies have found that mutations in the Mx gene, which regulates GTPase activity (259, 260) and acts as an important downstream effector of interferon (261), also regulates Type A influenza neurotropism. It is not known if there are any genetic differences that may confer resistance to the neurotropic behavior of H5N1 in mice (i.e. the animals can be systemically affected by virus, but be protected from nervous system involvement).

1.9.2 The possible routes of entry into the central nervous system (CNS)

It has been demonstrated that the A/WSN/33 strain of influenza virus enters the CNS via the olfactory epithelium and nerve (CN 1) (262). It has also been hypothesized that it can enter the CNS through other cranial nerves, including the vagus and trigeminal nerves (263-266). These three nerves have processes that innervate visceral organs and tissues that would be the first contacted by an intranasal viral infection such as the olfactory epithelium (olfactory nerve, CN 1), orofacial mucosa (trigeminal nerve, CN V) and digestive system (Vagus nerve, CN X). The basis of this hypothesis, which does not have any direct proof such as isolation of the virus from axons of these nerves, is twofold. First, examination of the CNS following infection via intranasal routes shows that the virus is first seen in the regions innervated by these nerves. Second, the virus can be detected (indirectly by the presence of immunohistochemical detection of viral nuclear
protein, anti NP) in the visceral ganglia (256, 266). One argument against this route of entry into the CNS is that the A/WSN/33 strain of influenza has an affinity for the substantia nigra, a neuronal population without any direct anatomical connection to the cranial nerve system (267). This suggests that the influenza virus may also enter into the CNS via different mechanisms of axonal transport such as through the ependymal cells lining of the ventricles and shedding into the CSF. Here, it can freely transmit through the whole neuraxis, through the blood and extravasation from penetrating capillaries in the brain or invasion into the CNS through attenuation of the blood-brain-barrier around the circumventricular organs.

1.9.3 Scope and objective of dissertation

Preliminary data from our laboratory revealed that the H5N1 virus is neurotropic and infects multiple brain nuclei, including the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc). With the following specific aims, we determine if H5N1 viral infection can either directly or indirectly induce a parkinsonian pathology.

1.9.3.1 Specific aim 1

Determine the temporal and spatial localization of H5N1 influenza virus infection in the CNS after intranasal inoculation. The highly pathogenic H5N1 virus (A/VN/1203/04) will be administered intranasally to seven week old female C57BL/6J mice at doses of $10^2$ EID$_{50}$. Brains and spinal cords will be harvested at 1, 3, 7, 10, 21, 60 and 90 days post-infection, frozen and serially sectioned. Immunostaining will be utilized to assess the time course of infection, map the temporal and spatial localization of the influenza virus, and to determine the route of viral infection into the CNS.

1.9.3.2 Specific aim 2

Determine if the highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus infection in the CNS results in pathological changes that lead to neurodegeneration and parkinsonian pathology. Seven week old female C57BL/6J mice will be exposed to the H5N1 virus (A/VN/1203/04) as described above. Brains and spinal cords will be harvested at 1, 3, 7, 10, 21, 60 and 90 days post-infection. Samples will be processed for immunohistochemistry. Immunostaining and western blot analysis will be performed to assess the extent of neurodegeneration and parkinsonian pathology.

1.9.3.3 Specific aim 3

Determine if the highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus infection affects the anatomy and pharmacology of the basal ganglia and other systems, known to be affected in Parkinson’s disease. Seven week old female C57BL/6J mice will be exposed to H5N1 virus (A/VN/1203/04) as described above. The SNpc and striatum will be collected from at least 4 animals at the time points 1, 10, 21, 60 and 90 days post-infection. Using
stereology, the SNpc will be assessed to determine the number of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) positive dopaminergic neurons. The striatal dopamine (DA), 3, 4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC) and homovanillic acid (HVA) will be measured by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

1.9.3.4 Specific aim 4

Determine if prior viral infection with H5N1 increases CNS vulnerability to the known parkinsonian toxin paraquat. Seven week old female C57BL/6J mice will be exposed to H5N1 virus (A/VN/1203/04) as described above. Mice surviving the viral challenge and age matched non-infected controls will be injected with paraquat (PQ) using low (5mg/kg) concentrations of paraquat hydrochloride, every 3rd day for 21 days, starting on day 60 after inoculation. Saline will be administered for control groups. The number of TH-positive dopaminergic neurons will be assessed by stereological methods. The amount of striatal DA, DOPAC, and HVA will be measured by HPLC.
CHAPTER 2. HIGHLY PATHOGENIC H5N1 INFLUENZA VIRUS CAN ENTER THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM AND INDUCE NEUROINFLAMMATION AND NEURODEGENERATION*

2.1 Introduction

At the time of initiation of this project, the greatest influenza pandemic threat was posed by the highly pathogenic H5N1 avian influenza virus. To date, 63% of the 436 known human cases of H5N1 infection have proved fatal (255). Animals infected by H5N1 viruses have demonstrated acute neurological signs ranging from mild encephalitis to motor disturbances to coma (180, 268-270). However, no studies have examined the longer-term neurologic consequences of H5N1 infection. Using the C57BL/6J mouse, a strain that can be infected by the A/VN/1203/04 H5N1 virus without adaptation, we show that this virus travels from the peripheral nervous system into the CNS to higher levels of the neuroaxis. In regions infected by H5N1 virus, we observe activation of microglia and alpha-synuclein phosphorylation and aggregation that persists long after resolution of the infection. We also observe a significant, but temporal reduction of tyrosine hydroxylase positive dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta, at 60 days post-infection. Our results suggest that a pandemic H5N1 pathogen, or other neurotropic influenza virus, could initiate CNS disorders of protein aggregation including Parkinson’s diseases.

2.2 Materials and Methods

All experimental infection of animals and handling of live H5N1 viruses were performed in a Biosafety level 3+ laboratory approved for use by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and exclusively utilized by the Division of Virology and other approved scientists at St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital for highly pathogenic avian influenza work.

2.2.1 Virus stock preparation and inoculation of mice with H5N1

Stock viruses were prepared by propagating neurotropic A/VN/1203/04 (H5N1) influenza virus for one passage in the allantoic cavity of 10-days-old embryonated chicken eggs for 40 to 48 hours at 37°C. Viral infectious titers were determined using the method of Reed and Muench (271), and expressed in log10 of the 50% egg infectious dose per 1.0 ml of fluid (EID50/mL).

6-8 week old C57BL/6J mice (Jackson Labs, Bar Harbor, ME) were anesthetized by isofluorane inhalation and infected intranasally with 30 µL of allantoic fluid diluted in PBS to the target virus infectious titer (10^2 EID<sub>50</sub>).

2.2.2 Immunocytochemistry

1, 2, 3, 7, 10, 21, 60 and 90 days following intranasal inoculation of H5N1, mice were deeply anesthetized with Avertin and transcardially perfused with 0.9% saline followed by 10% neutral buffered formalin. Brains and visceral organs were removed and postfixed for 3 weeks in 10% neutral buffered formalin to ensure that virus particles present in the tissue have been killed. The tissue was subsequently cryoprotected in 30% sucrose/phosphate buffered saline (PBS), serially-sectioned at 20µm, and mounted onto polyionic slides (12-550, Superfrost-plus, Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA). H5N1 influenza virus was detected with a pool of four monoclonal antibodies raised against the nuclear protein (NP) of influenza virus A/WSN/33 (H1N1) (272). The monoclonal antibodies target four different antigenic epitopes of the NP protein and detect all known subtypes of influenza A viruses. Three different animals were used to determine the pattern of H5N1 influenza virus expression at each time point. H5N1 influenza virus location was mapped using images generated from an electronic atlas (273) and a CNS nucleus template (274). The volume of virus was scored in a semi-quantitative manner that has been detailed (274).

Antibodies directed against glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) (rabbit, G4546, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, 1:500) were used to detect astrocytosis and antibodies directed against ionized calcium binding adaptor molecule -1 (Iba-1) (rabbit, 019-19741, Wako Chemicals, Richmond, VA, 1:500) was used to detect microgliosis (12). Activated caspase-3 (rabbit, 559565, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and Fluoro Jade B (AG310, Chemicon, Temecula, CA) staining to mark apoptotic and necrotic cells, respectively, were examined as previously described (275). Antibodies directed against tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) (rabbit, P40101-0, PelFreeze, Rogers, AK, 1:500) were used to detect dopaminergic neurons. Alpha synuclein (mouse, 610786, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, 1:1000) and pSer129 SYN (mouse, 014-20281 WAKO, Richmond, VA, 1:1000) immunocytochemistry were performed on frozen sections using standard protocols (12). Transmission electron microscopy was performed as previously described (276).

2.2.3 Dorsal root ganglia (DRG) neuron culture and microfluidic chamber studies

Dissociated dorsal root ganglia (DRG) neurons were prepared as previously described (277). Briefly, DRG neurons were dissected from 6 to 8 weeks old female C57BL/6J mice and incubated in 60 units of papain (3126, Worthington, Freehold, NJ) /Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) (14170, GIBCO, Carlsbad, CA) for 10min at 37°C. Subsequently, 12mg of collagenase (4176, Worthington, Freehold, NJ) and 100µL of dispase (10269638001, Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) were added and reacted with dissociated DRG neurons for 10min at 37°C. The pellet was washed twice with F12/10% FBS medium (11765 GIBCO, Carlsbad, CA) to remove enzymes and given
0.5 ml fresh medium. The ganglia were triturated 10 times using a fire-polished Pasteur pipette. 60,000 dissociated cells within 20 μL were plated into the somal compartment of microfluidic chambers (SND150, Xona Microfluidics LLC, Aliso Viejo, CA) (278) which is placed on a cover glass previously coated with laminin (L2020, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and poly-D-Lysine (BD354210, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). After 30 minutes to allow cell attachment, cultures were rinsed and 150 microliters of fresh culture medium containing 10 ng/ml nerve growth factor (NGF 2.5s, N6009, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was added to the process compartment of the microfluidic chamber. Cultures were maintained in a humidified 37°C chamber with 5% CO2 for 12 days and the culture medium was changed every three days.

2 x 10⁶ plaque-forming unit (PFU) of H5N1 (VN/1203/04) virus in 20 microliters serum free medium was loaded in the axonal side of the compartment. After one hour, the microfluidic axonal chamber was washed 2 times by adding 130 μL of serum free media to the top reservoir and collecting the flow through in the bottom reservoir. 130 μL of medium with serum was then added to the top and bottom reservoirs on the axonal side to maintain the hydrostatic balance. At the 1h, 24h, 48hr and 72hr after infection, cells were rinsed with 1X PBS twice and fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde for 10min followed by post fixation for 2 weeks to kill residual virus or viral particles. Virus was detected by immunohistochemical methods as described above. Antibodies directed against neuron-specific β-III tubulin (rabbit, ab18207, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, 1:500) were used to label cell bodies and processes of DRG neurons. Images were captured by using a Leica SP1 upright laser scanning confocal microscope.

2.2.4 Quantification of phosphorylated α-synuclein expression

To ensure consistency in this analysis, all sections were processed on the same day using the same solutions and antibody preparations, including lot numbers. 16μm coronal frozen sections were washed with 0.1M PBS. One set of sections were incubated with 10μg/mL proteinase K (25530, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA)/0.1M PBS solution for 10min at room temperature, and another set of adjacent sections were washed with 0.1M PBS without proteinase K. These different methods specifically allow identification of insoluble versus soluble pSer129SYN, respectively (56). All sections were blocked with the Mouse-on-Mouse (M.O.M) blocking solution (PK-2200,Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) for 1h and incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-mouse phospho Ser129 antibody (mouse, 014-20281, WAKO, Richmond, VA, 1:1000) diluted in M.O.M diluent solution. Sections were incubated with a biotinylated secondary antibody for 30min and then incubated with avidin-biotin complex (PK-6102,Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) for 15min and washed 5 times with 0.1M PBST for 5min each and developed with 3-3’ diaminobenzine (DAB) (D-5905, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) as the chromogen. Sections were rinsed with tap water and dehydrated, cleared and mounted with Permount (SP15-500, Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Images were captured at 10x using the virtual slice program in the Microbrightfield system (Microbrightfield, Williston, VT) attached to an Olympus BX60 microscope. All images for analysis were taken at the same light intensity at one sitting to control for variations in bulb intensity and camera chip differences. All images
were saved as a .tif file and optical densities of the rostral hippocampus, olfactory bulb, locus coeruleus, solitary nucleus and cerebellum were obtained on raw images using the NIH image program (ImageJ, version 1.43, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD). The density of the background from each section was also obtained and subtracted from the region density to give a final number. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad statistical software (Prism, version 4.03, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA).

2.2.5 Stereological assessment of SNpc dopaminergic neuron number

The total number of tyrosine hydroxylase positive neurons in both hemispheres of SNpc was estimated using the optical fractionator method (279) from Stereo Investigator (version 7.0, MicroBrightField, Colchester, VT). The broad outlines of the SNpc in both hemispheres were delineated at low power (4× magnification). An unbiased counting frames (60 × 60 μm) placed at the intersections of a grid (frame size 200 × 200 μm) were randomly superimposed on a video image of the contoured sections. Sections were examined under high power (100× magnification) objective lens on a BX51 microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA) with a MAC5000 motorized XYZ axis computer-controlled stage and a CX9000 CCD video camera (MicroBrightField, Colchester, VT). TH-positive neurons were counted at the depth that their nucleus is focused, in each counting area. The reliability of the estimates were measured by calculation of the coefficient of error (279). Gundersen coefficients of error for m=1 were all less than or equal to 0.10. Statistical significance was calculated using a one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc test (280).

2.3 Results

2.3.1 Body weight loss in H5N1 virus infected mice

We intranasally inoculated the highly pathogenic and neurotropic A/VN/1203/04 (H5N1) virus to 163 6 to 8 week old C57BL/6J mice (Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME) with the 10^2 egg infection dose (EID<sub>50</sub>) (281) and monitored the mortality (Fig 2-1A) and the body weight loss of infected mice (Fig 2-1B). Approximately 60% of mice died between 9 and 13 days post infection, recording 60% mortality rate which is close to that seen in human cases (Fig 2-1A). Six days post-infection (dpi), 88% of the mice demonstrated weight loss; by day 9 dpi, weight loss was greater than 10% of initial body weight. All of the infected animals that showed weight loss showed neurological signs including ataxia, tremor and hind leg paralysis. Approximately half of these animal’s symptoms became so severe that they had to be euthanized. The remainder showed milder neurological signs and recovered by day 21 post inoculation (Fig. 2-1B).
Figure 2.1 Percent Survival and Body Weight Change in the Infected Animals

About half of H5N1 infected C57BL/6J mice died between 9-12 days post infection, representing 60% of mortality rates (A). Among these populations, 14% of mice didn’t show any signs of infection with absence of body weight loss (Blue colored). 40% of mice showed around 10-20% of weight loss with or without neurological symptoms including hind leg paralysis, tremor, and lethargy around 10 days post infection and then gradually gained weights and recovered (Green colored). 48% of mice showed more than 20% body weight loss from the initial body weight, presenting neurological symptoms, including hind leg paralysis, tremor, and lethargy, and died around days 10-15 days post infection (B). Error bars represent the standard error of mean (s.e.m).
2.3.2 Spatial and temporal distribution of H5N1 virus

The neurological signs observed in the infected mice suggested involvement of several levels of the neuraxis. We mapped the progression of the H5N1 virus through the nervous system by using immunohistochemical detection of the H5N1 nucleoprotein (NP) (Table 2.1). H5N1 virus was first detected on day 2-3 dpi in the myenteric (Auerbach’s) plexi of the enteric nervous system (Fig. 2.2A) and in neurons within the dorsal root ganglia (Fig. 2.2B) of the peripheral nervous system. H5N1 virus was first observed in the CNS on day 3 dpi in the brainstem solitary nucleus (Fig. 2.2C), which receives primary afferent signals from various visceral regions and organs, including lung and gut (282). On day 7 dpi, virus was found in the vagal and hypoglossal brainstem nuclei and in the midbrain locus coeruleus and SNpc (Fig. 2.2D). Outside of the brainstem and midbrain, H5N1 virus was detected in the periglomerular and mitral (Fig. 2.2E) cells of the olfactory bulb and in neurons within the spinal cord (principally in the thoracic region) (Fig. 2.2F). On day 10 dpi, H5N1 infection had spread to all levels of the central neuraxis but did not involve all structures (Fig 2.3) (Fig 2.4). By day 21, the surviving animals displayed no visible neurological signs and H5N1 virus was not detected in the CNS. The pattern of infection in lung and brain suggested that active infection lasted approximately 10 days, as described in humans (207).

2.3.3 Cell type specific H5N1 virus infection

In the CNS, the virus was detected in neurons (Fig. 2.2G) and microglia (Fig. 2.2H) but was not seen in astrocytes (Fig. 2.2I). The presence of virus in brainstem neurons was supported by transmission electron microscopy, which revealed structures of the shape and size characteristic of H5N1 influenza virus (283) in the cytoplasm (Fig. 2.2J) and nucleus.

2.3.4 Retrograde transport of H5N1 virus in vitro

Our observation of H5N1 virus first in the enteric and peripheral nervous systems and then slightly later in the CNS, first in the solitary brainstem nucleus and subsequently in other brainstem nuclei (Table 1, Fig 2.1-4), suggests that the A/VN/1203/04 virus may enter the CNS via cranial nerves, a mechanism used by other strains of H5N1 (17, 18). This hypothesis was tested by using Microfluidic chambers that allow neuronal cell bodies and their processes to be grown in one compartment while their processes extend through 150-μm wide microgrooves into a separate process compartment (278). We added H5N1 virus to the process compartment for 1 hour and detected virus in the cell bodies (Fig. 2.5A) and their processes (Fig. 2.5B) within the following 24 hours. Because hydrostatic pressure differences between chambers would have confined extracellular virus to the process compartment, this finding showed that the virus detected in neuronal soma had been transported intracellularly. Direct exposure of cell bodies to H5N1 influenza also resulted in infection (Fig. 2.5C). These results demonstrate that H5N1
Table 2.1 Semi-Quantitative Analysis of H5N1 Expression in the CNS and Lung

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dpi</th>
<th>Lung</th>
<th>Ctx</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>DRG of thoracic level</th>
<th>Thal</th>
<th>SNpc</th>
<th>LC</th>
<th>RN</th>
<th>Vest n</th>
<th>Pons</th>
<th>Solitary n</th>
<th>VII</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>XII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Semiquantitative immunohistochemical measurement of the percentage of anti-NP-positive cells at the indicated days post infection with H5N1 virus, +, 0%–33%; ++, 34%–67%; ++++, 67–100%; -, none, ND, not determined; Ctx, cerebral cortex; OB, olfactory bulb; DRG, dorsal root ganglia; Thal, thalamus; SNpc, substantia nigra pars compacta; LC, locus coeruleus; RN, red nucleus; Vest n, vestibular nucleus; Solitary n, solitary nucleus; VII, facial nucleus; X, vagal nucleus; XII, hypoglossal nucleus.
Figure 2.2 Localization of H5N1 Influenza Virus in the Nervous System

(A), 3 days post-inoculation (dpi) with H5N1, the virus is detected in Auerbach’s plexus of the enteric nervous system (arrow) by using an antibody to the viral nucleoprotein (NP). (B), In the thoracic dorsal root ganglia, viral NP is first detected on day 3 dpi; by day 10 dpi, virtually all large and medium-sized neurons (arrows), but few small neurons, are NP-positive. (C), At day 3 dpi, NP protein (red) is first detected in the neurons (white arrows) of the brainstem solitary nucleus. Blue stain is DAPI. (D), At day 7 dpi, NP protein (green; arrows) is detected in dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc (red, anti-TH). (E), At day 7 dpi, NP protein (brown) is found in the periglomerular cells (arrows) and mitral cells (arrowheads) of the olfactory bulb. (F), At day 10 dpi, NP protein is found in the spinal cord, primarily at the thoracic level. High levels of NP are seen around Clarke’s column (arrow) and the intermediolateral cell column (arrowhead). (G), NP protein (red) is found in the nuclei of neurons in the brainstem (blue, DAPI; green, anti-beta3-tubulin). (H), NP protein (red) is found in the nuclei and cytoplasm of microglia (blue, DAPI; green, anti-Iba-1; areas of colocalization are yellow). (I), NP protein (red; arrows) is not observed in astrocytes (green, anti-GFAP). (J), Transmission electron micrograph of a neuron in the brainstem shows a virus particle of the shape and size characteristic of H5N1 in the cytoplasm (arrow). The mitochondrion (arrowhead) shows relative size. (K), Transmission electron micrograph of an axon traversing the brainstem shows virus particles of the characteristic shape and size of H5N1 in the axoplasm (arrow). Arrowheads indicate the myelin sheath surrounding the axon. Scale bars (microns): A) 20, B) 50, C) 25, D) 45, E) 50, F) 200, G) 10, H) 6, I) 12, J) 0.200, K) 0.120.
H5N1 virus is detected in lung starting at 3 days post infection (dpi). (A), At day 7 dpi, the virus is detected in bronchi (black arrows) as well as around the alveolar sacs (red arrows). An enlargement of the box marked in (A) is seen in (B). (C), Virus is not detected in bronchi or alveoli of age matched control mice. (D), An enlargement of box in (C). (E), At day 3 dpi, H5N1 virus is detected in the neuronal ganglia of the enteric nervous system (arrow). (F), An enlargement of the box in (E). (G), Virus is not detected in enteric ganglia of control mice. (H), An enlargement of box in (G). (I), At day 7 dpi, foci of H5N1 virus are detected in all layers of the olfactory bulb. (J), An enlargement of box in (I). (I), H5N1 virus is detected in foci spanning the granule cell layer (gcl) and mitral cell layer (mcl). (K), Virus is not detected in the olfactory bulb of control mice. (L), An enlargement of box in (K). H5N1 virus is detected in the nucleus of the solitary tract starting at day 3 dpi (M), At day 7 dpi, the virus is detected in this nucleus as well as its adjacent dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve. An enlargement of the box marked in (M) is seen in (N). (O), Virus is not detected in nucleus of the solitary tract or dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve of control mice. (P), An enlargement of box in (O). (Q), At day 7 dpi, H5N1 virus is detected throughout many brainstem nuclei. (R), An enlargement of box in (Q). (S), Virus is not detected brainstem of control mice. (T), An enlargement of box in (S). Scale bars: A and C, 100 μm; B, D, E, G, J, L, N and P, 25μm; F and H, 10μm; M, O, R and T, 50μm.
Figure 2.4 Localization of H5N1 Influenza Virus in Brainstem, Diencephalon, and Cortex

(A), At day 10 dpi, H5N1 is detected in locus coeruleus. (B), An enlargement of the box marked in (A). (C), H5N1 virus is not detected locus coeruleus of control animals. (D), Enlargement of box in (C). (E), At day 10 dpi, H5N1 is detected in the substantia nigra pars compacta (arrow). (F), An enlargement of the box in (E). (G), Virus is not detected in substantia nigra of control animals. (H), An enlargement of box in (G). (I) At day 10 dpi, H5N1 is seen in focal regions of the diencephalon. This foci is observed in the ventrolateral thalamic nuclei. (J) An enlargement of box in I. (K) Virus is not detected in the thalamus of control animals. (L) An enlargement of box in K. (M) At day 10 dpi, H5N1 is detected in the foci of the cerebral cortex. One foci in the primary motor cortex is shown. An enlargement of the box marked in (M) is seen in (N). (O) Virus is not detected in cerebral cortex in control mice. (P) An enlargement of box in (O). Scale bars: A, C, E, G, I, K, M and O, 250 μm; B, D, F, H, J, L, N and P, 50μm.
Figure 2.5 Transport of H5N1 Virus through Axons in Microfluidic Chambers

(A), A/Vietnam/1203/04 (H5N1) influenza virus (2 x 10^6 PFU suspended in 20 μL of serum-free medium) was added to the process compartments of microfluidic chambers containing freshly dissociated dorsal root ganglia (DRG) (55). After 1 hour, the process compartment was washed twice by adding 130 μL of serum-free medium to the top reservoir and collecting the flow-through in the bottom reservoir. 130 μL of medium containing serum was then added to the top and bottom reservoirs of the process compartments to maintain hydrostatic balance. Twenty-four hours after addition of H5N1 virus to the process compartment (bottom), H5N1 NP is detected in neuronal bodies within the somal compartment (white arrows). Yellow arrow shows axons (green, beta3-tubulin) traversing the series of 150-μm–wide grooves between the compartments. (B), High power photomicrograph of DRG axons (green, beta3-tubulin) growing though the 150-μm grooves. Virus particles (arrows) within the axons appear yellow due to colocalization with neuronal beta3-tubulin. (C), Neuronal bodies (green) are infected after direct exposure to H5N1 virus (red, viral NP protein; arrows). Yellow indicates colocalization of proteins. Scale bars: A, 160 μm; B, 6 μm; C, 60 μm.
virus can infect neurons following peripheral infection as well as by hematogenous spread directly infecting cells as would occur following its release from dying cells.

\[ \text{2.3.5 Increased phosphorylation of alpha-synuclein protein in infected region} \]

One of the hallmarks of neurodegenerative disorders including Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s disease is the aggregation of alpha-synuclein (107). This aggregation has been shown to be dependent on the phosphorylation of a number of serine residues in the synuclein protein (SYN), of which serine 129 appears to be most important (106). In 2-3 month old uninfected C57BL/6J mice, we observed pSer129SYN in few, if any, neurons at any level of the central neuraxis, including the dopaminergic neurons of the substantia nigra pars compacta (Fig. 2.6A). However, in H5N1 virus infected mice, we observed pSer129SYN-positive neurons at various levels of the neuroaxis including the brainstem and midbrain (Fig. 2.6B), hippocampus and cortex. The phosphorylation on Serine 129 residue appears to be directly related to H5N1 infection, as uninfected structures within the CNS did not show pSer129SYN upregulation. Using NIH Image, we quantified the expression of pSer129SYN in olfactory bulb, hippocampus, locus coeruleus, brainstem and cerebellum. Animals infected with H5N1 virus infection had significantly increased expression of both cellular and secreted pSer129SYN at all levels of the neuraxis examined except for cerebellum (Table 2.2). In addition to pSer129SYN expression, we also noted aggregated alpha-synuclein in hippocampus (Fig. 2.6C), cortex and brainstem, as seen in human proteinopathies such as Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s diseases (107).

\[ \text{2.3.6 Apoptosis and long-term activation of microglia} \]

To determine whether H5N1 virus infection of the CNS resulted in cell death, we examined markers of apoptotic and necrotic cell death (activated caspase 3 (275) and FluoroJade B staining (284), respectively). We also assessed dopaminergic neuron number in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc). In regions of the brain where A/VN/1203/04 virus had been detected, we found apoptotic (Fig. 2.6D) but not necrotic cells. We also noted activated microglia (Fig. 2.6E), which indicate an inflammatory process (285), in these regions. Microgliosis persisted in the CNS after the acute infection resolved and was observed during the entire 90-day study period (Fig. 2.6F). This prolonged inflammatory response is similar to that described in humans with idiopathic Parkinson’s disease (286) and with parkinsonism induced by the neurotoxin MPTP (131).

\[ \text{2.3.7 A reduction in the number of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) positive dopaminergic neuron in the SNpc} \]

Cell counts of TH-positive dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc 60 days following H5N1 virus infection demonstrated a 17% reduction of TH-positive dopaminergic neurons (8963 ± 384 in control and 7481 ± 453 in H5N1 virus infected mice, n=4, p≤ 016).
Figure 2.6 H5N1 Influenza Virus Induces a Parkinsonian Phenotype

(A), In uninfected C57BL/6J mice, no pSer129SYN is seen in dopaminergic neurons of the SNpc (green). (B), At day 60 dpi, pSer129SYN (white arrows) is seen in the nuclei of dopaminergic neurons (green) in the SNpc. (blue, DAPI nuclear stain.) (C), At day 90 dpi, aggregated alpha synuclein (arrows) is seen in the hippocampus. (D), At day 10 dpi, activated caspase-3 (arrows) is seen in brainstem regions that contain NP protein (red). The cells expressing activated caspase-3 do not appear to be NP-positive. (E), At day 10 dpi, activated microglia marked by anti-Iba-1 (green; yellow arrow) surround infected cells (red; white arrow). (F), At day 60 dpi, activated microglia (arrows) persist in midbrain regions and all other regions previously infected by H5N1. Findings were similar at day 90 dpi Scale bars: A and B, 30 μm; C, 25 μm; D, 40 μm; E, 35 μm; F, 50 μm.
Table 2.2 Relative Density Measurements of pSer129SYN Expression in Brain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Proteinase-K, non treated</th>
<th>Proteinase-K, treated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>H5N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olfactory bulb</td>
<td>100 ± 19</td>
<td>178 ± 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>100 ± 21</td>
<td>277 ± 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locus coeruleus</td>
<td>100 ± 20</td>
<td>120 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitary nucleus</td>
<td>100 ± 18</td>
<td>190 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower brainstem</td>
<td>100 ± 17</td>
<td>274 ± 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>100 ± 8</td>
<td>100 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The brain areas were stained with anti-pSer129SYN antibody by immunohistochemistry. Both soluble pSer129SYN (Proteinase-K, non treated) and insoluble (Proteinase-K, treated) forms of this phosphoprotein were determined. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad software (error bars, s.e.m.).
2.4 Discussion

Reports of influenza-associated neurological syndromes are found as far back as 1385 and have continued through more recent influenza outbreaks (5). There is a substantial amount of evidence that influenza can directly lead to encephalitis (5, 28-33), although the link with development of neurodegenerative diseases including Parkinson’s disease are controversial. Much of the linkage of parkinsonism with influenza are based on the postencephalic parkinsonism that followed an outbreak of von Economo’s encephalopathy (EL) subsequent to the 1918 pandemic influenza outbreak (213). This includes epidemiological data (2, 3) and physical findings of type A influenza antigens in EL patients (36). Evidence against the role of influenza as a parkinsonian agent include the lack of viral RNA recovered from brains of postencephalic parkinsonian patients (223), the absence of any known mutations that would make the 1918 species of H1N1 virus neurotropic (213), and questions regarding the timeline of pandemic flu and EL (42). Recently, Kobasa et al administered the 1918 H1N1 influenza virus that was generated by plasmid-based reverse genetics and found no evidence of direct neurotropism (38). However, this engineered virus did induce a robust induction of cytokines including monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), macrophage inflammatory protein-1β (MIP-1β), monocyte chemotactic protein-2 (MIP-2), macrophage inflammatory protein-3α (MIP-3α), IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12 (p40), IL-18 and granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) (39), which can be secondarily activated in the brain without direct infection (40). Several of these cytokines have been implicated in the pathophysiology of Parkinson’s disease (41).

In many cases of encephalitis, as well as toxin-induced parkinsonism, the offending agent may cause a long lasting immune response in the brain that persists many years after the insult has resolved (131), leading to a “hit and run” mechanism where the original insult is no longer present but the secondary sequelae persists (287). This would fit our observations that H5N1 virus is not detectable in the brain after 21dpi, but there is a long-lasting activation of microglia and a significant decrease through 60 days of TH-positive dopaminergic neurons in SNpc. Thus, if one accepts that influenza can activate the innate CNS immune system (43, 44) and may induce a loss of neurons, there would be the necessity of a second hit that would lead to development of additional neuronal loss passing the threshold necessary for induction of parkinsonian symptoms (288) that would not have occurred without the priming that occurs via the influenza infection (46).

In conclusion, we find that the highly infectious, neurotropic A/VN/1203/04 (H5N1) virus progresses from the peripheral nervous system into the CNS, where it activates the innate immune response in the brain. We have also shown for the first time that H5N1 influenza infection of the CNS can induce not only parkinsonian symptoms but also a significant increase in phosphorylation and aggregation of alpha synuclein, which likely results in the observed SNpc dopaminergic neuron degeneration (47). Thus, we suggest that any pandemic influenza that activates the immune system in brain could contribute to CNS disorders of protein aggregation (20, 48) and viruses may be an important etiological agent in the developmental sequelae of neurodegenerative diseases including Parkinson’s disease.
CHAPTER 3. INFLAMMATORY EFFECTS OF HIGHLY PATHOGENIC H5N1 INFLUENZA VIRAL INFECTION IN THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM

3.1 Introduction

Viral infection is one mechanism that has been implicated in initiation and progression of parkinsonism. The etiology of Parkinson’s disease is multivariate, ranging from identified genetic mutations to strict environmental causation (289). There is a significant literature that documents parkinsonism following exposure to viruses (5), including the influenza virus (42). While most influenza infections in humans result in upper respiratory tract infections, occasionally the brain is also be affected. In fact, involvement of the CNS during influenza infections can be fatal, particularly in young patients (290).

Experimental evidence has shown that type A influenza viruses are neurotropic, i.e. they can travel into the nervous system following systemic infection (180, 221, 222), a finding confirmed with the H5N1 influenza virus (256, 270). Once in the CNS, H5N1 (A/VN/1203/04) infection can initiate a parkinsonian pathology that includes bradykinesia, a decreased in the number TH-positive dopaminergic neurons in SNpc, increased levels of alpha-synuclein phosphorylation and aggregation, and activation of microglia; each of which persisted at least 60 days after resolution of the infection (270). To determine if the pathology worsened with age, we examined: 1) SNpc tyrosine hydroxylase-positive dopaminergic neuron number and striatal dopamine and its metabolites contents through 90 days post infection, 2) the inflammatory effect of infection by quantitatively measuring the total number of resting and activated microglia in the SNpc, and 3) the production of cytokines in regions of the brain infected by H5N1 influenza virus. We found that infection with H5N1 influenza virus induces a significant, but transient reduction of both TH-positive dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc and dopamine (and its metabolites) in the striatum. Examination of other indolamines demonstrated a significant and sustained reduction of serotonin in regions of the brain infected with H5N1 influenza virus. We also observed that areas of the brain infected with H5N1 influenza virus expressed increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors.

3.2 Materials and Methods

All experiment using the highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus (A/VN/1203/04) were conducted in a biosafety level 3+ laboratory approved for use by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the Centers for Disease Control. This facility is authorized for the exclusive use of the Division of Virology and other approved scientists at St Jude Children’s Research Hospital.
3.2.1 Virus stock preparation and inoculation of mice with H5N1

Stock viruses were prepared by propagating neurotropic A/VN/1203/04 (H5N1) allantoic cavity of 10-days-old embryonated chicken eggs for 40 to 48 hours at 37°C. Virus stock was aliquoted then stored at -70°C until use. Viral infectious titer was determined using the method of Reed and Muench (271), and expressed in log10 of the 50% egg infectious dose per 1.0 ml of fluid (EID50/mL). 6-8 week old C57BL/6J female mice (Jackson Labs, Bar Harbor, ME) were anesthetized by isofluorane inhalation and infected intranasally with 30 µL of allantoic fluid diluted in PBS to the target virus infectious titer (10^2 EID50). One group of animal received 0.9% saline was used as age-matched control.

3.2.2 Immunocytochemistry

Mice were deeply anesthetized with Avertin and transcardially perfused with 0.9% saline followed by 10% neutral buffered formalin at 10, 21, 60 and 90 days post infection. Brains were removed and postfixed for 3 weeks in 10% neutral buffered formalin to ensure that the virus particles present in the tissue have been killed. Brains were cryoprotected with 30% sucrose in PBS, serially sectioned in the coronal plane at 40 micrometers and placed in PBS filled 24 well plates. Free floating sections were immunolabeled with antibodies directed against tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) (rabbit, P40101-0, PelFreeze, Rogers, AK, 1:500) (to identify dopaminergic neurons) and ionized calcium binding adapter protein-1 (Iba-1) (rabbit, 019-19741, Wako Chemicals, Richmond, VA, 1:500) (to identify microglia) overnight. Primary antibodies were visualized using the ABC method (PK-6102, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) with chromogens, 3,3-diaminobenzidine (SK-4100 Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) (for DA neurons) and the Vector VIP substrate (SK-4600 Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) (for microglia) respectively. Sections were mounted on Superfrost-Plus (12-550, Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA) slide and counter stained with neutral red to visualize Nissl substance, and then dehydrated, cleared and mounted with Permount (SP15-500, Sigma, St. Louis, MO).

3.2.3 Stereological cell count using the optical fractionator methods

The total number of TH-positive neurons in both hemispheres of SNpc was estimated using the optical fractionator method (279) from StereoInvestigator (version 7.0; MicroBrightField, Colchester, VT). The outline of the SNpc in both hemispheres was delineated at low power (4× magnification). An unbiased counting frame (60 × 60μm) was placed at the intersections of a grid (frame size 200 × 200μm) randomly superimposed on a video image of the contoured sections. Sections were examined under a high power (100× objective lens, numerical aperture (NA)= 1.3) on a BX51 microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA) with a MAC5000 motorized XYZ axis computer-controlled stage and a CX9000 CCD video camera (MicroBrightField, Colchester, VT).
TH-positive DA neurons were counted at the depth at which their nucleus was focused in each counting area. The reliability of the estimates was measured by calculation of coefficient of error (279). Gundersen coefficients of error for m=1 was all less than or equal to 0.10. Statistical significance was calculated using a one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc test (280). The longest length of neuronal cell body was measured using the Neurolucida program (version 7.0, MicroBrightField, Colchester, VT). Raw data was converted to percent of control.

Based on the shape and morphology (291), the number of the activated and the resting microglia in both hemispheres of the SNpc were estimated using the same methods described above.

3.2.4 Biochemical measurement of monoamine neurotransmitters

At 10, 21, 60 and 90 days post infection, C57BL/6J mice were deeply anesthetized with Avertin and transcardially perfused with 0.9% saline to remove the majority of the blood from the brain vasculature. Brains were rapidly removed and placed in a brain matrix (BS-AL-5000C, Braintree Scientific, Braintree, MA) and sliced into 1 mm thick sections and placed on an ice-cooled plate. Tissues were dissected from the SN (Bregma: -2.70 to -3.70), striatum (Bregma: +0.14 to +1.26mm), brainstem (Bregma: -5.40 to -6.70mm), cortex (Bregma: -1.70 to -2.70mm), and hippocampus (Bregma: -1.70 to -2.70mm) (273). Tissues were homogenized in a chilled 0.3M perchloric acid and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 10,000g at 4˚C. The supernatants were saved and stored at -70˚C until used. A variety of monoamine transmitters; dopamine (DA), and its metabolites 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC), and homovanillic acid (HVA); norepinephrine (NE); 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) and its metabolites 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) were analyzed using reverse-phase ion pairing HPLC, combined with electrochemical detection (EC) detection under isocratic elution conditions. The amount of monoamine neurotransmitters in the tissues were determined by injecting known concentration of monoamine neurotransmitters and extrapolating from a standard curve. Statistical difference was determined using a one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc test (292).

3.2.5 Quantification of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors

The concentration of interleukin (IL)-1α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12(p70), IL-13, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), interferon gamma (IFN-γ), granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF), eotaxin, interferon-inducible protein 10 (IP-10), cytokine-induced neutrophil chemoattractant (KC), monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), macrophage inflammatory proteins (MIP)-1α, MIP-1β and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) proteins was simultaneously analyzed from dissected brain regions and lung, using the Luminex 200
system (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX) and the Milliplex mouse cytokine kit (MPXMCYTO-70K-20, Millipore, Billerica, MA).

At 3, 10, 21, 60 and 90 days post inoculation, dissected tissues were homogenized in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 2.5mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 150mM NaCl with a protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Complete mini, PhosphoStop, Roche, Indianapolis, IN). The tissues lysates were then incubated for 30 minutes on ice and centrifuged at 12,000g for 15 minutes. Supernatants were aliquoted and stored at -70°C until used. To quantify cytokine levels, the supernatants were incubated with the microspheres coated with capture antibodies for each analyte for 2 hours. After rinsing, biotinylated detection antibodies were added into each well and incubated for 1 hour. Streptavidin-phycoerythrin conjugates were added and fluorescence intensity was measured by the Luminex 200 reader (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX). Concentration of each cytokine was calculated from a 5-parameter logistic curve obtained from the known concentration of cytokines, using MILLIPLEX Analyst software (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). All results were normalized with total protein concentration measured from each tissue lysate (pg/25 μg of total protein).

3.3 Results

3.3.1 Transient loss of TH-positive phenotype in dopaminergic neurons following H5N1 infection

To determine if H5N1 infection can directly induce parkinsonism by damaging dopaminergic neurons, we stereologically assessed the number of TH-positive dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc (Fig 3.1A) and empirically determined the amount of total DA, HVA, and DOPAC in the SN, cortex, brainstem, and hippocampus (Fig 3.2A).

A dramatic decrease in the number of TH-positive neurons in the SNpc was observed at the peak of H5N1 infection from 10 to 21 dpi where we measured approximately 60% reduction in the number of TH-positive neurons, compared to non-infected control mice. However, from 21 to 60 dpi, we saw a recovery in neuron number which is 20% less than that of control mice. By 90 dpi, we saw no difference in TH-positive SNpc DA neuron number (Fig 3.1A). At 10 and 60 dpi, TH-positive DA neurons appeared shrunken. The longest length of TH-positive neuronal cell bodies was reduced by 10 to 20%, however the size of these cells recovered and appeared similar to that seen in the non-infected control mice at day 90 dpi (Fig 3.1B). Examination of cells in the SNpc showed no evidence of cell division using both morphological and immunohistochemical methods. Thus, there is no compelling evidence that DA neurons in the SNpc underwent any form of cell division. It is most likely that active H5N1 infection causes SNpc DA neurons to transiently reduce their metabolic capacity and cell size, each leading to a loss of the dopaminergic phenotype in neurons.
Figure 3.1 The Size and Number of TH-Positive Dopaminergic Neurons

(A), Following H5N1 infection, the number of TH-positive dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc was reduced approximately 60% at 10 dpi and 20% at 60 dpi from the non-infected control mice (11170 ± 599 TH-positive DA neurons in control, 4882 ± 429 TH-positive DA neurons in H5N1-infected mice at 10 dpi, n=4, P < 0.001; 8195 ± 123 TH-positive DA neurons in H5N1-infected mice 60 dpi, n=4, P < 0.01). At 90 dpi, the number of TH-positive dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc was similar to that of control mice (10090 ± 259 TH-positive DA neurons in H5N1-infected mice 90 dpi, n=4, n.s.). The atrophic shape of TH-positive neurons was frequently detected in the SN of infected mice. (B), The longest length of TH-positive neuronal cell bodies was reduced by 10 to 20%, however the size of these cells recovered and appeared similar to that seen in the control mice at 90 dpi. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test. (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with 10 dpi mice; @: comparison with 60 dpi mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ## and @@: P<0.001).
Figure 3.2 Percent Change in the Amount of DA, DOPAC, and HVA in Brain Following H5N1 Infection

(A), At 10 dpi, the amount of striatal DA was significantly decreased, compared to DA level of the non-infected control mice. At 90 dpi, DA levels were significantly increased from DA levels of 10 dpi mice and recovered to baseline levels. This pattern of a transient decrease at 10 dpi followed by recovery at 60 to 90 dpi was also seen in levels of HVA and DOPAC in striatum (B-C). In the brainstem, DA, DOPAC, and HVA levels were sharply increased at 10 dpi, then returned to their basal levels (G-I). Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with 10 dpi mice; @: comparison with 60 dpi mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ### and @@: P<0.001).
3.3.2 Transient reduction of dopamine in striatum following H5N1 infection

We used reverse phase HPLC with electrochemical detection to determine if infection with H5N1 affects the level of dopamine and its metabolites in the major target of DA neurons in the SNpc, the striatum. At 10 dpi, the amount of striatal DA was significantly decreased by approximately 40% from that of non-infected control mice. The levels of DA then increased between 60 and 90 dpi at which time it recovered to baseline levels (Fig 3.2A). A similar pattern was also seen in the levels of HVA and DOPAC (Fig 3.2B-C). We also compared the turnover ratio of DA in the striatum ((DOPAC + HVA)/DA) to determine if infection with H5N1 altered DA metabolism. Despite alterations in levels of DA, its turnover was unchanged.

3.3.3 Effect of H5N1 infection on NE and 5-HT levels in the CNS

Reverse phase HPLC with electrochemical detection was used to determine if infection with H5N1 affected levels of NE, 5-HT, and 5-HIAA in the SN, striatum, brainstem, cortex, and hippocampus. No significant difference was found in the level of NE in the striatum, SN, and brainstem (Fig 3.3A-C). NE level was transiently increased approximately 50% in the hippocampus at 60 dpi (Fig 3.3D) and decreased by 70% in the cortex at 10 dpi (Fig 3.3E).

In the striatum and SN, the levels 5-HT were significantly decreased approximately 50% from the non-infected control mice at 10 dpi and remained low through 90 dpi (Fig 3.4A, C). A similar reduction was seen in 5-HIAA levels (Fig 3.4B, D). In cortex, 5-HT was reduced by 85% at 10 dpi and remained reduced through 90 dpi (Fig 3.4I). A smaller reduction (70%) was seen in 5-HIAA levels at day 10 dpi (Fig 3.4J) that increased slightly to 60% of control levels through 90 dpi.

3.3.4 H5N1 infection increases the number of microglia in the SNpc

Microglia are the resident immune cells of the CNS (293). In surveillance mode, they are said to be resting and have a characteristic histological appearance with long slender tendrils radiating from a cell body (Fig 3.5A). Once exposed to infection, injury or trauma (294) they undergo a transformation in which they retract and thicken their processes, assuming a more amoeboid morphology (295) (Fig 3.5B). To determine if exposure to H5N1 alters the morphology and number of microglia in the SNpc, we used the optical fractionator method to assess resting and activated microglia. Non-infected C57BL/6J mice had approximately 7500 total microglia in the SNpc. Approximately 10% were structurally classified as activated, while 90% were classified as resting. Sixty days after intranasal inoculation with H5N1, we found a 67% increase in total microglia number in the SNpc. Examination of microglia subtype revealed a 300% increase in activated microglia and a 33% increase in resting microglia. The increase in total microglia, as well as percent increase in activated microglia was unchanged from 60 to 90 dpi (Fig 3.5C), suggesting a long-term -if not permanent- increase in these cells.
Figure 3.3 Percent Change in the Amount of NE in Brain Following H5N1 Infection

(A-C), No significant difference was found in the level of NE in the striatum, SN, and brainstem. (D), NE level was transiently increased in the hippocampus at 60 dpi, compared to the level of control and the 10 dpi mice, and returned to basal levels at 90 dpi. (E), In cortex, we observed a transient 72% reduction of NE that ebbed at day 10 dpi and rebounded to basal levels through 90 dpi. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with 10 dpi mice; @: comparison with 60 dpi mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ### and @@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Figure 3.4 Percent Change in the Amount of 5-HT and 5-HIAA in Brain Following H5N1 Infection

(A), (C) and (I), The level of 5-HT was significantly reduced in the striatum, SN, and cortex at 10 dpi and remained low through 90 dpi. (B), (D) and (J), A similar reduction pattern was seen in the level of 5-HIAA. (E-H), Although the mean levels of 5-HT and 5-HIAA in hippocampus and brainstem trended lower, none of these changes achieved statistical significance. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with 10 dpi mice; @: comparison with 60 dpi mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ### and @@: P<0.001).
Figure 3.5 H5N1 Infection Increased the Number of Activated Microglia

(A) and (B) represent the typical appearance of resting and activated microglia, respectively. Both resting and activated microglia were observed in the SN of non-infected control and H5N1-infected mice. The number of activated microglia was increased approximately three fold in the H5N1 infected group, compared to that of control (1157 ± 111, in the control group; 3996 ± 283 (60 dpi) and 3863 ± 399 (90 dpi), in H5N1-infected mice, n=3 or 4). The total number of microglia was also increased about 67% in the H5N1-infected group, compared to that of control (7370 ± 341 in the control group; 12140 ± 467 (dpi 60) and 12220 ± 640 (dpi 90), n=3 or 4, P < 0.001) (C). Statistical significances was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: p<0.05, **: P<0.001).
3.3.5 Effect of H5N1 infection on levels of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors in the lung and CNS

Activated microglia have been shown to produce a variety of cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors following infection, as well as after other trauma to the CNS. The resulting “inflammatory responses” to these different insults have been shown to be specific to the insult rather than a generalized response to insult (296). Therefore, we examined the cytokine, chemokine and growth factor profiles in regions of the CNS that were infected by H5N1 both during (day 0-10) and after (days 21-90) the acute infectious stage (270). We also measured these proteins in lung, which is the primary site of H5N1 influenza infection in mice (270) in humans (297) to determine if alterations were specific to the CNS or were in response to, or coincident with, peripheral activation of cytokines.

Some cytokines (IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-9, IL-12, IFN-γ and TNF-α) function primarily to induce inflammation (pro-inflammatory), while other cytokines (IL-6, IL-10, and IL-13) suppress inflammation and are classified as anti-inflammatory (298). Chemokines are cytokines that act as chemoattractants and include eotaxin, KC, IP-10, MCP-1, MIP-1α, and MIP-1β (299). The cytokines acting as growth and differentiation factors that we examined included GM-CSF, M-CSF and VEGF (300). We noted 4 distinct profiles of induction of these factors: First, we observed that some of these proteins transiently increased during the initial phase of infection (through 10 dpi) then returned to baseline levels. A second pattern of induction showed a transient decrease in expression followed by a return to baseline levels. A third type of cytokine/chemokine expression produced a biphasic pattern, with an initial transient increase in expression, followed by a return to baseline levels and later, a reinduction at times when the influenza virus was no longer detectable by visualization of NP protein. A fourth pattern of cytokine/chemokine expression was observed with no changes in cytokine level during the active phase of infection, but a later induction of cytokines at dpi 60 (Fig 3.6).

In the lung, the expression of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors displayed 3 of the distinct patterns previously described. First, we saw that some of these proteins were transiently increased during the initial phase of infection (through 10 dpi) and then returned or decreased to baseline levels. The pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and growth factors that displayed this pattern of expression included IL-6, IL-12, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IFN-γ, KC, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and TNF-α. The anti-inflammatory IL-10 also expressed this profile. The second pattern of expression where there is an initial decrease in expression at dpi 10 followed by a return to baseline levels (pattern 2) was displayed by the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-2. The growth factor, VEGF displayed a third, biphasic pattern of expression with an initial transient increase, followed by a decrease below the baseline level, and another induction at 21, 60 and 90 dpi after the influenza virus was no longer detectable by immunohistochemical labeling of NP protein (pattern 3) (Fig 3.7, Table 3.1).
Four distinct temporal patterns were observed in the expression of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors along the time course after H5N1 infection. Pattern 1, a transient increase at initial phase of infection (by day 21) followed by retuning to basal level. Pattern 2, an initial transient decrease in expression followed by a return to baseline levels. Pattern 3, an initial transient increase in expression followed by a return to baseline levels and then a reinduction at 60 days after infection. Pattern 4, no changes during the active phase of infection, but at a later time there was induction.
Two distinct patterns were observed in the lung. The pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and growth factors including IL-6, IL-12, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IFN-γ, KC, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and TNF-α, and anti-inflammatory IL-10 were increased during the initial phase of infection (through day 10 dpi) and then returned to baseline levels (pattern 1). The pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-2 showed an initial decrease in expression at 10 dpi followed by a return to baseline levels (pattern 2). The growth factor, VEGF showed an initial transient increase followed by a decrease below the baseline levels and then reinduction at 60 days post infection (pattern 3). Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test. Cytokine levels (pg/25ug of total protein) were presented as mean ± s.e.m (*: comparison with control mice; †: comparison with 3 dpi mice; ‡: comparison with 10 dpi mice; ¶: comparison with 21 dpi mice; ¶: comparison with 60 dpi mice; *, #, †, ‡, ¶, and @: p<0.05; **, ##, ††, ‡‡, and @@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Table 3.1 Level of Cytokines, Chemokines and Growth Factors in the Lung Following H5N1 Infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Dpi 3</th>
<th>Dpi 10</th>
<th>Dpi 21</th>
<th>Dpi 60</th>
<th>Dpi 90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1α</td>
<td>15.27 ± 6.66</td>
<td>37.59 ± 12.72</td>
<td>10.83 ± 4.80</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>47.05 ± 41.94</td>
<td>11.18 ± 5.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>58.05 ± 22.46</td>
<td>62.36 ± 3.96</td>
<td>15.23 ± 2.24</td>
<td>36.04 ± 12.87</td>
<td>36.66 ± 6.50</td>
<td>41.26 ± 6.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>2.87 ± 1.42</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.27</td>
<td>B.D.L *,#</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.50 †</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.40 †</td>
<td>2.41 ± 0.49 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>171.86 ± 59.71*</td>
<td>23.60 ± 8.89 #</td>
<td>B.D.L #</td>
<td>B.D.L #</td>
<td>4.14 ± 3.84 #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-9</td>
<td>95.23 ± 44.62</td>
<td>32.77 ± 12.23</td>
<td>41.39 ± 15.36</td>
<td>B.D.L *</td>
<td>7.19 ± 4.06 *</td>
<td>5.14 ± 2.43 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>2.12 ± 0.36 *,#</td>
<td>7.15 ± 2.28 †</td>
<td>B.D.L †</td>
<td>2.14 ± 0.63 †</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.83 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12(p70)</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.16 **</td>
<td>B.D.L # #</td>
<td>B.D.L # #</td>
<td>B.D.L # #</td>
<td>B.D.L # #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eotaxin</td>
<td>71.72 ± 6.90</td>
<td>73.37 ± 2.93</td>
<td>83.68 ± 9.32</td>
<td>43.68 ± 16.33</td>
<td>51.49 ± 5.79</td>
<td>62.56 ± 18.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-CSF</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>62.65 ± 24.75</td>
<td>87.32 ± 22.77</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>4.96 ± 2.32</td>
<td>38.57 ± 13.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>7.58 ± 1.22 **</td>
<td>B.D.L # #</td>
<td>B.D.L # #</td>
<td>B.D.L # #</td>
<td>B.D.L # #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>12.69 ± 2.50</td>
<td>39.64 ± 17.39</td>
<td>B.D.L †</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.15 †</td>
<td>B.D.L †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-10</td>
<td>7.95 ± 2.00</td>
<td>1507.16 ± 529.10</td>
<td>602.29 ± 263.09</td>
<td>22.40 ± 9.05</td>
<td>22.98 ± 11.54</td>
<td>9.83 ± 3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>4.22 ± 0.65</td>
<td>171.70 ± 55.05</td>
<td>20.31 ± 6.87 #</td>
<td>6.59 ± 1.55 #</td>
<td>13.56 ± 3.67 #</td>
<td>17.12 ± 6.75 #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-CSF</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.29</td>
<td>5.23 ± 1.24</td>
<td>4.48 ± 1.32</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.56</td>
<td>5.22 ± 0.85</td>
<td>5.90 ± 1.54 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>5.99 ± 0.65</td>
<td>150.47 ± 52.41</td>
<td>145.67 ± 57.29</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>4.27 ± 1.41</td>
<td>5.99 ± 2.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean fluorescent intensity of blanks, standards, and samples was measured in duplicate wells, using the Luminex 200 reader (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX). The median fluorescent intensity of blanks was subtracted from all readings. Concentration of each cytokine was calculated from a 5-parameter logistic curve obtained from the known concentration of cytokines. All results were normalized with total protein concentration measured from each tissue lysate (pg/25 μg of total protein). B.D.L represented a value below the detectable concentration range of each analyte and was regarded as zero for statistical calculation. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with 3 dpi mice; †: comparison with 10 dpi mice; ††: comparison with 21 dpi mice; ††: comparison with 60 dpi mice; **, ##, †† @: p<0.05; ***, ###, ††† †† ††, and @@: P<0.001; mean number ± s.e.m.).

Table 3.1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Dpi 3</th>
<th>Dpi 10</th>
<th>Dpi 21</th>
<th>Dpi 60</th>
<th>Dpi 90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1α</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>56.05 ± 18.20</td>
<td>128.62 ± 30.05 **,#</td>
<td>B.D.L †</td>
<td>31.11 ± 13.85 †</td>
<td>25.07 ± 1.09 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1β</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>44.29 ± 18.00</td>
<td>143.79 ± 34.82 **,#</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
<td>4.60 ± 0.33 ††</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.39 **</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.26 **,#</td>
<td>B.D.L ##,††</td>
<td>B.D.L ##,††</td>
<td>B.D.L ##,††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>57.45 ± 10.59</td>
<td>121.54 ± 10.48 *</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.38 *,#</td>
<td>81.71 ± 31.21 †</td>
<td>57.62 ± 22.46 #,†</td>
<td>86.88 ± 9.35 †</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the CNS, we examined the expression of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors in 4 separate regions: brainstem, substantia nigra, striatum and cortex. In the brainstem, the expression of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors displayed 2 of the different patterns described above. The pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and growth factors that expressed the first profile were IL-1α, IL-12 (p70), IL-13, eotaxin, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IP-10, KC, M-CSF, MCP-1, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and TNF-α. The anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-10 also followed this profile. The level of proinflammatory cytokines and growth factors IL-1β, IL-2, and VEGF displayed pattern 4. They were not changed immediately upon detection of the virus, but increased later when NP protein was no longer evident in the region. (Fig 3.8, Table 3.2).

In the substantia nigra, the expression of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors displayed profiles 1, 3, and 4, described above. The pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and growth factors that expressed the first profile were IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, G-CSF, M-CSF, and MCP-1 where their expression increased at 3 or 10 dpi and then returned to baseline levels. IL-13 exhibited the third pattern listed above, with its levels increasing prior to day 21 followed by a return to baseline and another rise in level at a later point after the active infection (60 dpi) was over. SN levels of the chemokines and growth factors GM-CSF and MIP-1 β exhibited pattern 4, where expression did not change immediately upon detection of the virus, but increased later when NP protein was no longer evident in the region. Neither MIP-1α nor TNF-α was detected in the SN following exposure to influenza (Fig 3.9, Table 3.3).

In striatum, the expression of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors displayed profiles 1, 3, and 4, described above. The pro-inflammatory chemokines and growth factors that expressed profile 1 were eotaxin and M-CSF. The cytokine that expressed profile 3 was IL-2, while the anti-inflammatory IL-10 displayed profile 4. IL-1α, IL-6, IL-12 (p70), IL-13, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IFN- γ, MIP-1α, MIP-1 β, and TNF-α were not detected in striatum following exposure to H5N1 influenza (Fig 3.10, Table 3.3).

In cortex, the expression of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors displayed profiles 1, 2 and 4. The pro-inflammatory cytokines that expressed profile 1 were IL-2 and IL-9. The growth factor VEGF expressed profile 2 while an anti-inflammatory cytokine, IL-10 displayed profile 4. IL-1α, IL-6, IL-12 (p70), IL-13, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IFN-γ, MIP-1α, MIP-1 β, or TNF-α were not detected in cortex following exposure to influenza (Fig 3.11, Table 3.4).

3.4 Discussion

Our results demonstrate that the A/VN/1203/04 strain of the H5N1 influenza virus can induce both short-term and long-term effects in the central nervous system. During the acute phase of the infection, which lasts through day 10 post-infection (270), the virus induces a transient reduction of dopamine production in the basal ganglia. Co-incident to this loss of dopamine we observe an immediate and long-lasting increase in inflammation
Three distinct patterns were observed in the brainstem. The pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and growth factors including IL-1α, IL-12(p70), IL-13, eotaxin, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IP-10, KC, M-CSF, MCP-1, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and TNF-α and anti-inflammatory IL-10 were increased during the initial phase of infection (through day 10 dpi) and then returned or decreased to baseline levels (pattern 1). The pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and growth factors including IL-1β, IL-2 and VEGF did not show changes during the active phase of infection, but at a later time, displayed induction (pattern 4). Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test and cytokine levels (pg/25µg of total protein) were presented as mean ± s.e.m (*: comparison with control mice; †: comparison with 3 dpi mice; ††: comparison with 10 dpi mice; †‡: comparison with 21 dpi mice; †¶: comparison with 60 dpi mice; *, †#, ††# and †‡#: p<0.05; ††*, †‡*, †¶* and †‡¶: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Table 3.2 Level of Cytokines, Chemokines and Growth Factors in the Brainstem Following H5N1 Infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Dpi 3</th>
<th>Dpi 10</th>
<th>Dpi 21</th>
<th>Dpi 60</th>
<th>Dpi 90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1α</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>2.01 ± 1.01 *#</td>
<td>B.D.L †</td>
<td>B.D.L †</td>
<td>B.D.L †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>9.71 ± 2.03</td>
<td>7.70 ± 1.22</td>
<td>6.91 ± 0.84</td>
<td>3.89 ± 1.03</td>
<td>16.29 ± 1.99 *,#,†,@</td>
<td>11.62 ± 1.35 ¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.02</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.05 *#,#,†,@</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.06 *#,#,†,@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>35.53 ± 21.63</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-9</td>
<td>7.23 ± 3.32</td>
<td>23.97 ± 12.51</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>14.46 ± 5.11</td>
<td>5.82 ± 1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>3.68 ± 1.55</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.39</td>
<td>7.44 ± 1.76 *#</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.14 †</td>
<td>3.27 ± 0.81 †</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.11 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12(p70)</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.70 *</td>
<td>B.D.L ‡</td>
<td>B.D.L ‡</td>
<td>B.D.L ‡</td>
<td>B.D.L ‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-13</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.15</td>
<td>4.61 ± 0.99 **,#,#</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.44 ††</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eotaxin</td>
<td>6.23 ± 1.07</td>
<td>6.26 ± 0.06</td>
<td>33.68 ± 6.76 **,#,#</td>
<td>2.90 ± 0.09 ††</td>
<td>7.56 ± 0.49 ††</td>
<td>6.67 ± 0.67 ††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-CSF</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.15</td>
<td>74.17 ± 29.98 *#</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.13</td>
<td>4.94 ± 1.65 *</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.54</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.44</td>
<td>7.06 ± 0.87</td>
<td>56.88 ± 20.29 **,#,#</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.16 †</td>
<td>3.61 ± 0.14 †</td>
<td>2.73 ± 0.30 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>54.92 ± 35.98</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-10</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>3.57 ± 2.45</td>
<td>2661.73 ± 322.66 **</td>
<td>8.55 ± 2.65</td>
<td>9.64 ± 4.13</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-CSF</td>
<td>4.28 ± 0.76</td>
<td>3.55 ± 0.42</td>
<td>26.94 ± 7.61 **,#,#</td>
<td>3.13 ± 0.68 †</td>
<td>4.79 ± 0.59 ††</td>
<td>4.42 ± 0.38 ††</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3.2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Dpi 3</th>
<th>Dpi 10</th>
<th>Dpi 21</th>
<th>Dpi 60</th>
<th>Dpi 90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.63</td>
<td>656.05 ± 306.67 *#</td>
<td>B.D.L †</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.35 †</td>
<td>B.D.L †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1α</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>63.88 ± 20.86 **##</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1β</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>5.17 ± 1.11</td>
<td>55.90 ± 17.22 *##</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.29 *##</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.14</td>
<td>4.55 ± 0.79 **</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.70 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean fluorescent intensity of blanks, standards, and samples was measured in duplicate wells, using the Luminex 200 reader (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX). The median fluorescent intensity of blanks was subtracted from all readings. Concentration of each cytokine was calculated from a 5-parameter logistic curve obtained from the known concentration of cytokines. All results were normalized with total protein concentration measured from each tissue lysate (pg/25 μg of total protein). B.D.L represented a value below the detectable concentration range of each analyte and was regarded as zero for statistical calculation. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with 3 dpi mice; †: comparison with 10 dpi mice; ††: comparison with 21 dpi mice; ††: comparison with 60 dpi mice; *, †, ††, and ††: p<0.05; **, ##, ††, †††, and @@: P<0.001; mean number ± s.e.m.).
Figure 3.9 Expression Cytokines, Chemokines and Growth Factors in the SN Following H5N1 Infection

In substantia nigra, the pro-inflammatory cytokines/chemokines, including IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, G-CSF, M-CSF, and MCP-1 were increased during the initial phase of infection (through day 10 dpi) and then returned or decreased to baseline levels (pattern 1). The pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-13 was initially increased and then returned to baseline levels prior to reinduction at 60 dpi (pattern 3). The pro-inflammatory chemokine MIP-1β and growth factor GM-CSF did not show changes during the active phase of infection (through day 10 dpi), but at a later time, displayed induction (pattern 4). Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test and cytokine levels (pg/25ug of total protein) were presented as mean ± s.e.m (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with 3 dpi mice; †: comparison with 10 dpi mice; ‡: comparison with 21 dpi mice; §§: comparison with 60 dpi mice; *, #, †, and ‡: p<0.05; **, ††, §§, and ‡‡‡: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Table 3.3 Level of Cytokines, Chemokines and Growth Factors in the SN Following H5N1 Infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Dpi 3</th>
<th>Dpi 10</th>
<th>Dpi 21</th>
<th>Dpi 60</th>
<th>Dpi 90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1α</td>
<td>40.27 ± 21.73</td>
<td>22.05 ± 9.73</td>
<td>47.36 ± 18.78</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>35.87 ± 15.55</td>
<td>30.99 ± 9.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>9.65 ± 1.90</td>
<td>65.72 ± 35.21</td>
<td>16.57 ± 6.40</td>
<td>4.61 ± 1.39</td>
<td>33.34 ± 5.08</td>
<td>17.27 ± 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>5.41 ± 2.31</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.13</td>
<td>2.13 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>5.08 ± 0.64</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.11 ††</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-9</td>
<td>16.39 ± 7.15</td>
<td>381.82 ± 264.60</td>
<td>23.66 ± 20.63</td>
<td>9.04 ± 2.12</td>
<td>41.86 ± 13.06</td>
<td>16.79 ± 3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>16.90 ± 6.08</td>
<td>25.52 ± 22.40</td>
<td>13.88 ± 5.35</td>
<td>2.41 ± 1.57</td>
<td>3.90 ± 1.37</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12(p70)</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>10.53 ± 9.65</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>2.56 ± 1.57</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-13</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>7.78 ± 3.68</td>
<td>B.D.L †</td>
<td>B.D.L †</td>
<td>7.47 ± 2.38</td>
<td>B.D.L *†,‖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eotaxin</td>
<td>2.69 ± 1.08</td>
<td>18.31 ± 13.08</td>
<td>21.46 ± 5.06</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.17</td>
<td>6.84 ± 1.43</td>
<td>3.86 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-CSF</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>9.58 ± 4.28</td>
<td>B.D.L †</td>
<td>B.D.L †</td>
<td>B.D.L †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>6.15 ± 2.29</td>
<td>B.D.L *† †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>26.54 ± 21.72</td>
<td>5.59 ± 0.17</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>6.06 ± 3.37</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-10</td>
<td>3.63 ± 1.41</td>
<td>8.70 ± 2.72</td>
<td>2519.40 ± 1700.01</td>
<td>3.06 ± 1.14</td>
<td>12.08 ± 0.68</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.42</td>
<td>5.55 ± 1.34</td>
<td>19.57 ± 9.65</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.15</td>
<td>3.12 ± 0.69</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>97.72 ± 25.34</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
<td>B.D.L ††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1β</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>8.96 ± 3.41</td>
<td>B.D.L *† †</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.D.L: Below detection limit
Table 3.3 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Dpi 3</th>
<th>Dpi 10</th>
<th>Dpi 21</th>
<th>Dpi 60</th>
<th>Dpi 90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-CSF</td>
<td>2.90 ± 1.02</td>
<td>7.84 ± 3.70</td>
<td>15.53 ± 5.29 *</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.46 †</td>
<td>4.40 ± 0.96 †</td>
<td>2.75 ± 0.23 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>3.59 ± 1.13</td>
<td>2.73 ± 0.84</td>
<td>2.74 ± 0.44</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.32</td>
<td>5.94 ± 0.88 @</td>
<td>4.33 ± 0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean fluorescent intensity of blanks, standards, and samples was measured in duplicate wells, using the Luminex 200 reader (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX). The median fluorescent intensity of blanks was subtracted from all readings and concentration of each cytokine was calculated from a 5-parameter logistic curve obtained from the known concentration of cytokines. All results were normalized with total protein concentration measured from each tissue lysate (pg/25 μg of total protein). B.D.L represented a value below the detectable concentration range of each analyte and was regarded as zero for statistical calculation. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with 3 dpi mice; †: comparison with 10 dpi mice; @: comparison with 21 dpi mice; ‡: comparison with 60 dpi mice; * , † , ‡ , #: comparison with 10 dpi mice; † †, ‡ ‡, #: comparison with 21 dpi mice; † † †, ‡ ‡ ‡ #: comparison with 60 dpi mice; @: comparison with 60 dpi mice; * , † , ‡ , #: p<0.05; **, † †, ‡ ‡, #: comparison with 21 dpi mice; † † †, ‡ ‡ ‡ #: comparison with 60 dpi mice; @: comparison with 60 dpi mice; * , † , ‡ , #: p<0.01; **, † †, ‡ ‡, #: comparison with 21 dpi mice; † † †, ‡ ‡ ‡ #: comparison with 60 dpi mice; @: comparison with 60 dpi mice; * , † , ‡ , #: p<0.001; mean number ± s.e.m.).
Figure 3.10 Expression of Cytokines, Chemokines and Growth Factors in the Striatum Following H5N1 Infection

In striatum, the pro-inflammatory chemokines and growth factors including eotaxin and M-CSF were increased during the initial phase of infection (through day 10 dpi) and then returned to baseline levels (pattern 1). The pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-2 was initially increased and then returned to baseline levels after which it reinduced at 60 dpi (pattern 3). The anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 did not show changes during the active phase of infection, but at a later time, displayed induction (pattern 4). Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test and cytokine levels (pg/25ug of total protein) were presented as mean ± s.e.m (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with 3 dpi mice; †: comparison with 10 dpi mice; ‡: comparison with 21 dpi mice; ¶: comparison with 60 dpi mice; *, † *, † †, † † †, and ‡ ‡ ‡: p<0.05; **, ‡ ‡ ‡ ‡, ‡ ‡ ‡ ‡ ‡, and ‡ ‡ ‡ ‡ ‡ ‡, and ‡ ‡ ‡ ‡ ‡ ‡ ‡ ‡ ‡ : P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Dpi 3</th>
<th>Dpi 10</th>
<th>Dpi 21</th>
<th>Dpi 60</th>
<th>Dpi 90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>6.05 ± 1.55</td>
<td>6.94 ± 1.41</td>
<td>8.91 ± 2.03</td>
<td>2.67 ± 0.75</td>
<td>8.58 ± 1.77</td>
<td>7.61 ± 0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.25 **</td>
<td>B.D.L  #</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.78 †</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.07 **, †</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.25 **, †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-9</td>
<td>26.63 ± 8.05</td>
<td>13.99 ± 3.26</td>
<td>18.12 ± 5.37</td>
<td>5.94 ± 2.04</td>
<td>21.66 ± 3.18</td>
<td>16.58 ± 5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.16</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.38 * , †, @</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eotaxin</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.27</td>
<td>2.46 ± 0.09</td>
<td>6.38 ± 1.50 * , #</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.58 †</td>
<td>2.89 ± 0.35 †</td>
<td>2.69 ± 0.52 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-10</td>
<td>11.17 ± 8.18</td>
<td>19.02 ± 14.65</td>
<td>794.54 ± 734.04</td>
<td>8.03 ± 7.42</td>
<td>12.53 ± 10.74</td>
<td>12.48 ± 6.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.45</td>
<td>8.53 ± 5.74</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.76</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.39</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.14</td>
<td>72.37 ± 59.88</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.36</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-CSF</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.12</td>
<td>2.88 ± 0.64 * , †, †, †</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.70 †</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.16 †</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.27 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>3.76 ± 0.72</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.30</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.63</td>
<td>4.20 ± 0.69</td>
<td>4.29 ± 1.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean fluorescent intensity of blanks, standards, and samples was measured in duplicate wells, using the Luminex 200 reader (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX). The median fluorescent intensity of blanks was subtracted from all readings. Concentration of each cytokine was calculated from a 5-parameter logistic curve obtained from the known concentration of cytokines. All results were normalized with total protein concentration measured from each tissue lysate (pg/25 μg of total protein). B.D.L represented a value below the detectable concentration range of each analyte and was regarded as zero for statistical calculation. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with 3 dpi mice; †: comparison with 10 dpi mice; ‡: comparison with 21 dpi mice; ††: comparison with 60 dpi mice; *, #, †, †, †, †, ‡, ‡ and ‡: p<0.05; **, ††, ††, ††, †† and ††: P<0.001; mean number ± s.e.m.).
In cortex, the pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and growth factors including IL-β, IL-9, eotaxin, IP-10, KC, M-CSF and MCP-1 were increased during the initial phase of infection (through day 10 dpi) and then returned or decreased to baseline levels (pattern 1). The pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-2 and anti-inflammatory IL-10 were initially increased and returned to baseline levels and then reinduced at 60 days post infection (pattern 3). The pro-inflammatory growth factor VEGF didn’t show changes during the active phase of infection, but at a later time, displayed induction (pattern 4). IL-1α, IL-6, IL-12, IL-13, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IFN-γ, MIP-1α, MIP-1 β, or TNF-α were not detected in cortex following exposure to influenza. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test and cytokine levels (pg/25ug of total protein) were presented as mean ± s.e.m (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with 3 dpi mice; †: comparison with 10 dpi mice; @: comparison with 21 dpi mice; *: comparison with 60 dpi mice; *, #, †, † and @: p<0.05; **, ‡, †† and †@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Table 3.5 Level of Cytokines, Chemokines and Growth Factors in the Cortex Following H5N1 Infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Dpi 3</th>
<th>Dpi 10</th>
<th>Dpi 21</th>
<th>Dpi 60</th>
<th>Dpi 90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>2.28 ± 0.68 **</td>
<td>B.D.L #</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.67 #</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.06 #</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.12 #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-9</td>
<td>12.54 ± 3.97</td>
<td>25.42 ± 5.45 *</td>
<td>B.D.L ##</td>
<td>9.02 ± 2.43 #</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.81 ##</td>
<td>3.19 ± 0.73 ##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.33</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.72</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.43 * †</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eotaxin</td>
<td>2.55 ± 0.27</td>
<td>4.46 ± 0.35</td>
<td>8.76 ± 5.39</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.54</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-10</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.30</td>
<td>19.18 ± 17.64</td>
<td>1140.80 ± 1103.95</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.41</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.27</td>
<td>2.84 ± 0.70</td>
<td>17.60 ± 15.38</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.78</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.05</td>
<td>120.64 ± 116.40</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-CSF</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3.00 ± 1.88</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.61</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>2.68 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.62</td>
<td>4.02 ± 1.17 # † ,@</td>
<td>4.21 ± 1.02 # † ,@</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean fluorescent intensity of blanks, standards, and samples was measured in duplicate wells, using the Luminex 200 reader (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX). The median fluorescent intensity of blanks was subtracted from all readings. Concentration of each cytokine was calculated from a 5-parameter logistic curve obtained from the known concentration of cytokines. All results were normalized with total protein concentration measured from each tissue lysate (pg/25 μg of total protein). B.D.L represented a value below the detectable concentration range of each analyte and was regarded as zero for statistical calculation. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with 3 dpi mice; †: comparison with 10 dpi mice; @@: comparison with 21 dpi mice; ‡: comparison with 60 dpi mice; *, †, †, and @@: p<0.05; **, ††, ‡‡, and @@: P<0.001; mean number ± s.e.m.).

Table 3.5 (continued)
within the brain. This inflammation is characterized by an increase in the number of both resting and activated microglia and differential expression of a number of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors.

Inflammation in the nervous system has been associated with both the initiation and progression of a number of neurological disorders, including Parkinson’s disease (301, 302). Some of the cardinal pathologies seen in Parkinson’s disease include dopaminergic neuronal death in the substantia nigra pars compacta and other regions of the brain, aggregation of ubiquitinated proteins including alpha-synuclein (Lewy bodies) throughout the brain and generalized increases in cerebral oxidative stress (303). Coincident with these processes, there is an activation of the microglia within the brain.

Thus, what remains to be determined is whether the inflammatory reaction seen in the CNS is the outcome of, or initiates these pathologies or both. There is significant research to support each of these possibilities. For example, increases in microglial number, morphology and production of cytokines have been shown to occur in response to overexpression of alpha-synuclein (304-307), death of neurons (308), and increased oxidative stress (309-311). Others have shown that activation of the immune system is likely a predisposing factor that contributes to the initiation and progression of these pathologies (311-314). For example, in rodent PD model, a single systemic injection of inflammogen lipopolysaccharide (LPS) produced an elevation of TNF-α expression and a progressive cell loss in the SN that were sustained for 10 months after injection (315). In addition, mice had been exposed to LPS in prenatal period showed a prolonged inflammatory immune response and a progressive DA neuron loss when they exposed LPS in adulthood, while the prenatal saline control mice did not (316).

In this study, we find that microglia become activated coincident with the physical presence of the H5N1 virus and after this microglial induction we observe loss of the dopaminergic cellular phenotype (atrophy of TH-positive neurons and lack of dopamine production), aggregation of phosphorylated alpha-synuclein (270) and induction of cell death. The rapid microglial activation following peripheral inoculation of the influenza virus raises an important question: Is the initial microglial activation due to the direct sensing of the virus within the brain parenchyma or does it result from signals initiated outside of the brain, i.e. response to induction of a “cytokine storm” in lung or gut?

Support for a direct effect comes from studies demonstrating that both microglia (317) and neurons (318) are capable of directly interacting with the HA protein on the surface of the influenza virus by binding to sialic acid (SA)-alpha 2,3-galactose receptors, where they can induce inflammatory cytokine production (317, 319). Other studies, including studies of pandemic 1918 H1N1 influenza, lend support for an indirect adaptive mechanism which would involve a humoral microglial interaction (320, 321).

Based on previous findings showing the physical presence of influenza virus in the brain and probable interactions with circulating T-cells (322, 323), we suggest that a combination of mechanisms occur. We find that cytokine expression in the brain, a
measure of immune activation/response, in most cases closely follows a pattern initiated in lung including IL-6, IL-12, G-CSF, IFN-\(\gamma\), KC, MIP-1\(\alpha\), and TNF-\(\alpha\) and GM-CSF (with a delayed response), and thus are likely to be, at least in part, initiated in from a lung-initiated cytokine storm.

However, there are clear examples where we find cytokine expression in the brain that have no apparent relationship to the response in lung. IL-13 in the substantia nigra and IL-2 in the striatum were particularly interesting as they showed a rapid induction followed by return to baseline levels and then a reinduction long after H5N1 virus is absent from the brain.

IL-13 is originally known as an anti-inflammatory cytokine that suppresses TNF-\(\alpha\), IL-1\(\beta\), and IL-6 production in activated microglia and inhibits MHC class II and CD4 receptor expression in macrophage both in vivo and in vitro (324-328). However, the pro-inflammatory effects of IL-13 also have been documented. Microglia derived IL-13 stimulates nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase, a major source for reactive oxygen species (ROS) in microglia, and contributes to the neurodegeneration observed in thrombin-treated hippocampus. Neutralization of IL-13, mediated by anti-IL-13 antibodies attenuates the production of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and pro-inflammatory cytokines (329). IL-2 is a potent mediator of inflammatory immune response and expressed in response to insults (330, 331) and related with a progressive neuronal loss in rodent PD model (329, 332-335).

In our study, the stimulus for reinduction of this cytokines is unknown. However, we note that the timing of cytokine re-induction is coincident with the increased production of dopamine in both the substantia nigra and striatum. Previous studies examining the effects of catecholamine expression on immune activation have shown that dopamine, as an inducer of oxidative stress via quinone formation (310, 336-339), can activate microglia, leading to progressive dopaminergic cell dysfunction and loss (336).

In conclusion, we have found that influenza infection, although resolved within 3 weeks of infection, can instigate a long-term activation of microglia with cytokine production. Since activated microglia function in surveillance and response to insult, their continued presence in certain regions of the brain could make these regions particularly vulnerable to later insults or even normal age-induced changes, which could exacerbate a response that would not normally occur (340, 341). Specifically, one could hypothesize that influenza, which does not directly damage the basal ganglia enough to lead to induction of a full-blown disease state could make the basal ganglia sensitive to another insult that would in and of itself also be non-pathogenic. In this manner, it can be proposed that idiopathic Parkinson’s disease may result from multiple sub-toxic “hits” (including neurotropic influenza) that occur over the course of a lifetime.
4.1 Introduction

The “multiple hit” hypothesis suggests that Parkinson’s disease may be caused by the combination and/or accumulation of multiple insults to the brain throughout life where the initial event that initiates or contributes to the disease process may occur far earlier than the actual onset of symptoms (342). Mice exposed to environmental toxins, such as paraquat (PQ), during the postnatal period have been shown to become more vulnerable to neurotoxins given in adulthood (74). For example, rats exposed to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) at prenatal stages become more sensitive to 6-hydroxy-dopamine (6-OHDA) as adults (75). These studies support the concept of a “multiple hit” hypothesis.

Previously, we have shown that acute H5N1 influenza infection can provoke a long-term activation of microglia with specific patterns of cytokine production (Chapter 3). This lead us to hypothesize that pre-existing neuroinflammation in certain regions, including the substantia nigra could make these regions vulnerable to or increasingly sensitive to later insults that might not occur without the earlier exposure (313, 341).

Therefore, to determine if prior H5N1 virus infection increased the sensitivity to PQ we intranasally infected C57BL/6J mice with H5N1 virus. We included mice in the study that showed at least 15% total body weight loss following H5N1 infection (as an indication that these animals were infected (343)) and administered paraquat-HCl when these animal recovered (60 days post infection). We found that early exposure to H5N1 did not increase the sensitivity of C57BL/6J mice to intraperitoneal (ip) administration of paraquat.

4.2 Materials and Methods

All experiment using infectious pathogenic H5N1 viruses, including animal infection, were conducted in a Biosafety level 3+ laboratory approved for use by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the Center for Disease Control and exclusively utilized by the Division of Virology and other approved scientists at St Jude Children’s Research Hospital for highly pathogenic avian influenza work.

4.2.1 Virus stock preparation and inoculation of mice with H5N1

Stock viruses were prepared by propagating neurotropic A/VN/1203/04 (H5N1) allantoic cavity of 10-days-old embryonated chicken eggs for 40 to 48 hours at 37°C. Virus stock was aliquoted then stored at -70°C until use. Viral infectious titers were determined using the method of Reed and Muench (271) and expressed in log10 of the
50% egg infectious dose per 1.0 ml of fluid (EID
/mL). 6-8 week old C57BL/6J female mice (Jackson Labs, Bar Harbor, ME) were anesthetized by isofluorane inhalation and infected intranasally with 30 µL of allantoic fluid diluted in PBS to the target virus infectious titer (10² EID
). One group of animal received 0.9% saline and was used as an age-matched negative control. The body weight of infected animals was monitored recorded daily.

4.2.2 Paraquat (PQ) administration

Paraquat HCl was purchased from Sigma (856177, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and diluted with sterilized saline (0.9%) to the working concentration of 1mg/ml. Mice that survived acute H5N1 infection with loss of -at least -15% total body weight followed by recovery, were divided into two groups. The first group was administered with ip injection of 5mg/kg of paraquat, every third day for three weeks, starting from 60 days post infection. The second group was treated with ip saline (0.9%). Two control groups were used for comparison. The first control group was aged matched, non-infected C57BL/6J mice administered paraquat using the same injection paradigm as experimental animals to ensure that the paraquat caused a lesion. The second control group was aged matched, non-infected C57BL/6J mice administered ip saline to ensure that handling and injection were not a variable in paraquat-induced neurological effects.

4.2.3 Immunocytochemistry

One week after the final injection of PQ, mice were deeply anesthetized with Avertin and transcardially perfused with 0.9% saline followed by 10% neutral buffered formalin. Brains were removed and postfixed for 3 weeks in 10% neutral buffered formalin to ensure that any virus particles present in the tissue had been killed. Brains were cryoprotected with 30% sucrose in PBS, serially sectioned in the coronal plane at 40 micro sections and placed in PBS filled-24 well plates. Sections were immunolabeled for TH (rabbit, P40101-0, PelFreeze, Rogers, AK, 1:500) overnight, and the primary antibody was visualized using the ABC method (PK-6102, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) and 3,3-diaminobenzidine (SK-4100 Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) as a chromogen. Sections were mounted on Superfrost-Plus (12-550, Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA) slide and counter stained with neutral red to visualize Nissl substance, and then dehydrated, cleared and mounted with Permount (SP15-500, Sigma, St. Louis, MO).

4.2.4 Stereological cell count using the optical fractionator method

The total number of TH-positive dopaminergic neurons in both hemispheres of SNpc was estimated by the optical fractionator method (279) using the StereoInvestigator software (version 7.0, MicroBrightField, Colchester, VT). The broad outlines of the SNpc in both hemispheres were delineated at low power (4× magnification). An unbiased
counting frame (60 × 60 μm) was placed at the intersections of a grid (frame size 200 × 200 μm) randomly superimposed on a video image of the contoured sections. Sections were examined under a high power (100× objective lens, numerical aperture (NA)= 1.3) on a BX51 microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA) with a MAC5000 motorized XYZ axis computer-controlled stage and a CX9000 CCD video camera (MicroBrightField, Colchester, VT). TH-positive cells were counted at the depth that their nucleus was focused in each counting area. The reliability of the estimates were measured by calculation of the coefficient of error (344). Gundersen coefficients of error for m=1 was all less than or equal to 0.10. Statistical significance was calculated using a one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc test (280).

4.2.5 Biochemical measurement of monoamine neurotransmitters

One week after the last injection of PQ or saline, C57BL/6J mice were deeply anesthetized with Avertin and transcardially perfused with 0.9% saline to remove the majority of the blood from the brain vasculature. Brains were rapidly removed and placed in a brain matrix (BS-AL-5000C, Braintree Scientific, Braintree, MA) and sliced into 1 mm thick sections and placed on an ice-cooled plate. Tissues were dissected from the SN (Bregma: -2.70 to -3.70), striatum (Bregma: +0.14 to +1.26mm), brainstem (Bregma: -5.40 to -6.70mm), cortex (Bregma: -1.70 to -2.70mm), and hippocampus (Bregma: -1.70 to -2.70mm) (273). Tissues were homogenized in a chilled 0.3M perchloric acid and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 10,000g at 4°C. The supernatants were saved and stored at -70°C until used. A variety of monoamine transmitters; dopamine (DA), and its metabolites 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC), and homovanillic acid (HVA); norepinephrine (NE); 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) and its metabolites 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) were analyzed using reverse-phase ion pairing HPLC, combined with electrochemical detection (EC) detection under isocratic elution conditions. The amount of monoamine neurotransmitters in the tissues was determined by injecting known concentration of monoamine neurotransmitters and extrapolating from a standard curve. Statistical difference was determined using a one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc test.

4.2.6 Quantification of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors

The concentration of IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12(p70), IL-13, TNF-α, IFN-γ, GM-CSF, G-CSF, M-CSF, Eotaxin, IP-10, KC, MCP-1, MIP-1α, MIP-1β and VEGF proteins was simultaneously analyzed from dissected brain regions and lung, using the Luminex 200 system (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX) and the Milliplex mouse cytokine kit (MPXMCYTO-70K-20, Millipore, Billerica, MA).

One week after the last injection of PQ or saline, dissected tissues were homogenized in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 2.5mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 150mM NaCl, protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Complete mini, PhosphoStop, Roche, Indianapolis, IN). The tissues lysates were then incubated for 30
minutes on ice and centrifuged at 12,000g for 15 minutes. Supernatants were aliquoted and stored at -70°C until used. To quantify cytokines, the supernatants were incubated with the microspheres coated with capture antibodies for each analyte for 2 hours. After rinsing, biotinylated detection antibodies were added into each well and incubated for 1 hour. Streptavidin-phycoerythrin conjugates were added and fluorescence intensity was measured by the Luminex 200 reader (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX). Concentration of each cytokine was calculated from a 5-parameter logistic curve obtained from the known concentration of cytokines, using MILLIPLEX Analyst software (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA).

4.3 Results

4.3.1. Does H5N1 infection synergize with PQ to alter dopaminergic neuron number in the SNpc?

We assessed, using stereological methods (280), the number of tyrosine hydroxylase positive dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc (Fig 4.1A). We found that 5mg/kg PQ treatment reduced the numbers of TH-positive dopaminergic neurons in SNpc in mice by 22%. Examination of the effect of H5N1 on TH-positive dopaminergic neuron number revealed no significant reduction compared to non-infected saline treated control.

To examine if prior infection with H5N1 sensitized C57BL/6J mice to the effects of paraquat, we injected 5 mg/kg paraquat (using the 3 weeks injection paradigm) into mice that survived acute H5N1 infection with loss of -at least -15% total body weight followed by recovery, at 60 days after inoculation. The animals treated with paraquat after H5N1 infection showed a non-significant 10% reduction in the number of TH-positive dopaminergic neurons, compared to non-infected saline treated control animals; a number that was approximately the same as the number determined in H5N1 + saline treated mice. These findings suggest that H5N1 infection, rather than being a predisposing factor in the reduction of SNpc DA neurons, may in fact be protective.

4.3.2. Does prior infection with H5N1 synergize with PQ to effect changes in dopamine, DOPAC and HVA levels in the CNS?

Reverse phase HPLC with electrochemical detection was used to determine if exposure to H5N1 infection affected the levels of dopamine and its metabolites DOPAC and HVA in five regions of the CNS: striatum, substantia nigra, brainstem, hippocampus and cortex, and to determine if prior H5N1 exposure modified the levels of these neurochemicals observed following 3 weeks of 5mg/kg paraquat.

Although 5mg/kg PQ treatment alone significantly decreased the number of TH-positive dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc (Fig 4.1), we found that these concentrations
Prior H5N1 infection did not potentiate PQ-induced neurotoxicity to dopaminergic neuron. 5mg/kg PQ treatment alone reduced TH-positive SNpc dopaminergic neurons in C57BL/6J mice by 22% (8768 ± 172, n=5) compared to non-infected saline treated control animals (11170 ± 599, n=4). Examination of the effect of H5N1 on TH-positive dopaminergic neurons (10090 ± 259, n=4) revealed no significant change compared to non-infected saline treated controls. Mice received 5mg/kg PQ treatment after H5N1 infection showed no change in TH-positive DA neuron number compared to non-infected saline treated control animals (5mg/kg of PQ + H5N1, 10410 ± 481); this number was significantly higher than the number of TH-positive DA neurons in the PQ only treated group and was approximately the same as the number seen in H5N1 only-treated mice. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg treated mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ## and @@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
of PQ did not permanently alter the concentration of DA nor the amount its metabolites DOPAC and HVA in the striatum (Fig 4.2). We also detected no change in the rate of DA turnover, measured as (DOPAC + HVA)/DA, when comparing any of the experimental groups. Similar findings were also seen in substantia nigra (Fig 4.3), brainstem (Fig 4.4), hippocampus (Fig 4.5) and cortex (Fig 4.6). All the experiments examining the predisposing effects of H5N1 on PQ-mediated changes were only performed at 5 mg/kg dose. In this paradigm, H5N1 did not exacerbate the effects of paraquat on dopamine release or turnover in the striatum (Fig 4.2). In the other regions of the brain examined (and that contain significantly lower levels of dopamine), we observed that PQ treatment after H5N1 infection lower total dopamine in the SN compared to DA amount of saline treated control mice (Fig 4.3), while only turnover changes were noted in brainstem (Fig 4.4) and cortex (Fig 4.6).

4.3.3 Does prior infection with H5N1 synergize with PQ to effect changes in NE, 5-HT and 5-HIAA levels in the CNS?

Reverse phase HPLC with electrochemical detection was used to determine if prior infection with H5N1 exacerbated paraquat’s effects on the level of NE, 5-HT, and 5-HIAA in the SN, striatum, brainstem, cortex, and hippocampus. In the striatum, neither H5N1 nor PQ induced any long-term changes in NE levels. However, both H5N1 infection and paraquat individually reduced the level of 5-HT and 5-HIAA by approximately 50% compared to basal level. No synergistic effect between the H5N1 infection and paraquat treatment to increase the loss of these monoamines was found (Fig 4.2). Although there were some significant changes to NE, 5-HT and 5HIAA in other regions of the CNS examined (Fig 4.3-4.6), there appeared to be no synergistic effects of H5N1 and paraquat.

4.3.4 Effect of PQ and H5N1 on activation of the immune response in brain

In the previous chapter, we demonstrated that infection with H5N1 led to a long-term increase in the number of resting and activated microglia that reside in the SNpc. We also showed long-term changes in the expression of a number of proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors. This activated immune response implied to speculation that H5N1 infection could render the substantia nigra (and perhaps other brain regions) into a heightened state of readiness that could then potentiate the response of the brain to PQ-induced neurotoxicity.

We next examined if the dosage of PQ we used in these studies could alter peripheral cytokine expression levels in the lung, since PQ is a well known pneumotoxin (345). Previous studies have shown that concentrations of PQ ranging from 30mg/kg to 120mg/kg could induce a significant increase in the level of serum cytokines, including TNF-α, IL-1 β, IL-6, and IL-10 (346). In our studies, much lower concentration of PQ was used since our goal was to establish whether there were synergistic effects between H5N1 and paraquat rather than to study the systemic effects of PQ alone.
Figure 4.2 Percent Change in Monoamine Neurotransmitter Level in the Striatum Following H5N1 Infection and PQ Treatment

(A-C), No significant change was detected in the amount of striatal DA and its metabolites compared to that of control, regardless of treatments of among H5N1 or PQ alone or combined. (D), DA turnover rate, (DOPAC + HVA)/DA, was conserved throughout all experimental groups. No significant change in the amount of NE was found in any group of animals. (E-F), The amount of 5-HT and 5-HIAA in the H5N1 infected, H5N1+PQ treated, and H5N1+saline treated animals was decreased by 50% from that of control, but there was no significant difference among the groups. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg administered mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ## and @@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Figure 4.3 Percent Change in Monoamine Neurotransmitter Level in the SN Following H5N1 Infection and PQ Treatment

(A), Mice received PQ after H5N1 infection showed a significant reduction in the level of dopamine in the SN. (B-C), A similar trend was observed in the amounts of DOPAC and HVA, but failed to reach statistical significance. (D-E), No significant change was found in the amount of NE and 5-HT in any group of animals. (F), 5-HIAA was significantly decreased with PQ treatment, compared to basal level in control mice. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg administered mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ### and @@@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Figure 4.4 Percent Change in Monoamine Neurotransmitter Level in the Brainstem Following H5N1 Infection and PQ Treatment

(A), No additive or synergistic effect between H5N1 infection and paraquat treatment was observed in the level of dopamine in the brain stem. (B-C), DOPAC was significantly increased with H5N1+PQ treatment while HVA didn’t changed, compared to counterparts in the control mice. (D-F), No significant change was found in the amount of NE, 5-HT, and 5-HIAA in any group of animals. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg administered mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ## and @@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Figure 4.5 Percent Change in Monoamine Neurotransmitter Level in the Hippocampus Following H5N1 Infection and PQ Treatment

(A-D), No additive or synergistic effect between H5N1 infection and paraquat treatment was observed in the amount of DA, DOPAC, HVA, and NE in the hippocampus. (E), The amount of 5-HT was significantly decreased in mice that received PQ alone, compared to H5N1+saline treated mice. A similar trend was observed in the amounts of 5-HIAA, but that failed to reach statistical significance. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg administered mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ### and @@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Figure 4.6 Percent Change in Monoamine Neurotransmitter Level in the Cortex Following H5N1 Infection and PQ Treatment

(A), No additive or synergistic effect between H5N1 infection and paraquat treatment was observed in the level of DA in the cortex. (B), The level of DOPAC was significantly increased in the H5N1+PQ treated group, compared to the control, H5N1 infected, and PQ alone treated group. (C), A similar trend was observed in the amount of HVA, but that failed to reach statistical significance. (D), The level of NE was significantly increased in the H5N1+PQ treated mice, compared to the H5N1 infected group. (E-F), No significant change was found in the amount of 5-HT and 5-HIAA in any group of animals. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg administered mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ## and @@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
When the lower dose of PQ was administered (5mg/kg), a small number of changes in lung cytokine expression were detected, including increases in GM-CSF and IFN-γ. The levels of each of the other cytokines, chemokines and growth factors examined including IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12(p70), Eotaxin, G-CSF, IP-10, KC, M-CSF, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and VEGF were not changed in response to this low level of PQ (Fig 4.7, Table 4.1). To examine if H5N1 infection “primed” the lung to respond to a second challenge we administered 5 mg/kg PQ at 60 dpi with H5N1. In no cases did we observe synergistic effects of these two agents in any of the cytokines examined. On the contrary, we found that H5N1 priming appeared to dampen the cytokine-mediated immune response, reducing the level of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-2 and GM-CSF (Fig 4.7, Table 4.1).

In the brain, we found that PQ could induce a specific pattern of cytokines chemokines and growth factors expression dependent on brain region. In the substantia nigra, paraquat significantly increased the level of pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors, including IL-2, IL-12(p70), IL-13, Eotaxin, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IFN-γ, KC, MIP-1α and MIP-1β compared to cytokine levels measured from the control mice. We found that priming with H5N1 lowered the expression of both pro-, anti-inflammatory mediators including IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-12(p70), IL-13, Eotaxin, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IFN-γ, KC, MCP-1, M-CSF, MIP-1α and MIP-1β, compared to PQ alone treatment without H5N1 priming (Fig 4.8, Table 4.2).

In the striatum, administration of the 5mg/kg of PQ increased the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors including IL-12(p70), IL-13, GM-CSF, IFN-γ, KC, MCP-1, MIP-1α and MIP-1β (Fig 4.9, Table 4.3). As was observed in the lung and substantia nigra, prior exposure to H5N1 either lowered (IL-13, GM-CSF, KC, MCP-1, MIP-1α and MIP-1β) or did not affect (IL-1β, IL-2, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12(p70), Eotaxin, IFN-γ, M-CSF and VEGF) of the inflammatory response to paraquat (Fig 4.9, Table 4.3).

A similar pattern of cytokines/chemokines/growth factors expression was noted in the brainstem (Fig 4.10, Table 4.4) and cortex (Fig 4.11, Table 4.5), suggesting that in the CNS, prior infection with H5N1 either had no effect or reduced PQ-induced immune response.

4.4 Discussion

The etiology of the vast majority of Parkinson’s disease is unknown. One hypothesis put forward regarding how this disorder is initiated states that there is either additive or synergistic combinatorial effect of different sub-threshold insults that ultimately leads to the development of a parkinsonian pathology. This is the basis for the “multiple hit” hypothesis (70-73).
Pro-inflammatory cytokine, IFN-γ and growth factors GM-CSF were increased with ip administration of 5 mg/kg PQ. The rest of the pro-inflammatory mediators examined, including IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-9, IL-13, Eotaxin, G-CSF, IP-10, KC, M-CSF, MCP-1, MIP-1α and MIP-1β and anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 were not significantly different from basal levels in the control, suggesting that 5mg PQ treatment alone did not provoke an massive innate immune response. No synergistic effect between H5N1 infection and PQ treatment to increase the cytokine-mediated immune response was observed. On the contrary, we found that priming with H5N1 appeared to dampen the cytokine-mediated immune response after PQ exposure. The level of pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-2 and growth factor GM-CSF was significantly decreased compared to levels in the PQ alone treated mice. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test and cytokine levels (pg/25ug of total protein) were presented as mean ± s.e.m (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg treated mice; *, **, ## and @@: p<0.05; **, ## and @@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Table 4.1 Level of Cytokines, Chemokines and Growth Factors in the Lung Following H5N1 Infection and PQ Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>H5N1</th>
<th>PQ</th>
<th>H5N1 + PQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1α</td>
<td>14.95 ± 4.47</td>
<td>11.18 ± 5.63</td>
<td>10.45 ± 3.30</td>
<td>16.69 ± 4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>52.92 ± 11.44</td>
<td>41.26 ± 6.63</td>
<td>41.83 ± 5.99</td>
<td>24.63 ± 3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>3.73 ± 0.57</td>
<td>2.41 ± 0.49</td>
<td>3.93 ± 0.83</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.25 * @</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.23</td>
<td>4.14 ± 3.84</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.27</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>2.74 ± 0.38</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.83</td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.99</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12(p70)</td>
<td>2.06 ± 0.21</td>
<td>B.D.L *</td>
<td>2.19 ± 0.44 #</td>
<td>2.31 ± 0.35 #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eotaxin</td>
<td>56.17 ± 7.13</td>
<td>62.56 ± 18.70</td>
<td>63.25 ± 10.32</td>
<td>68.36 ± 6.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-CSF</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.25</td>
<td>10.51 ± 3.92</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.42</td>
<td>18.17 ± 8.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>4.32 ± 1.41 **#@</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.31 @</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.37 **#@</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.15 **@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-10</td>
<td>7.48 ± 0.94</td>
<td>9.83 ± 3.31</td>
<td>13.50 ± 5.03</td>
<td>18.22 ± 2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>5.46 ± 0.64</td>
<td>17.12 ± 6.75</td>
<td>8.23 ± 3.11</td>
<td>12.93 ± 2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-CSF</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.43</td>
<td>5.90 ± 1.54 *</td>
<td>2.82 ± 0.98 #</td>
<td>4.39 ± 0.39 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>6.75 ± 1.11</td>
<td>5.99 ± 2.94</td>
<td>8.30 ± 2.08</td>
<td>11.81 ± 1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1α</td>
<td>7.44 ± 1.85</td>
<td>25.07 ± 1.09</td>
<td>5.36 ± 1.97</td>
<td>15.54 ± 12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1β</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>5.00 ± 1.91</td>
<td>7.50 ± 5.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>56.96 ± 5.72</td>
<td>86.88 ± 9.35</td>
<td>63.83 ± 10.47</td>
<td>73.85 ± 7.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean fluorescent intensity of blanks, standards, and samples was measured in duplicate wells, using the Luminex 200 reader (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX). The median fluorescent intensity of blanks was subtracted from all readings and concentration of each cytokine was calculated from a 5-parameter logistic curve obtained from the known concentration of cytokines. All results were normalized with total protein concentration measured from each tissue lysate (pg/25 μg of total protein). B.D.L represented a value below the detectable concentration range of each analyte and was regarded as zero for statistical calculation. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg treated mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ## and @@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Figure 4.8 Expression of Cytokines, Chemokines and Growth Factors in the SN Following H5N1 Infection and PQ Treatment

Pro-inflammatory cytokines/chemokines/growth factors, including IL-2, IL-12(p70), IL-13, Eotaxin, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IFN-γ, KC, MIP-1α and MIP-1β were increased in response to 5mg PQ treatment, compared to basal levels measured in the control animals. Priming with H5N1 resulted in a desensitization and in no cases was there any increases in the inflammatory response. Both the pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators including IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-10, IL-12(p70), IL-13, Eotaxin, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IFN-γ, KC, MCP-1, M-CSF, MIP-1α and MIP-1β were decreased in H5N1+PQ groups, compared to PQ alone treated group. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test and cytokine levels (pg/25ug of total protein) were presented as mean ± s.e.m (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg treated mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ### and @@: p<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokine</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>H5N1</th>
<th>PQ</th>
<th>H5N1+PQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1α</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12(p70)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eotaxin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-CSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-CSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1α</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1β</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- *: comparison with control mice
- #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice
- @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg treated mice
- *, # and @: p<0.05
- **, ### and @@: p<0.001
- Error bars represent s.e.m.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>H5N1</th>
<th>PQ</th>
<th>H5N1 + PQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1α</td>
<td>36.77 ± 10.73</td>
<td>30.99 ± 9.27</td>
<td>35.81 ± 6.74</td>
<td>1.87 ± 1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>18.54 ± 3.67</td>
<td>17.27 ± 0.78</td>
<td>30.73 ± 3.69</td>
<td>13.12 ± 2.86 @</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>5.56 ± 1.27</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.29</td>
<td>8.50 ± 1.28 *,#</td>
<td>2.44 ± 0.73 @</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>3.31 ± 0.49</td>
<td>B.D.L **</td>
<td>4.30 ± 0.61 ##</td>
<td>B.D.L **,@@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-9</td>
<td>27.48 ± 4.81</td>
<td>16.79 ± 3.71</td>
<td>37.74 ± 3.46</td>
<td>29.58 ± 18.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>22.50 ± 4.00</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.06 **</td>
<td>26.59 ± 2.12 ##</td>
<td>4.40 ± 1.43 **,@@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12(p70)</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>4.81 ± 0.62 **,###</td>
<td>2.70 ± 0.61 **,#,@@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-13</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>3.17 ± 0.40 **,###</td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.53 *,#,@@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eotaxin</td>
<td>4.95 ± 1.02</td>
<td>3.86 ± 0.34</td>
<td>10.40 ± 1.56 *,#</td>
<td>3.57 ± 0.69 @</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-CSF</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.42 **,###</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.11 *,#,@@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>7.81 ± 1.25</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>10.73 ± 1.30 *,###</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.43 @</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>6.48 ± 2.55</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>13.20 ± 1.89 **,###</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.55 @@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-10</td>
<td>4.24 ± 1.02</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>43.97 ± 26.75</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>3.16 ± 0.54</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.05</td>
<td>6.20 ± 1.06 *,###</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.29 @@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>7.68 ± 1.59</td>
<td>B.D.L *</td>
<td>10.91 ± 1.62 #</td>
<td>3.61 ± 1.11 @</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-CSF</td>
<td>4.99 ± 1.02</td>
<td>2.75 ± 0.23</td>
<td>6.94 ± 0.70 #</td>
<td>3.72 ± 0.31 @</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1α</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>9.57 ± 2.28 **,###</td>
<td>2.82 ± 0.81 @@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1β</td>
<td>4.79 ± 0.61</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>9.10 ± 1.97 *,###</td>
<td>3.09 ± 0.79 @</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>3.75 ± 0.65</td>
<td>4.33 ± 0.78</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.30</td>
<td>2.28 ± 0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.2 (continued)

Mean fluorescent intensity of blanks, standards, and samples was measured in duplicate wells, using the Luminex 200 reader (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX). The median fluorescent intensity of blanks was subtracted from all readings and concentration of each cytokine was calculated from a 5-parameter logistic curve obtained from the known concentration of cytokines. All results were normalized with total protein concentration measured from each tissue lysate (pg/25 μg of total protein). B.D.L represented a value below the detectable concentration range of each analyte and was regarded as zero for statistical calculation. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg treated mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ## and @@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Pro-inflammatory cytokines/chemokines/growth factors including IL-12(p70), IL-13, GM-CSF, IFN-γ, KC, MCP-1, MIP-1α and MIP-1β were increased in response to ip administration of 5 mg/kg PQ, compared to basal levels measured in the control animals. As was observed in the lung and substantia nigra, prior exposure to H5N1 either lowered (IL-13, GM-CSF, KC, MCP-1, MIP-1α, and MIP-1β) or did not affect (IL-1β, IL-2, IL-9, IL-12(p70), Eotaxin, IFN-γ, IP-10, M-CSF and VEGF) the inflammatory response to paraquat. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test and cytokine levels (pg/25ug of total protein) were presented as mean ± s.e.m. (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg treated mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ## and @@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Table 4.3 Level of Cytokines, Chemokines and Growth Factors in the Striatum Following H5N1 Infection and PQ Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>H5N1</th>
<th>PQ</th>
<th>H5N1 + PQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>5.54 ± 0.91</td>
<td>7.61 ± 0.97</td>
<td>6.06 ± 0.62</td>
<td>3.60 ± 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-9</td>
<td>19.10 ± 5.67</td>
<td>16.58 ± 5.51</td>
<td>6.68 ± 1.07</td>
<td>9.05 ± 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>3.81 ± 0.94</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.11</td>
<td>3.80 ± 1.10</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12(p70)</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-13</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.01</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eotaxin</td>
<td>2.25 ± 0.39</td>
<td>2.69 ± 0.52</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.46</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.47</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-10</td>
<td>11.42 ± 4.73</td>
<td>12.48 ± 6.23</td>
<td>11.89 ± 5.03</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.70 ± 0.51</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-CSF</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.28</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.27</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>3.65 ± 0.72</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1α</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>2.82 ± 0.54</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1β</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>2.55 ± 0.58</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>3.02 ± 0.44</td>
<td>4.29 ± 1.29</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.3 *(continued)*

Mean fluorescent intensity of blanks, standards, and samples was measured in duplicate wells, using the Luminex 200 reader (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX). The median fluorescent intensity of blanks was subtracted from all readings and concentration of each cytokine was calculated from a 5-parameter logistic curve obtained from the known concentration of cytokines. All results were normalized with total protein concentration measured from each tissue lysate (pg/25 μg of total protein). B.D.L represented a value below the detectable concentration range of each analyte and was regarded as zero for statistical calculation. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg treated mice; *, #: and @: p<0.05; **, ### and @@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Figure 4.10 Expression of Cytokines, Chemokines and Growth Factors in the Brainstem Following H5N1 Infection and PQ Treatment

Pro-inflammatory cytokines/chemokines/growth factors including IL-12(p70), GM-CSF, IFN-γ, IP-10, MCP-1, MIP-1α, MIP-1β and VEGF were increased responds to 5mg PQ treatment, compared to basal levels measured in the control animals. Prior exposure to H5N1 lowered (IL-2 and IP-10) or raised (IL-12(p70), IFN-γ, and, M-CSF) or did not affect (IL-1α, MIP-1β and VEGF) the inflammatory response to paraquat. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test and cytokine levels (pg/25ug of total protein) were presented as mean ± s.e.m (*: comparison with control mice, #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg treated mice; *, #, and @: p<0.05; **, ## and @@@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Table 4.4 Level of Cytokines, Chemokines and Growth Factors in the Brainstem Following H5N1 Infection and PQ Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>H5N1</th>
<th>PQ</th>
<th>H5N1 + PQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>9.27 ± 1.05</td>
<td>11.62 ± 1.35</td>
<td>5.33 ± 0.98 *#</td>
<td>3.80 ± 0.66 *##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.06 **</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.14 **#</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.05 **@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-9</td>
<td>7.23 ± 3.32</td>
<td>5.82 ± 1.64</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.59</td>
<td>3.08 ± 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>5.11 ± 1.19</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.11</td>
<td>5.04 ± 0.71</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12(p70)</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.05 **##</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.10 **##@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eotaxin</td>
<td>5.73 ± 0.57</td>
<td>6.67 ± 0.67</td>
<td>4.33 ± 0.40 #</td>
<td>3.17 ± 0.22 *##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.48 **##</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.10 *##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.06 **##</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.11 **##@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-10</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>6.32 ± 2.74 *##</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.49 @</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>2.86 ± 0.30</td>
<td>2.73 ± 0.30</td>
<td>2.74 ± 0.29</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.73 *##</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.50 *##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-CSF</td>
<td>4.81 ± 0.51</td>
<td>4.42 ± 0.38</td>
<td>2.54 ± 0.33 *##</td>
<td>4.27 ± 0.30 @</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1α</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.38 **##</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.09 *##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1β</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.37 **##</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.33 **##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.36</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.71 *</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.07 #</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.07 #</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean fluorescent intensity of blanks, standards, and samples was measured in duplicate wells, using the Luminex 200 reader (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX). The median fluorescent intensity of blanks was subtracted from all readings and concentration of each cytokine was calculated from a 5-parameter logistic curve obtained from the known concentration of cytokines. All results were normalized with total protein concentration measured from each tissue lysate (pg/25 μg of total protein). B.D.L represented a value below the detectable concentration range of each analyte and was regarded as zero for statistical calculation. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg treated mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ### and @@@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Figure 4.11 Expression of Cytokines, Chemokines and Growth Factors in the Cortex Following H5N1 Infection and PQ Treatment

Pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-12 (p70), GM-CSF, IFN-γ, MIP-1α, MIP-1β and VEGF were increased responds to 5mg PQ treatment, compared to basal levels measured in the control animals. Prior exposure to H5N1 either lowered (IL-1β, IFN-γ, IP-10, KC, MIP-1β) or did not affect (IL-2, IL-9, IL-10, Eotaxin, GM-CSF, MCP-1, M-CSF, MIP-1α and VEGF) the inflammatory response to paraquat. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test and cytokine levels (pg/25ug of total protein) were presented as mean ± s.e.m (*: comparison with control mice; @: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg treated mice; *, and @@: p<0.05; **, ### and @@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
Table 4.5 Level of Cytokines, Chemokines and Growth Factors in the Cortex Following H5N1 Infection and PQ Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>H5N1</th>
<th>PQ</th>
<th>H5N1 + PQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>16.71 ± 1.30</td>
<td>14.82 ± 1.68</td>
<td>16.12 ± 1.71</td>
<td>9.66 ± 0.81 **,##@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-9</td>
<td>12.11 ± 2.84</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.95 *</td>
<td>2.03 ± 0.47 *</td>
<td>4.72 ± 0.95 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.86</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12(p70)</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.03 **,###</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.02 **,###@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eotaxin</td>
<td>2.41 ± 0.23</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.35</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.30</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.13 **,#</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.36 **,#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.04 **,###</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.04 **,###@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-10</td>
<td>3.13 ± 2.03</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>4.86 ± 1.80 #</td>
<td>B.D.L @</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.09 *</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.29 #</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.12 *##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-CSF</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.91</td>
<td>B.D.L *</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1α</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.37 *##</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.27 *##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1β</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>B.D.L</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.05 **,###</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.10 **,###@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>2.49 ± 0.16</td>
<td>4.21 ± 1.02 *</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.06 *##</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.12 *##</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Mean fluorescent intensity of blanks, standards, and samples was measured in duplicate wells, using the Luminex 200 reader (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX). The median fluorescent intensity of blanks was subtracted from all readings and concentration of each cytokine was calculated from a 5-parameter logistic curve obtained from the known concentration of cytokines. All results were normalized with total protein concentration measured from each tissue lysate (pg/25 μg of total protein). B.D.L represented a value below the detectable concentration range of each analyte and was regarded as zero for statistical calculation. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test (*: comparison with control mice; #: comparison with H5N1 infected mice; @: comparison with PQ 5mg/kg treated mice; *, # and @: p<0.05; **, ## and @@: P<0.001; error bars, s.e.m.).
In this set of experiments we examined one combination of insults, that of a neurotropic virus, H5N1 (A/VN/1203/1204) and paraquat, an agent that generates oxidative stress by a direct redox mechanism. The highly pathogenic H5N1 (A/VN/1203/04) strain is neurotropic and induces both short-term and long-term effects in the central nervous system, including a transient reduction of the dopamine (270) and a long-lasting increase in inflammation in the brain, characterized by an increase in the number of both resting and activated microglia and differential expression of a number of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors (Chapter 3).

Paraquat has been widely used throughout the world as an herbicide; compounds that have shown to have an etiological link with Parkinson’s disease (74, 170). Paraquat is actively transported into the brain through neutral amino acid transporters (347). Once in the brain, experimental evidence has shown that paraquat acts as a direct redox cycling agent, where it first undergoes a one-step reduction catalyzed by NADPH oxidase, NOS and cellular reductases in microglial cells (307, 348). The paraquat cation radical then transfers its electrons to molecular oxygen that results in the formation of superoxides. Additionally, by virtue of the SNpc having significant dopamine metabolism and a significantly increased microglial density compared to other brain regions (12), there is a propensity to form hydrogen peroxide and superoxides that react with nitrated proteins to form peroxynitriles in this structure, eventually initiating apoptosis through a Bcl-2 homologous antagonist/killer (BAK) dependent mechanism (349).

Contrary to our hypothesis, the results of this study suggest that H5N1 (as a first insult) may have either no effect or may even protect the dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta from paraquat-induced neurotoxicity. This conclusion is based on the findings that H5N1+PQ mice show no loss of TH-positive SNpc dopaminergic neurons and this same combination appears to suppress most of the long-term cytokine responses seen following intranasal H5N1 inoculation.

Thus, the question is raised regarding how H5N1 priming may protect the CNS (and in particular the basal ganglia) from paraquat-induced neurotoxicity and neuroinflammation? One possibility (and an area that was not examined in this thesis) is that in addition to induction of proinflammatory cytokines, H5N1 may also induce, either directly or indirectly, neurotrophic factor expression. Neurotrophins are small molecules that generally have a positive survival influence on neurons, allowing these cells to survive after a variety of insults that would normally injure or kill them (350). In the CNS, neurotrophins are expressed by both neurons and glia (astrocytes and microglia) (351) and several studies have shown that inflammation in the CNS and the subsequent release of pro-inflammatory cytokines can induce production of these proteins (351-353) (350) (351). Thus providing a “Yin and Yang” function to microglial activation and inflammatory induction (354-357), where in the case of these two agents, there is a gradient of function towards the neurotrophins.

The timing and context of the individual insults may also affect the combined “output” of these experiments. For example, Mangano et al. reported that pre-LPS infusion into the SN potentiated paraquat-induced neurotoxic effect on dopaminergic
neuron, when PQ was delivered 2 days after the endotoxin in C57 BL/6J mice. This same combination of toxins showed opposite results when paraquat was administered 7 days after LPS infusion (358). In our experiment, the timing of the two insults could be a factor, if the 60 day interval between insults either does not allow for the reinitiation of an inflammatory response or if the initial insult is self-limiting. Examination of the cytokine profiles in the basal ganglia suggests that these possibilities exist, as there is no example of higher levels of cytokine induction in the H5N1+paraquat condition compared to paraquat or H5N1 alone. This preconditioned repression has been described after exposure to ionizing radiation (359), ischemia (360), or LPS (358). Although the mechanism for this inflammatory repression is not fully elucidated, it has been shown that elevation of heat shock protein 70 (HSP70) (361), which ultimately inhibits expression of the nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) pathway, can modulate the inflammatory response. NF-κB is an essential transcription factor that regulates transcription of genes shown to be involved in immune function, inflammation, and apoptosis (362-364). One mechanism for the protective effects of HSP70 appears to be its ability to inhibit reinduction of cytokines by blocking NF-κB p65 nuclear translocation and IκBα degradation (361, 365, 366). Further studies are needed to determine if this mechanism is involved in the influenza induced cytokine repression.

It is also possible that the dosage of paraquat used in this study (5mg/kg) as the second stressor may not have been at a high enough level to induce sufficient oxidative stress on dopaminergic neurons to generate a cytokine response (that would overcome any inhibition, see above). This hypothesis could be tested by examining cytokine reinduction after administration of higher levels of paraquat (such as 10 mg/kg). However, Klinworth et al. (367) reported that paraquat was unable to induce midbrain microglia to produce any proinflammatory cytokines and suggested that any of paraquat’s inflammatory effects are not a direct response from microglia but are a direct result of dopaminergic neuron damage. As the dose of paraquat we used (5 mg/kg) does not produce a massive cell loss of the dopaminergic neurons nor effect release of dopamine in the striatum, this second insult (paraquat) may either not be a sufficient stressor at these low levels or may not be a factor in any “multiple hit” cascade for Parkinson’s disease. A literature search looking for direct cases of proven paraquat-induced parkinsonism in humans shows no case reports (368) and it is possible other agents that induce oxidative stress in the brain, such as MPTP or rotenone, that work via direct inhibition of Complex I in the electron transport chain (12, 369) and have been shown to induce human parkinsonism, will provide evidence for this “multiple hit” hypothesis.

In conclusion, we found that acute H5N1 influenza infection, although producing a long-term activation of microglia with proinflammatory cytokine production, appears to protect the dopaminergic neurons from paraquat-induced neurotoxicity. We suggest that the timing and the context of each insult may be critical for determining the consequence of combinatorial effects in the “multiple hit” hypothesis.
CHAPTER 5. IMPLICATIONS, LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE STUDIES

Since James Parkinson first described Parkinson’s disease in 1817 (1), more than 50,000 research papers have been published on the topic of Parkinson’s disease (370). Despite the knowledge accumulated and progress made in understanding PD, thus far, the mechanisms underlying the development of Parkinson’s disease have not been fully elucidated.

During the last two decades, studies in Parkinson’s disease-related genetics have shed light on some of the pathogenesis of Parkinson’s disease, as genetic mutations linked to the early onset and familial forms of PD were identified. However, the PD cases related to individual genetic mutations account for at most 10% of the total PD cases (7).

Other factors from the environment, such as infectious agents have also been suggested as a risk factor in the development of Parkinson’s disease. Reports of influenza-associated neurological symptoms are found as far back as 1385 and have continued through more recent influenza outbreaks (5). Among the influenza pandemics in the twentieth century, the 1918 Spanish flu (H1N1) pandemic was the most severe, resulting in 20-100 million casualties, with an unusually high rate of mortality in the young (371). A significant number of patients exhibited unusual neurological symptoms known as Encephalitis lethargica (EL) (24). Approximately 50% of patients surviving EL later developed post-encephalitic Parkinsonism (PEP), providing epidemiological links between EL and PEP (5, 42, 372), although direct evidence linking H1N1 infection and the subsequent development of EL or PEP has not been published.

The recently publicized highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI/H5N1) virus is, and continues to be, a severe threat to public health. Until May 2010, the H5N1 global outbreak has caused 498 human cases of flu and 294 deaths, resulting in a 60% mortality rate (255). Like the 1918 H1N1 influenza virus, H5N1 virus originated in avian species, and demonstrates its highest mortality rate in young adults (371). In at least two of the reported human cases of H5N1, patients died with acute encephalitis without the classic respiratory symptoms, and in these patients, H5N1 influenza virus was detected in their cerebrospinal fluid (207). This suggests that H5N1 virus can infect the CNS, and even survivors may have some degree of encephalitis at some point in the course of the H5N1 infection (269, 373). Therefore, the primary objectives of this dissertation are A) to determine if intranasally delivered H5N1 virus can infect the CNS and induce neuroinflammation, B) to determine if the H5N1 influenza virus infection in the CNS results in parkinsonian pathology.

In many cases of encephalitis or toxin-induced parkinsonism the offending agent may cause a long lasting immune response in the brain, persisting many years after the initial insult has been resolved, leading to a “hit and run” mechanism where the original insult is no longer present but the secondary sequelae persists (5). If it is recognized that influenza activates the innate CNS immune system (225, 374), identification of potential second hits that may lead to development of parkinsonian symptoms is useful.
One class of agents that could act as a “second hit” includes agricultural products, such as paraquat. Each of these chemicals induce oxidative stress and the generation of free radicals, either through blockade of complex I (rotenone) (375, 376) or direct free radical formation, independent of complex I inhibition (paraquat) (347, 377). Whatever the cause of increased oxidative stress, it is clear that prior activation of microglia increases sensitivity to these agents (378, 379). Therefore, the secondary objective of this dissertation is to determine if H5N1 priming of the immune system potentiates paraquat-induced neurotoxicity and neurodegeneration.

5.1 Chapter 2

In Chapter 2, I demonstrated that the highly infectious, neurotropic A/VN/1203/04 (H5N1) virus travels from the peripheral nervous system into the CNS, first appearing in the Solitary nucleus and adjacent dorsal motor nucleus of X in the brainstem. This pattern of viral spread, from the periphery to higher levels of the neuraxis, mimics the progression of Parkinson’s disease described by Braak (121). Braak postulated that some viruses might infect the mesenteric and myenteric (Auerbach’s) plexi of the enteric nervous system and be retrogradely transported into the dorsal motor nucleus (DMN) of the vagus nerve, since a synuclein pathology characterized by swollen neurites and inclusions were commonly found in the fibers that project to this region from the vagus nerve (enteric origin) as well as the neurons of this nucleus (380). In addition to considering the physiological relevance of Braak’s hypothesis to Parkinson’s disease pathology, his insight leads me to examine whether the H5N1 virus can be transported intraneuronally. Using microfluidic chambers, I confirmed that H5N1 is transported retrogradely. I also observed the activation of microglia as well as the phosphorylation and aggregation of alpha-synuclein that persists long after the presence of viral protein, in regions infected by H5N1 virus.

5.1.1 Implications and limitations

In general, when performing influenza research using mammalian models, ferrets are thought to be the ideal animal model as they are susceptible to the human influenza viruses and develop upper respiratory tract infection and clinical signs such as fever, rhinitis, and sneezing, similar to humans (381). The likely reason for this similarity to humans is the presence of α2,3 sialic acid oligosaccharide linkages, which bind preferentially to the H5N1 influenza virus (202). The distribution of the receptors in ferret resembles that seen in humans and initially mediates lower respiratory tract infection in ferret (382). The drawbacks of the ferret model are the high cost for housing and the difficulty in obtaining influenza seronegative animals (382). For our purposes, there is little known about the ferret as a Parkinson’s disease model, and mice provide a useful genetic as well as environmental model to study the etiology of PD.
In this study, we choose not to use ferrets, but instead used C57BL/6J mice since these animals are the preferred species and strain for experimental Parkinson’s disease research (12). However, what is advantageous for ferrets may be a limiting factor in mice since human influenza virus generally doesn’t easily infect mice unless the virus has been “adapted” by serial passage into the lung of mice. Adaptation may arise due to mutations that may occur during this process, and as this virus mutates the original pathogenesis of the influenza virus may change (382). However, the strain of highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus used in this study (A/VN/1203/04), collected from a human victim, infects mice without adaptation (263) and thus any experimental results obtained are likely direct and correlatable effects to humans and will not reflect alterations due to serial passage-induced mutations.

Although we were able to infect mice without adaptation, different strains of mice have been shown to have differential susceptibility to influenza virus depending on polymorphisms of the interferon-regulated Mx gene in each strain (382). Mx protein encoded by the Mx gene inhibits influenza virus replication. Thus, mice carrying Mx+ alleles such as T9 are resistant and mice carrying Mx- alleles are susceptible to influenza virus infection (259). C57BL/6J, a standard inbred laboratory strain, possess defective alleles of the Mx1 gene, so they don’t have a complete antiviral defense system (259).

5.1.2 Future studies

One of the keys to understanding pathogenesis of any viral-induced infection of the CNS is to determine how the virus enters the CNS. We suggested that the sensory and autonomic nervous system, particularly the vagus nerve which innervates the lung and the peritoneal cavity, may provide “a back door” for the H5N1 virus to reach the CNS, while evading the host immune surveillance in the periphery. We showed, empirically, the in vitro intraneuronal transport of H5N1 virus using adult DRG cells grown in microfluidic chambers. We also showed using transmission electron microscopy the presence of cellular inclusions that have all the morphological characteristics of viral particles in myelinated nerves in the brainstem; and what would have to be influenza virus as the animals used are certified pathogen free and were raised in a pathogen free environment with the additional finding that control non-inoculated animals never contained these structures. However, this does not provide evidence that the vagus nerve is the single route of CNS infection of H5N1 in vivo. This could be directly tested by performing unilateral vagotomy followed by H5N1 administration. If H5N1 virus is detected only in the side with an intact vagus route at day 2-3 post-operation, the period when the H5N1 virus begins to appear in the solitary nucleus, it would prove that the vagus nerve is one route for the H5N1 virus to infect the CNS. Further studies could them be performed to examine: 1) the mechanisms in which virus enters the nerve (clathrin coated pits? (383)) and 2) how the virus is transported to the cell body (microtubule dependent transport? (384)).

It will also be critical to determine what are the genetic signatures that mark a particular influenza strain as neurotropic? This can be examined through two approaches.
First, we can randomly generate a recombinant strain between neurovirulent A/VN/1203/04 (H5N1) and non-neurovirulent strain, such as A/Jap/305/57 (H2N2) (385). Second, we can also mutate specific gene sequences in the H5N1 genome to identify the genes related to neurotropism. These studies could be performed using the microfluidic chambers we used to test the intraneuronal transport of H5N1 virus in chapter 2 (270). We could also use a direct in vivo test where we inoculate the chimeric viruses into mice and ask if the brains get infected. This information would be beneficial for informing clinicians and other public health officials in the case of pandemic flu.

5.2 Chapter 3

In Chapter 3, I demonstrated that H5N1 infection can produce a pathology that has similarities with those seen in Parkinson’s disease. I found a transient decrease in the number of TH-positive neurons in the SNpc as well as a similar transient decrease in the amount of striatal dopamine content. These transient decreases were seen at days post infection (dpi) 10, 21 and 60, but recovered by 90 dpi. The dopaminergic neurons of the SNpc appeared shrunken and atrophic at 10-60dpi, but recovered by 90 dpi. Although we saw an increase in TH-positive neuron number, we saw no evidence of neurogenesis in dopaminergic neurons. One possible explanation for these observations in that active H5N1 infection causes SNpc dopamine neurons to transiently reduce their metabolic capacity compromising both TH activity and reducing cell size.

Unlike the transient changes in the dopaminergic system, the effects of H5N1 infection on the serotonergic system in the brain were more persistent, displaying a significant decrease of serotonin in the striatum, cortex and brainstem through 90 dpi. Due to limitations in animal protocols, these studies could not be expanded beyond 90 days, so if a later recovery of this neurotransmitter would occur, we would not have detected it.

I also found a long-term activation of microglia with cytokine production. Since activated microglia function in surveillance and response to insult, their continued presence in certain regions of the brain may make these regions particularly vulnerable to later insults or even normal age-induced changes, which could exacerbate, or cause, a degenerative response that would not normally occur.

5.2.1 Implications and limitations

An important question to ask is whether the effects of the H5N1 strain of influenza virus on the basal ganglia and the brain in general, are direct or indirect. We see the presence of H5N1 in the brain, however we do not know if the progression of this infection is mediated by direct viral-neuronal interactions and/or viral-microglia interactions; or if the damage is secondary to humoral-nervous system immune interactions.
The cytokine profiles we observed support both types of effects. In support of indirect action, there is a slight delay of most of the cytokine induction in the brain compared to the point of cytokine induction in the lungs, which is the primary target of H5N1 infection. A similar pattern of delayed cytokine expression in the brain was also reported by another group, where they showed that mice had a massive and sustained infiltration of macrophages and neutrophils with a strong induction of IL-1β, TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-6, MIP-1α, MIP-2, and RANTES in the lung at 3–5 day. Delayed induction of IL-1β, TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-6, MIP-2, and MIP-1α in the brain were observed at day 6 post-infection (371).

In support of viral-neuronal interactions and/or viral-microglia interactions, we saw a reinduction of cytokine expression after H5N1 virus was no longer present in the brain (21 days post infection) and no other insult was provided. Some cytokines were reinduced both in the SN and the lung. In particular, IL-13 and IL-2, that are known to be derived from microglia and lymphocytes and influence an inflammation response and neuronal survival (386), were only observed in a second response in the SN and striatum respectively. Taken together, this evidence suggests that both a direct viral-neuronal and viral-microglia interaction, and a humoral-nervous system immune interaction may occur during the course of H5N1 virus infection.

It is also important to determine what might be the stimulus that generates the reinduction of cytokines in the brain. One possibility is that there is a secondary bacterial infection that occurs due to decreased resistance generated by the initial viral infection. However, the secondary bacterial infection is less likely to occur in the BSL3+ environment, which provides an isolated and secured environment for these animals (387). Our group, as well as other independent groups, report that H5N1 virus is not detectable beyond 15 days after infection in mice, by immunohistochemical methods or PCR (270, 343, 388). However, while not easily detectable, some of the viral components might not be cleared by the primary immune response that seems to end by day 21, and may trigger the secondary immune response at 60 and 90 dpi. A latent H5N1 infection or secondary infection from dormant H5N1 influenza virus has not yet been described. Another possible cause for the reinduction of cytokine expression is found based on its temporal correlation of reinduction with that of dopamine. We detected increased levels of DA in both the substantia nigra (by expression of tyrosine hydroxylase and HPLC) and striatum (HPLC) at 60 dpi, which may cause oxidative stress through quinone formation and activate microglia (310, 336-339). That dopamine itself can generate a redox signal has been shown both in vitro (389) and in vivo (390-392).

5.2.2 Future studies

The CNS has been viewed as immune privileged site due to the presence of the blood brain barrier, the reduced major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II expression on cells in the brain and the low T-cell and B-cell number within the CNS (393). This concept may be questioned, since the immune response in the CNS is revealed to be tightly regulated by the cross communication of neuron and glial cells with
immune cells (394). In line with this view, it is not surprising that peripheral leukocytes, including CD8+ and CD4+ T cells and granulocytes infiltrate the CNS and modulate the immune response, controlling disease progression in many chronic neurodegenerative disorders, including multiple sclerosis (MS) and PD (301, 394).

Although subsequent induction of cytokine expression and increased numbers of activated microglia appeared 60 days post-infection, activated microglia may not be the only initiator of latent cytokine induction. We have hypothesized that T-cells may also play an important role in both the acute and chronic immune responses in our model. We are interested in knowing if the interaction between lymphocytes and microglia is essential for microglia activation and the induction of proinflammatory cytokines. One way to test this hypothesis is through the use of transgenic mice, such as the RAG-1 (recombination activating gene-1) deficient mice that lack T-cells (395), LAG-3 null mice that lack natural killer cells (396) and MT mice (B6-Igh6-6tm1Cgn) that lack B-cells (397). One caveat in these experiments is that since these mice don’t have a complete immune system; they may show different susceptibility and pathophysiology in response to acute H5N1 viral infection. However, testing these models with the proper controls may suggest which population of peripheral immune cells is critical for the communication with neurons and glia in the CNS.

5.3 Chapter 4

In Chapter 4, we tested if acute H5N1 priming affects paraquat-induced neurotoxicity. We detected a transient reduction of the dopamine output in striatum, a long-lasting increase in proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, and an increase in the number of both resting and activated microglia in the SN at day 60 post infection. These observations lead us to hypothesize that the change in the microenvironment of the CNS produced by H5N1 infection could make the basal ganglia sensitive to PQ-induced oxidative stress.

In contrast to our expectations, the results suggest that H5N1 priming might protect the dopaminergic neurons from PQ-induced neurotoxicity and desensitize the immune response produced by PQ in the lung, SN, and striatum. The desensitization effect of H5N1 priming was the most obvious in the SN.

5.3.1 Implications and limitations

Many cytokines, including IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-10, IL-13, and TNF-α have pleomorphic functions and regulate the activation, proliferation and suppression of cells with different time course and ability (398). Each cell is also a part of a huge cellular network which is composed of thousands of communicating cells. Therefore, complexity in the net effect from the milieu of cytokines is inevitable.
One cell type we did not examine in context of influenza (and paraquat) effects was astrocytes; and any pathology seen (or not seen) needs to take the biology of these cells into the calculation of net effects. Several studies showed that astrocytes produce neurotropic factors, including nerve growth factor (NGF) and glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) that respond to inflammatory stimulation including lipopolysaccharide and pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and interferon-γ (IFN-γ), (351-353) (350) (351).

The immune response is a very dynamic process. Different cells may be involved in the immune response at different times during the course of infection. For example, after exposure to MPTP, microglia in the SN are quickly activated and proliferate in 1-2 days, T-cells then begin to infiltrate at day 2-7, and later, astrocytes become activated (301). Therefore, the timing and the context of the insults could significantly affect the final pathology. To further elucidate the course of events during infection and subsequent cellular effects, a non-invasive and continuous observation method would be ideal to study the effect of multiple insults as a PD model.

5.3.2 Future studies

H5N1 priming was protective for paraquat-induced neurotoxicity in our experimental protocol. However, we do not know at this time if the H5N1 priming is sensitizing or desensitizing mice to secondary insults, including aging, genetic mutations, other types of neurotoxin such as rotenone, and/or infectious pathogens, encountered by the subject at various time points.

Another experimental design would be to switch the sequence of insults, for example, paraquat administration followed by H5N1 infection, to determine how neurons damaged by oxidative stress respond to H5N1-induced neuroinflammation. This study has epidemiological and physiological relevance for testing the “multiple hit” hypothesis for PD. Rotenone, a mitochondrial complex I inhibitor and insecticide, was isolated from the roots and stems of several plants (399). This chemical has been used in one form or another as a crop insecticide since 1848 and has been recognized as a registered pesticide in the United States under the Federal Insecticide Fungicide Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) since 1947 (5). Paraquat has also been recognized as an herbicide since 1954, but it had been synthesized by the reaction of 4,4'-bipyridium with methyl iodide and used as an oxidation reduction indicator prior to used as an herbicide (400). Therefore, it is possible that people may have been exposed to paraquat or rotenone in advance of the 1918 flu pandemic.

A 5mg/kg dose of paraquat is approximately 40 times lower than the 50% lethal dose (196mg/kg) (401) and does not cause systemic toxicity in peripheral organs in most experimental cases in mice (402). Multiple low doses of PQ (10mg/kg, one injection per week for 3 weeks, ip), however, produce the intraneuronal deposition of α-synuclein and the selective loss of dopaminergic neurons and activation of microglia in C57BL/6J mice (378). In this injection paradigm, the first paraquat administration did not cause
dopaminergic neuron loss, however it produced a substantial activation of microglia by 7 days post administration. The second paraquat injection, which was delivered a week after the first injection caused a significant loss of dopaminergic neurons. When the anti-inflammatory drug, minocycline, was delivered to block microglia response after the first paraquat injection, no dopaminergic cell loss was observed (378). This observation suggests that the first PQ injection may prime the microglia and cause reversible injury to dopaminergic neurons, while the second PQ injection would trigger events causing irreversible damage to dopaminergic neurons (368).

In previous experiments, the primary insult was an acute H5N1 infection that produced a massive proinflammatory cytokine induction in both the periphery and the CNS, that may lead to reversible damage to dopaminergic neurons and the persistent activation of microglia. The secondary insult was PQ, which may influence cells in the SN and the CNS specifically. In view of a multiple hit hypothesis, this experimental regime can be considered as the combination of a broad systemic insult, followed by a CNS specific insult. Therefore, PQ priming followed by H5N1 infection would represent a reverse scenario.

5.4 Conclusions

Chapter 2 and 3 provide evidence that H5N1 induced a parkinsonian pathology. Chapter 2 and 3 demonstrated that the highly infectious neurotropic A/VN/1203/04 (H5N1) virus progresses from the peripheral nervous system into the CNS and induces three cardinal PD related pathology, A) a temporal reduction of the dopamine in striatum, B) increased phosphorylation and aggregation of alpha synuclein, C) a long-lasting, increase in inflammation within the brain, characterized by an increase in the number of both resting and activated microglia and differential expression of a number of cytokines and chemokines.

Chapter 4 demonstrates that acute H5N1 influenza infection, although it produced a long-term activation of microglia with proinflammatory cytokine production, appears to protect the dopaminergic neurons from paraquat-induced neurotoxicity.

Thus, we suggest that H5N1 virus or any pandemic influenza that activates the immune system in brain may be an important etiological agent in itself to initiate the sequelae of neurodegenerative diseases including Parkinson’s disease. We also suggest that the consequence of pre-existing inflammatory response produced by H5N1 virus, however, may vary depending on the timing and the context of a subsequent insult.
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